

# Web Services Description Language (WSDL) Version 2.0 Part 1: Core Language

## **W3C Candidate Recommendation 27 March 2006**

This version:

http://www.w3.org/TR/2006/CR-wsdl20-20060327

Latest version:

http://www.w3.org/TR/wsdl20

Previous versions:

http://www.w3.org/TR/2006/CR-wsd120-20060106

**Editors:** 

Roberto Chinnici, Sun Microsystems Jean-Jacques Moreau, Canon Arthur Ryman, IBM Sanjiva Weerawarana, WSO2

This document is also available in these non-normative formats: XHTML with Z Notation, PDF, PDF with Z Notation, PostScript, XML, and plain text.

Copyright © 2006 W3C<sup>®</sup> (MIT, ERCIM, Keio), All Rights Reserved. W3C liability, trademark and document use rules apply.

#### Abstract

This document describes the Web Services Description Language Version 2.0 (WSDL 2.0), an XML language for describing Web services. This specification defines the core language which can be used to describe Web services based on an abstract model of what the service offers. It also defines the conformance criteria for documents in this language.

#### **Status of this Document**

This section describes the status of this document at the time of its publication. Other documents may supersede this document. A list of current W3C publications and the latest revision of this technical report can be found in the W3C technical reports index at http://www.w3.org/TR/.

This is an updated version of the W3C Candidate Recommendation of Web Services Description Language (WSDL) Version 2.0 Part 1: Core Language for review by W3C Members and other interested parties. It has been produced by the Web Services Description Working Group, which is part of the W3C

1

Web Services Activity. The publication of this document signifies a call for implementations of this specification. The Candidate Recommendation period specified in the previous draft (15 March 2006) has passed. The Working Group does not anticipate garnering enough implementation experience to fulfill its Candidate Recommendation exit criteria until at least 1 July 2006.

This version addresses the modest number of comments received to date on the Candidate Recommendation of Web Services Description Language (WSDL) Version 2.0 Part 1: Core Language, and primarily differs from the previous version in the inclusion of marked test assertions to help implementers. The detailed disposition of the comments received can be found in the Candidate Recommendation issues list. A diff-marked version against the previous version of this document is available. For a detailed list of changes since the last publication of this document, please refer to appendix **E. Part 1 Change Log** [p.109] .

The Working Group plans to submit this specification for consideration as a W3C Proposed Recommendation if the following exit criteria have been met:

- Two interoperable implementations of all the features, both mandatory and optional, of the specifications have been produced.
- The Working Group releases a test suite along with an implementation report.

The sections **2.7 Feature** [p.40] and **2.8 Property** [p.45] in this specification, defining the feature and property components, are considered at risk. The Working Group may recommend to remove the components from the specification, depending on their use and the implementations.

Implementers are invited to send feedback on this document to the public public-ws-desc-comments@w3.org mailing list (public archive).

Issues about this document are recorded in the Candidate Recommendation issues list maintained by the Working Group. A list of formal objections against the set of WSDL 2.0 Working Drafts is also available.

Publication as a Candidate Recommendation does not imply endorsement by the W3C Membership. This is a draft document and may be updated, replaced or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to cite this document as other than work in progress.

This document was produced by a group operating under the 24 January 2002 CPP as amended by the W3C Patent Policy Transition Procedure. W3C maintains a public list of any patent disclosures made in connection with the deliverables of the group; that page also includes instructions for disclosing a patent. An individual who has actual knowledge of a patent which the individual believes contains Essential Claim(s) must disclose the information in accordance with section 6 of the W3C Patent Policy.

### **Short Table of Contents**

- 1. Introduction [p.7]
- 2. Component Model [p.12]
- 3. Types [p.74]
- 4. Modularizing WSDL 2.0 descriptions [p.81]

#### **Table of Contents**

- 5. Documentation [p.85]
- 6. Language Extensibility [p.86]
- 7. Locating WSDL 2.0 Documents [p.89]
- 8. Conformance [p.90]
- 9. XML Syntax Summary (Non-Normative) [p.90]
- 10. References [p.93]
- A. The application/wsdl+xml Media Type [p.95]
- B. Acknowledgements [p.103] (Non-Normative)
- C. IRI-References for WSDL 2.0 Components [p.104] (Non-Normative)
- D. Component Summary [p.106] (Non-Normative)
- E. Part 1 Change Log [p.109] (Non-Normative)
- F. Assertion Summary [p.125] (Non-Normative)

#### **Table of Contents**

- 1. Introduction [p.7]
  - 1.1 Web Service [p.7]
  - 1.2 Document Conformance [p.8]
  - 1.3 The Meaning of a Service Description [p.8]
  - 1.4 Notational Conventions [p.9]
    - 1.4.1 RFC 2119 Keywords [p.9]
    - 1.4.2 RFC 3986 Namespaces [p.9]
    - 1.4.3 XML Schema anyURI [p.9]
    - 1.4.4 Prefixes and Namespaces Used in This Specification [p.9]
    - 1.4.5 Terms Used in This Specification [p.10]
    - 1.4.6 XML Information Set Properties [p.11]
    - 1.4.7 WSDL 2.0 Component Model Properties [p.11]
    - 1.4.8 Z Notation [p.11]
    - 1.4.9 BNF Pseudo-Schemas [p.12]
    - 1.4.10 Assertions [p.12]
- 2. Component Model [p.12]
  - 2.1 Description [p.13]
    - 2.1.1 The Description Component [p.13]
    - 2.1.2 XML Representation of Description Component [p.15]
      - 2.1.2.1 targetNamespace attribute information item [p.17]
    - 2.1.3 Mapping Description's XML Representation to Component Properties [p.17]
  - 2.2 Interface [p.18]
    - 2.2.1 The Interface Component [p.19]
    - 2.2.2 XML Representation of Interface Component [p.19]
      - 2.2.2.1 name attribute information item with interface [owner element] [p.21]
      - 2.2.2.2 extends attribute information item [p.21]
      - 2.2.2.3 styleDefault attribute information item [p.21]
    - 2.2.3 Mapping Interface's XML Representation to Component Properties [p.21]
  - 2.3 Interface Fault [p.22]
    - 2.3.1 The Interface Fault Component [p.22]

#### Table of Contents

- 2.3.2 XML Representation of Interface Fault Component [p.24]
  - 2.3.2.1 name attribute information item with fault [owner element] [p.25]
  - 2.3.2.2 element attribute information item with fault [owner element] [p.25]
- 2.3.3 Mapping Interface Fault's XML Representation to Component Properties [p.25]
- 2.4 Interface Operation [p.26]
  - 2.4.1 The Interface Operation Component [p.26]
    - 2.4.1.1 Message Exchange Pattern [p.28]
    - 2.4.1.2 Operation Style [p.29]
  - 2.4.2 XML Representation of Interface Operation Component [p.29]
    - 2.4.2.1 name attribute information item with operation [owner element] [p.30]
    - 2.4.2.2 pattern attribute information item with operation [owner element] [p.31]
    - 2.4.2.3 style attribute information item with operation [owner element] [p.31]
- 2.4.3 Mapping Interface Operation's XML Representation to Component Properties [p.31]
- 2.5 Interface Message Reference [p.32]
  - 2.5.1 The Interface Message Reference Component [p.32]
  - 2.5.2 XML Representation of Interface Message Reference Component [p.33]
    - 2.5.2.1 messageLabel attribute information item with input or output [owner element] [p.35]
    - 2.5.2.2 element attribute information item with input or output [owner element] [p.35]
- 2.5.3 Mapping Interface Message Reference's XML Representation to Component Properties [p.35]
- 2.6 Interface Fault Reference [p.36]
  - 2.6.1 The Interface Fault Reference Component [p.36]
  - 2.6.2 XML Representation of Interface Fault Reference [p.37]
    - 2.6.2.1 ref attribute information item with infault, or outfault [owner element] [p.38]
    - 2.6.2.2 messageLabel attribute information item with infault, or outfault [owner element] [p.39]
- 2.6.3 Mapping Interface Fault Reference's XML Representation to Component Properties [p.39] 2.7 Feature [p.40]
  - 2.7.1 The Feature Component [p.40]
    - 2.7.1.1 Feature Composition Model [p.41]
      - 2.7.1.1.1 Example of Feature Composition Model [p.43]
  - 2.7.2 XML Representation of Feature Component [p.44]
    - 2.7.2.1 ref attribute information item with feature [owner element] [p.44]
    - 2.7.2.2 required attribute information item with feature [owner element] [p.44]
  - 2.7.3 Mapping Feature's XML Representation to Component Properties [p.45]
- 2.8 Property [p.45]
  - 2.8.1 The Property Component [p.45]
    - 2.8.1.1 Property Composition Model [p.46]
  - 2.8.2 XML Representation of Property Component [p.48]
    - 2.8.2.1 ref attribute information item with property [owner element] [p.49]
    - 2.8.2.2 value element information item with property [parent] [p.49]
    - 2.8.2.3 constraint element information item with property [parent] [p.49]
  - 2.8.3 Mapping Property's XML Representation to Component Properties [p.50]
- 2.9 Binding [p.50]
  - 2.9.1 The Binding Component [p.50]
  - 2.9.2 XML Representation of Binding Component [p.51]
    - 2.9.2.1 name attribute information item with binding [owner element] [p.53]
    - 2.9.2.2 interface attribute information item with binding [owner element] [p.53]
    - 2.9.2.3 type attribute information item with binding [owner element] [p.53]

#### Table of Contents

- 2.9.2.4 Binding extension elements [p.53]
- 2.9.3 Mapping Binding's XML Representation to Component Properties [p.54]
- 2.10 Binding Fault [p.54]
  - 2.10.1 The Binding Fault Component [p.54]
  - 2.10.2 XML Representation of Binding Fault Component [p.55]
    - 2.10.2.1 ref attribute information item with fault [owner element] [p.56]
    - 2.10.2.2 Binding Fault extension elements [p.56]
  - 2.10.3 Mapping Binding Fault's XML Representation to Component Properties [p.56]
- 2.11 Binding Operation [p.57]
  - 2.11.1 The Binding Operation Component [p.57]
  - 2.11.2 XML Representation of Binding Operation Component [p.58]
    - 2.11.2.1 ref attribute information item with operation [owner element] [p.59]
    - 2.11.2.2 Binding Operation extension elements [p.59]
  - 2.11.3 Mapping Binding Operation's XML Representation to Component Properties [p.59]
- 2.12 Binding Message Reference [p.60]
  - 2.12.1 The Binding Message Reference Component [p.60]
  - 2.12.2 XML Representation of Binding Message Reference Component [p.60]
    - 2.12.2.1 messageLabel attribute information item with input or output [owner element] [p.61]
    - 2.12.2.2 Binding Message Reference extension elements [p.61]
  - 2.12.3 Mapping Binding Message Reference's XML Representation to Component Properties [p.62]
- 2.13 Binding Fault Reference [p.63]
  - 2.13.1 The Binding Fault Reference Component [p.63]
  - 2.13.2 XML Representation of Binding Fault Reference Component [p.63]
    - 2.13.2.1 ref attribute information item with infault or outfault [owner element] [p.64]
    - 2.13.2.2 messageLabel attribute information item with infault or outfault [owner element] [p.64]
    - 2.13.2.3 Binding Fault Reference extension elements [p.65]
- 2.13.3 Mapping Binding Fault Reference's XML Representation to Component Properties [p.65]
- 2.14 Service [p.66]
  - 2.14.1 The Service Component [p.66]
  - 2.14.2 XML Representation of Service Component [p.67]
    - 2.14.2.1 name attribute information item with service [owner element] [p.68]
    - 2.14.2.2 interface attribute information item with service [owner element] [p.68]
  - 2.14.3 Mapping Service's XML Representation to Component Properties [p.68]
- 2.15 Endpoint [p.69]
  - 2.15.1 The Endpoint Component [p.69]
  - 2.15.2 XML Representation of Endpoint Component [p.70]
    - 2.15.2.1 name attribute information item with endpoint [owner element] [p.71]
    - 2.15.2.2 binding attribute information item with endpoint [owner element] [p.71]
    - 2.15.2.3 address attribute information item with endpoint [owner element] [p.71]
    - 2.15.2.4 Endpoint extension elements [p.71]
- 2.15.3 Mapping Endpoint's XML Representation to Component Properties [p.71]
- 2.16 XML Schema 1.0 Simple Types Used in the Component Model [p.72]
- 2.17 Equivalence of Components [p.72]
- 2.18 Symbol Spaces [p.73]
- 2.19 QName resolution [p.73]
- 2.20 Comparing URIs and IRIs [p.74]
- 3. Types [p.74]

#### **Appendices**

- 3.1 Using W3C XML Schema Description Language [p.75]
  - 3.1.1 Importing XML Schema [p.76]
    - 3.1.1.1 namespace attribute information item [p.77]
    - 3.1.1.2 schemaLocation attribute information item [p.77]
  - 3.1.2 Inlining XML Schema [p.77]
    - 3.1.2.1 targetNamespace attribute information item [p.78]
  - 3.1.3 References to Element Declarations and Type Definitions [p.79]
- 3.2 Using Other Schema Languages [p.79]
- 3.3 Describing Messages that Refer to Services and Endpoints [p.80]
  - 3.3.1 wsdlx:interface attribute information item [p.80]
  - 3.3.2 wsdlx:binding attribute information item [p.81]
  - 3.3.3 wsdlx:interface and wsdlx:binding Consistency [p.81]
  - 3.3.4 Use of wsdlx:interface and wsdlx:binding with xs:anyURI [p.81]
- 4. Modularizing WSDL 2.0 descriptions [p.81]
  - 4.1 Including Descriptions [p.81]
    - 4.1.1 location attribute information item with include [owner element] [p.82]
  - 4.2 Importing Descriptions [p.83]
    - 4.2.1 namespace attribute information item [p.84]
    - 4.2.2 location attribute information item with import [owner element] [p.85]
- 5. Documentation [p.85]
- 6. Language Extensibility [p.86]
  - 6.1 Element based Extensibility [p.86]
    - 6.1.1 Mandatory extensions [p.87]
    - 6.1.2 required attribute information item [p.88]
  - 6.2 Attribute-based Extensibility [p.88]
  - 6.3 Extensibility Semantics [p.88]
- 7. Locating WSDL 2.0 Documents [p.89]
  - 7.1 wsdli:wsdlLocation attribute information item [p.89]
- 8. Conformance [p.90]
  - 8.1 XML Information Set Conformance [p.90]
- 9. XML Syntax Summary (Non-Normative) [p.90]
- 10. References [p.93]
  - 10.1 Normative References [p.93]
  - 10.2 Informative References [p.94]

## **Appendices**

- A. The application/wsdl+xml Media Type [p.95]
  - A.1 Registration [p.95]
  - A.2 Fragment Identifiers [p.97]
    - A.2.1 The Description Component [p.99]
    - A.2.2 The Element Declaration Component [p.99]
    - A.2.3 The Type Definition Component [p.99]
    - A.2.4 The Interface Component [p.99]
    - A.2.5 The Interface Fault Component [p.100]
    - A.2.6 The Interface Operation Component [p.100]

- A.2.7 The Interface Message Reference Component [p.100]
- A.2.8 The Interface Fault Reference Component [p.100]
- A.2.9 The Binding Component [p.101]
- A.2.10 The Binding Fault Component [p.101]
- A.2.11 The Binding Operation Component [p.101]
- A.2.12 The Binding Message Reference Component [p.101]
- A.2.13 The Binding Fault Reference Component [p.101]
- A.2.14 The Service Component [p.102]
- A.2.15 The Endpoint Component [p.102]
- A.2.16 The Feature Component [p.102]
- A.2.17 The Property Component [p.102]
- A.2.18 Extension Components [p.102]
- A.3 Security considerations [p.103]
- B. Acknowledgements [p.103] (Non-Normative)
- C. IRI-References for WSDL 2.0 Components [p.104] (Non-Normative)
  - C.1 WSDL 2.0 IRIs [p.104]
  - C.2 Example [p.104]
- D. Component Summary [p.106] (Non-Normative)
- E. Part 1 Change Log [p.109] (Non-Normative)
  - E.1 WSDL 2.0 Specification Changes [p.109]
- F. Assertion Summary [p.125] (Non-Normative)

#### 1. Introduction

Web Services Description Language Version 2.0 (WSDL 2.0) provides a model and an XML format for describing Web services. WSDL 2.0 enables one to separate the description of the abstract functionality offered by a service from concrete details of a service description such as "how" and "where" that functionality is offered.

This specification defines a language for describing the abstract functionality of a service as well as a framework for describing the concrete details of a service description. It also defines the conformance criteria for documents in this language. The WSDL Version 2.0 Part 2: Adjuncts specification [WSDL 2.0 Adjuncts [p.94]] describes extensions for Message Exchange Patterns, SOAP modules, and a language for describing such concrete details for SOAP 1.2 [SOAP 1.2 Part 1: Messaging Framework [p.94]] and HTTP [IETF RFC 2616 [p.94]].

#### 1.1 Web Service

WSDL 2.0 describes a Web service in two fundamental stages: one abstract and one concrete. Within each stage, the description uses a number of constructs to promote reusability of the description and to separate independent design concerns.

At an abstract level, WSDL 2.0 describes a Web service in terms of the messages it sends and receives; messages are described independent of a specific wire format using a type system, typically XML Schema.

An *operation* associates a message exchange pattern with one or more messages. A *message exchange* pattern identifies the sequence and cardinality of messages sent and/or received as well as who they are logically sent to and/or received from. An *interface* groups together operations without any commitment to transport or wire format.

At a concrete level, a *binding* specifies transport and wire format details for one or more interfaces. An *endpoint* associates a network address with a binding. And finally, a *service* groups together endpoints that implement a common interface.

#### 1.2 Document Conformance

An element information item (as defined in [XML Information Set [p.93]]) whose namespace name is "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl" and whose local part is description conforms to this specification if it is valid according to the XML Schema for that element as defined by this specification (http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl/wsdl20.xsd) and additionally adheres to all the constraints contained in this specification family and conforms to the specifications of any extensions contained in it. Such a conformant element information item constitutes a WSDL 2.0 document.

The definition of the WSDL 2.0 language is based on the XML Information Set [XML Information Set [p.93]] but also imposes many semantic constraints over and above structural conformance to this XML Infoset. In order to precisely describe these constraints, and as an aid in precisely defining the meaning of each WSDL 2.0 document, the WSDL 2.0 specification defines a component model 2. Component Model [p.12] as an additional layer of abstraction above the XML Infoset. Constraints and meaning are defined in terms of this component model, and the definition of each component includes a mapping that specifies how values in the component model are derived from corresponding items in the XML Infoset.

An XML 1.0 document that is valid with respect to the WSDL 2.0 XML Schema and that maps to a valid WSDL 2.0 Component Model is conformant to the WSDL 2.0 specification.

## 1.3 The Meaning of a Service Description

A WSDL 2.0 service description indicates how potential clients are intended to interact with the described service. It represents an assertion that the described service fully implements and conforms to what the WSDL 2.0 document describes. For example, as further explained in section **6.1.1 Mandatory extensions** [p.87], if the WSDL 2.0 document specifies a particular optional extension, the functionality implied by that extension is only optional to the client. It must be supported by the Web service.

A WSDL 2.0 interface describes potential interaction with a service--not required interaction. The declaration of an operation in a WSDL 2.0 interface is not an assertion that the interaction described by the operation must occur. Rather it is an assertion that if such an interaction is (somehow) initiated, then the declared operation describes how that interaction is intended to occur.

#### 1.4 Notational Conventions

All parts of this specification are normative, with the EXCEPTION of notes, pseudo-schemas, examples, and sections explicitly marked as "Non-Normative".

#### 1.4.1 RFC 2119 Keywords

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [*IETF RFC 2119* [*p.93*]].

#### **1.4.2 RFC 3986 Namespaces**

Namespace names of the general form:

- "http://example.org/..." and
- "http://example.com/..."

represent application or context-dependent URIs [IETF RFC 3986 [p.93]].

#### 1.4.3 XML Schema anyURI

This specification uses the XML Schema type xs:anyURI (see [XML Schema: Datatypes [p.93]]). It is defined so that xs:anyURI values are essentially IRIs (see [IETF RFC 3987 [p.93]]). The conversion from xs:anyURI values to an actual URI is via an escaping procedure defined by (see [XML Linking Language (XLink) 1.0 [p.95]]), which is identical in most respects to IRI Section 3.1.

For interoperability, WSDL authors are advised to avoid the US-ASCII characters: "<", ">", '"', space, " $\{$ ", " $\}$ ", "|", "|", "|", "|", "|", and "|", which are allowed by the xs:anyURI type, but disallowed in IRIs.

#### 1.4.4 Prefixes and Namespaces Used in This Specification

This specification uses predefined namespace prefixes throughout; they are given in the following list. Note that the choice of any namespace prefix is arbitrary and not semantically significant (see [XML Namespaces [p.93]]).

wsdl

"http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsd1"

Defined by this specification.

wsdli

"http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl-instance"

```
Defined by this specification 7.1 wsdli:wsdlLocation attribute information item [p.89].
wsdlx
    "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl-extensions"
    Defined by this specification 3.3 Describing Messages that Refer to Services and Endpoints [p.80]
wrpc
    "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl/rpc"
    Defined by WSDL 2.0: Adjuncts [WSDL 2.0 Adjuncts [p.94]].
wsoap
    "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl/soap"
    Defined by WSDL 2.0: Adjuncts [WSDL 2.0 Adjuncts [p.94]].
whttp
    "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl/http"
    Defined by WSDL 2.0: Adjuncts [WSDL 2.0 Adjuncts [p.94]].
xs
    "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
    Defined in the W3C XML Schema specification [XML Schema: Structures [p.93]], [XML Schema:
    Datatypes [p.93]].
xsi
    "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    Defined in the W3C XML Schema specification [XML Schema: Structures [p.93]], [XML Schema:
    Datatypes [p.93]].
```

#### 1.4.5 Terms Used in This Specification

This section describes the terms and concepts introduced in Part 1 of the WSDL Version 2.0 specification (this document).

Actual Value

As in [XML Schema: Structures [p.93]], the phrase actual value is used to refer to the member of the value space of the simple type definition associated with an attribute information item which corresponds to its normalized value. This will often be a string, but may also be an integer, a boolean, an IRI-reference, etc.

#### Inlined Schema

An XML schema that is defined in the xs:types element information item of a WSDL 2.0 description. For example, an XML Schema defined in an xs:schema element information item 3.1.2 Inlining XML Schema [p.77].

#### 1.4.6 XML Information Set Properties

This specification refers to properties in the XML Information Set [XML Information Set [p.93]]. Such properties are denoted by square brackets, e.g. [children], [attributes].

#### 1.4.7 WSDL 2.0 Component Model Properties

This specification defines and refers to properties in the WSDL 2.0 Component Model **2.** Component **Model** [p.12] . Such properties are denoted by curly brackets, e.g. {name [p.19] }, {interfaces [p.14] }.

This specification uses a consistent naming convention for component model properties that refer to components. If a property refers to a required or optional component, then the property name is the same as the component name. If a property refers to a set of components, then the property name is the pluralized form of the component name.

#### 1.4.8 Z Notation

Z Notation [*Z Notation Reference Manual [p.95]*] was used in the development of this specification. Z Notation is a formal specification language that is based on standard mathematical notation. The Z Notation for this specification has been verified using the Fuzz 2000 type-checker [*Fuzz 2000 [p.95]*].

Since Z Notation is not widely known, it is not included the normative version of this specification. However, it is included in a non-normative version which allows to dynamically hide and show the Z Notation. Browsers correctly display the mathematical Unicode characters, provided that the required fonts are installed. Mathematical fonts for Mozilla Firefox can be downloaded from the Mozilla Web site.

The Z Notation was used to improve the quality of the normative text that defines the Component Model, and to help ensure that the test suite covered all important rules implied by the Component Model. However, the Z Notation is non-normative, so any conflict between it and the normative text is an error in the Z Notation. Readers and implementors may nevertheless find the Z Notation useful in cases where the normative text is unclear.

There are two elements of Z Notation syntax that conflict with the notational conventions described in the preceding sections. In Z Notation, square brackets are used to introduce basic sets, e.g. [ID], which conflicts with the use of square brackets to denote XML Information Set properties 1.4.6 XML Information Set Properties [p.11] . Also, in Z Notation, curly brackets are used to denote set display and set comprehension, e.g. {1, 2, 3}, which conflicts with the use of curly brackets to denote WSDL 2.0

Component Model properties **1.4.7 WSDL 2.0 Component Model Properties** [p.11] . However, the intended meaning of square and curly brackets should be clear from their context and this minor notational conflict should not cause any confusion.

#### 1.4.9 BNF Pseudo-Schemas

Pseudo-schemas are provided for each component, before the description of the component. They use BNF-style conventions for attributes and elements: "?" denotes optionality (i.e. zero or one occurrences), "\*" denotes zero or more occurrences, "+" one or more occurrences, "[" and "]" are used to form groups, and "|" represents choice. Attributes are conventionally assigned a value which corresponds to their type, as defined in the normative schema. Elements with simple content are conventionally assigned a value which corresponds to the type of their content, as defined in the normative schema. Pseudo schemas do not include extensibility points for brevity.

#### 1.4.10 Assertions

Assertions about WSDL 2.0 documents and components that are not enforced by the normative XML schema for WSDL 2.0 are marked by a dagger symbol (†) at the end of a sentence. Each assertion has been assigned a unique identifier that consists of a descriptive textual prefix and a unique numeric suffix. The numeric suffixes are assigned sequentially and never reused so there may be gaps in the sequence. The assertion identifiers MAY be used by implementations of this specification for any purpose, e.g. error reporting.

The assertions and their identifiers are summarized in section **F. Assertion Summary** [p.125].

## 2. Component Model

This section describes the conceptual model of WSDL 2.0 as a set of components with attached properties, which collectively describe a Web service. This model is called the *Component Model* of WSDL 2.0. A *valid WSDL 2.0 component model* is a set of WSDL 2.0 components and properties that satisfy all the requirements given in this specification as indicated by keywords whose interpretation is defined by RFC 2119 [*IETF RFC 2119 [p.93]*].

Components are typed collections of properties that correspond to different aspects of Web services. Each subsection herein describes a different type of component, its defined properties, and its representation as an XML Infoset [XML Information Set [p.93]].

Properties are unordered and unique with respect to the component they are associated with. Individual properties' definitions may constrain their content (e.g., to a typed value, another component, or a set of typed values or components), and components may require the presence of a property to be considered conformant. Such properties are marked as REQUIRED, whereas those that are not required to be present are marked as OPTIONAL. By convention, when specifying the mapping rules from the XML Infoset representation of a component to the component itself, an optional property that is absent in the component in question is described as being "empty". Unless otherwise specified, when a property is identified as being a collection (a set or a list), its value may be a 0-element (empty) collection. In order to simplify the presentation of the rules that deal with sets of components, for all OPTIONAL properties whose type is a set, the absence of such a property from a component MUST be treated as semantically equivalent to the presence of a property with the same name and whose value is the empty set. In other words, every OPTIONAL set-valued property MUST be assumed to have the empty set as its default value, to be used in case the property is absent.

Component definitions are serializable in XML 1.0 format but are independent of any particular serialization of the component model. Component definitions use a subset (see **2.16 XML Schema 1.0 Simple Types Used in the Component Model** [p.72] ) of the simple types defined by the XML Schema 1.0 specification [XML Schema: Datatypes [p.93]].

In addition to the direct XML Infoset representation described here, the component model allows components external to the Infoset through the mechanisms described in **4. Modularizing WSDL 2.0 descriptions** [p.81] .

A component model can be extracted from a given XML Infoset which conforms to the XML Schema for WSDL 2.0 by recursively mapping Information Items to their identified components, starting with the wsdl:description *element information item*. This includes the application of the mechanisms described in **4. Modularizing WSDL 2.0 descriptions** [p.81].

This document does not specify a means of producing an XML Infoset representation from a component model instance. In particular, there are in general many valid ways to modularize a given component model instance into one or more XML Infosets.

## 2.1 Description

#### **2.1.1** The Description Component

At the abstract level, the Description [p.14] component is just a container for two categories of components: WSDL 2.0 components and type system components.

WSDL 2.0 components are interfaces, bindings and services. Type system components are element declarations and type definitions.

Type system components describe the constraints on a message's content. By default, these constraints are expressed in terms of the [XML Information Set [p.93]], i.e. they define the [local name], [namespace name], [children] and [attributes] properties of an element information item. Type systems based upon other data models are generally accommodated by extensions to WSDL 2.0; see **6. Language Extensibility** [p.86]. In the case where they define information equivalent to that of a XML Schema

global element declaration, they can be treated as if they were such a declaration.

This specification does not define the behavior of a WSDL 2.0 document that uses multiple schema languages for describing type system components simultaneously.

An Element Declaration component defines the name and content model of an *element information item* such as that defined by an XML Schema global element declaration. It has a {name} property that is the QName of the *element information item* and a {system} property that is the namespace IRI of the extension *element information items* for the type system, e.g. the namespace of XML Schema.

A Type Definition component defines the content model of an *element information item* such as that defined by an XML Schema global type definition. It has a {name} property that is the QName of the type and a {system} property that is the namespace IRI of the extension *element information items* for the type system, e.g. the namespace of XML Schema.

Interface [p.19], Binding [p.51], Service [p.66], Element Declaration [p.14], and Type Definition [p.14] components are directly contained in the Description [p.14] component and are referred to as *top-level components*. The top-level WSDL 2.0 components contain other components, e.g. Interface Operation [p.27] and Endpoint [p.69], which are referred to as *nested components*. Nested components may contain other nested components. The component that contains a nested component is referred to as the *parent* of the nested components. Nested components have a {parent} property that is a reference to their parent component.

The properties of the Description component are as follows:

- {interfaces} OPTIONAL. A set of Interface [p.19] components.
- {bindings} OPTIONAL. A set of Binding [p.51] components.
- {services} OPTIONAL. A set of Service [p.66] components.
- {element declarations} OPTIONAL. A set of Element Declaration [p.14] components.
- {type definitions} OPTIONAL. A set of Type Definition [p.14] components.

The {type definitions [p.14] } property of the Description [p.14] component contains all of the built-in datatypes defined by XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes Second Edition [XML Schema: Datatypes [p.93]], namely the nineteen primitive datatypes xs:string, xs:boolean, xs:decimal, xs:float, xs:double, xs:duration, xs:dateTime, xs:time, xs:date, xs:gYearMonth, xs:gYear, xs:gMonthDay, xs:gDay, xs:gMonth, xs:hexBinary, xs:base64, xs:Binary, xs:anyURI, xs:QName, xs:NOTATION, and the twenty-five derived datatypes xs:normalizedString, xs:token, xs:language, xs:NMTOKEN, xs:NMTOKENS, xs:Name, xs:NCName, xs:ID, xs:IDREF, xs:IDREFS, xs:ENTITY, xs:ENTITIES, xs:integer, xs:nonPositiveInteger, xs:negativeInteger, xs:long, xs:int, xs:short, xs:byte, xs:nonNegativeInteger, xs:unsignedLong, xs:unsignedInt, xs:unsignedShort, xs:unsignedByte, xs:positiveInteger.

The set of top-level components contained in the Description [p.14] component associated with an initial WSDL 2.0 document consists of the components defined in the initial document and the components associated with the documents that the initial document includes and the namespaces that the initial document imports. The component model makes no distinction between the components that are defined in the initial document versus those that are defined in the included documents or imported namespaces. However, any WSDL 2.0 document that contains component definitions that refer by QName to WSDL 2.0 components that belong to a different namespace MUST contain a wsdl:import element information item for that namespace (see 4.2 Importing Descriptions [p.83]). † [p.126] Furthermore, all QName references, whether to the same or to different namespaces MUST resolve to components (see 2.19 QName resolution [p.73]). † [p.135]

In addition to WSDL 2.0 components and type system components, additional extension components MAY be added via extensibility **6. Language Extensibility** [p.86] . Further, additional properties to WSDL 2.0 and type system components MAY also be added via extensibility.

#### 2.1.2 XML Representation of Description Component

```
<description
    targetNamespace="xs:anyURI" >
    <documentation />*
    [ <import /> | <include /> ]*
    <types />?
    [ <interface /> | <binding /> | <service /> ]*
```

</description>

WSDL 2.0 definitions are represented in XML by one or more WSDL 2.0 Information Sets (Infosets), that is one or more description *element information items*. † [p.125] A WSDL 2.0 Infoset contains representations for a collection of WSDL 2.0 components that share a common target namespace. A WSDL 2.0 Infoset that contains one or more wsdl:import *element information items* **4.2 Importing Descriptions** [p.83] corresponds to a collection with components drawn from multiple target namespaces.

The components directly defined or included within a Description [p.14] component are said to belong to the same *target namespace*. The target namespace therefore groups a set of related component definitions and represents an unambiguous name for the intended semantics of the collection of components. The value of the targetNamespace *attribute information item* SHOULD be dereferenceable. † [p.131] It SHOULD resolve to a human or machine processable document that directly or indirectly defines the intended semantics of those components. † [p.131] It MAY resolve to a WSDL 2.0 document that provides service description information for that namespace. † [p.131]

If a WSDL 2.0 document is split into multiple WSDL 2.0 documents (which may be combined as needed via **4.1 Including Descriptions** [p.81]), then the targetNamespace *attribute information item* SHOULD resolve to a master WSDL 2.0 document that includes all the WSDL 2.0 documents needed for that service description. † [p.125] This approach enables the WSDL 2.0 component designator fragment identifiers to be properly resolved.

Imported components have different target namespace values from the WSDL 2.0 document that is importing them.  $^{\dagger}$  [p.126] Thus importing is the mechanism to use components from one namespace in definition of components from another namespace.

Each WSDL 2.0 or type system component of the same kind MUST be uniquely identified by its qualified name.  $^{\dagger [p.131]}$  That is, if two distinct components of the same kind (Interface [p.19], Binding [p.51], etc.) are in the same target namespace, then their QNames MUST be unique. However, different kinds of components (e.g., an Interface [p.19] component and a Binding [p.51] component) MAY have the same QName. Thus, QNames of components must be unique within the space of those components in a given target namespace.

The description *element information item* has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of description.
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsd1".
- One or more *attribute information items* amongst its [attributes] as follows:
  - A REQUIRED targetNamespace *attribute information item* as described below in **2.1.2.1** targetNamespace attribute information item [p.17].
  - O Zero or more namespace qualified *attribute information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
- Zero or more *element information items* amongst its [children], in order as follows: † [p.125]
  - 1. Zero or more documentation *element information items* (see **5. Documentation** [p.85]).
  - 2. Zero or more *element information items* from among the following, in any order:
    - Zero or more include element information items (see 4.1 Including Descriptions [p.81]
    - Zero or more import *element information items* (see **4.2 Importing Descriptions** [p.83])
    - O Zero or more namespace-qualified *element information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
  - 3. An OPTIONAL types element information item (see 3. Types [p.74]).
  - 4. Zero or more *element information items* from among the following, in any order:
    - o interface *element information items* (see **2.2.2 XML Representation of Interface Component** [p.19]).
    - o binding *element information items* (see **2.9.2 XML Representation of Binding Component** [p.51]).
    - service element information items (see 2.14.2 XML Representation of Service Component [p.67]).

O Zero or more namespace-qualified *element information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".

#### 2.1.2.1 targetNamespace attribute information item

The targetNamespace *attribute information item* defines the namespace affiliation of top-level components defined in this description *element information item*. Interface [p.19], Binding [p.51] and Service [p.66] are top-level components.

The targetNamespace attribute information item has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of targetNamespace
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the targetNamespace *attribute information item* is *xs:anyURI*. Its value MUST be an absolute IRI (see [*IETF RFC 3987* [p.93])) and should be dereferenceable. † [p.125]

#### 2.1.3 Mapping Description's XML Representation to Component Properties

The mapping from the XML Representation of the description *element information item* (see **2.1.2 XML Representation of Description Component** [p.15] ) to the properties of the Description [p.14] component is described in Table 2-1 [p.17] .

Table 2-1. Mapping from XML Representation to Description Component Properties

| Property                       | Value  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| {interfaces [p.14] }           | The set of Interface [p.19] components corresponding to all the interface element information items in the [children] of the description element information item, if any, plus any included (via wsdl:include) or imported (via wsdl:import) Interface [p.19] components (see 4. Modularizing WSDL 2.0 descriptions [p.81]).  |
| {bindings [p.14] }             | The set of Binding [p.51] components corresponding to all the binding element information items in the [children] of the description element information item, if any, plus any included (via wsdl:include) or imported (via wsdl:import) Binding [p.51] components (see 4. Modularizing WSDL 2.0 descriptions [p.81]).  |
| {services [p.14] }             | The set of Service [p.66] components corresponding to all the service element information items in the [children] of the description element information item, if any, plus any included (via wsdl:include) or imported (via wsdl:import) Service [p.66] components (see 4. Modularizing WSDL 2.0 descriptions [p.81]).  |
| {element declarations [p.14] } | The set of Element Declaration [p.14] components corresponding to all the element declarations defined as descendants of the types <i>element information item</i> , if any, plus any included (via xs:include) or imported (via xs:import) Element Declaration [p.14] components. At a minimum this will include all the global element declarations defined by XML Schema element <i>element information items</i> . It MAY also include any declarations from some other type system which describes the [local name], [namespace name], [attributes] and [children] properties of an <i>element information item</i> . Each XML Schema element declaration MUST have a unique QName. † [p.135] |
| {type definitions [p.14] }     | The set of Type Definition [p.14] components corresponding to all the type definitions defined as descendants of the types <i>element information item</i> , if any, plus any (via xs:include) or imported (via xs:import) Type Definition [p.14] components. At a minimum this will include all the global type definitions defined by XML Schema simpleType and complexType <i>element information items</i> . It MAY also include any definitions from some other type system which describes the [attributes] and [children] properties of an <i>element information item</i> . Each XML Schema type definition MUST have a unique QName. † [p.135]  |

## 2.2 Interface

#### 2.2.1 The Interface Component

An Interface [p.19] component describes sequences of messages that a service sends and/or receives. It does this by grouping related messages into operations. An operation is a sequence of input and output messages, and an interface is a set of operations.

An interface can optionally extend one or more other interfaces. To avoid circular definitions, an interface MUST NOT appear as an element of the set of interfaces it extends, either directly or indirectly. † [p.133] The set of operations available in an interface includes all the operations defined by the interfaces it extends, along with any operations it directly defines. The operations directly defined on an interface are referred to as the *declared* operations of the interface. In the process, operation components that are equivalent per **2.17 Equivalence of Components** [p.72] are treated as one. The interface extension mechanism behaves in a similar way for all other components that can be defined inside an interface, namely Interface Fault [p.23], Feature [p.41] and Property [p.45] components.

Interfaces are named constructs and can be referred to by QName (see **2.19 QName resolution** [p.73]). For instance, Binding [p.51] components refer to interfaces in this way.

The properties of the Interface component are as follows:

- {name} REQUIRED. An xs: QName.
- {extended interfaces} OPTIONAL. A set of declared Interface [p.19] components which this interface extends.
- {interface faults} OPTIONAL. The set of declared Interface Fault [p.23] components. The namespace name of the {name [p.23] } property of each Interface Fault [p.23] in this set MUST be the same as the namespace name of the {name [p.19] } property of this Interface [p.19] component. †
- {interface operations} OPTIONAL. A set of declared Interface Operation [p.27] components. The namespace name of the {name [p.27] } property of each Interface Operation [p.27] in this set MUST be the same as the namespace name of the {name [p.19] } property of this Interface [p.19] component. † [p.134]
- {features} OPTIONAL. A set of declared Feature [p.41] components.
- {properties} OPTIONAL. A set of declared Property [p.45] components.

For each Interface [p.19] component in the {interfaces [p.14] } property of a Description [p.14] component, the {name [p.19] } property MUST be unique. † [p.133]

#### 2.2.2 XML Representation of Interface Component

```
<description>
  <interface
    name="xs:NCName"
    extends="list of xs:QName"?
    styleDefault="list of xs:anyURI"? >
```

#### 2.2 Interface

The XML representation for an Interface [p.19] component is an *element information item* with the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of interface
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl"
- One or more *attribute information items* amongst its [attributes] as follows:
  - A REQUIRED name *attribute information item* as described below in **2.2.2.1 name attribute information item with interface [owner element]** [p.21] .
  - An OPTIONAL extends *attribute information item* as described below in **2.2.2.2 extends attribute information item** [p.21] .
  - An OPTIONAL styleDefault *attribute information item* as described below in **2.2.2.3 styleDefault attribute information item** [p.21] .
  - O Zero or more namespace qualified *attribute information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
- Zero or more *element information items* amongst its [children], in order, as follows:
  - 1. Zero or more documentation *element information items* (see **5. Documentation** [p.85]).
  - 2. Zero or more *element information items* from among the following, in any order:
    - Zero or more fault element information items 2.3.2 XML Representation of Interface Fault Component [p.24].
    - O Zero or more operation *element information items* **2.4.2 XML Representation of Interface Operation Component** [p.29].
    - O Zero or more feature *element information items* **2.7.2 XML Representation of Feature Component** [p.44].
    - O Zero or more property element information items **2.8.2 XML Representation of Property Component** [p.48].
    - O Zero or more namespace-qualified *element information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".

#### 2.2.2.1 name attribute information item with interface [owner element]

The name *attribute information item* together with the targetNamespace *attribute information item* of the [parent] description *element information item* forms the QName of the interface.

The name attribute information item has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of name
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the name *attribute information item* is *xs:NCName*.

#### 2.2.2.2 extends attribute information item

The extends attribute information item lists the interfaces that this interface derives from.

The extends attribute information item has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of extends
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the extends *attribute information item* is a list of *xs:QName*.

#### 2.2.2.3 styleDefault attribute information item

The styleDefault *attribute information item* indicates the default style (see **2.4.1.2 Operation Style** [p.29]) used to construct the {element declaration [p.33]} properties of {interface message references [p.27]} of all operations contained within the [owner element] interface.

The styleDefault *attribute information item* has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of styleDefault.
- A [namespace name] which has no value.

The type of the styleDefault *attribute information item* is *list of xs:anyURI*. Its value, if present, MUST contain absolute IRIs (see [*IETF RFC 3987 [p.93]* ]).  $^{\dagger}$  [p.126]

#### 2.2.3 Mapping Interface's XML Representation to Component Properties

The mapping from the XML Representation of the interface *element information item* (see **2.2.2 XML Representation of Interface Component** [p.19] ) to the properties of the Interface [p.19] component is as described in Table 2-2 [p.21] .

Table 2-2. Mapping from XML Representation to Interface Component Properties

| Property                       | Value   |
|--------------------------------|---|
| {name [p.19] }                 | The QName whose local name is actual value of the name attribute information item and whose namespace name is the actual value of the targetNamespace attribute information item of the [parent] description element information item |
| {extended interfaces [p.19] }  | The set of Interface [p.19] components resolved to by the values in the extends attribute information item, if any (see <b>2.19 QName resolution</b> [p.73]).   |
| {interface faults [p.19] }     | The set of Interface Fault [p.23] components corresponding to the fault <i>element information items</i> in [children], if any.   |
| {interface operations [p.19] } | The set of Interface Operation [p.27] components corresponding to the operation <i>element information items</i> in [children], if any.   |
| {features [p.19] }             | The set of Feature [p.41] components corresponding to the feature <i>element information items</i> in [children], if any.   |
| {properties [p.19] }           | The set of Property [p.45] components corresponding to the property <i>element</i> information items in [children], if any.   |

Recall that, per **2.2.1 The Interface Component** [p.19] , the Interface [p.19] components in the {extended interfaces [p.19] } property of a given Interface [p.19] component MUST NOT contain that Interface [p.19] component in any of their {extended interfaces [p.19] } properties, that is to say, recursive extension of interfaces is disallowed.

#### 2.3 Interface Fault

#### 2.3.1 The Interface Fault Component

A fault is an event that occurs during the execution of a message exchange that disrupts the normal flow of messages.

A fault is typically raised when a party is unable to communicate an error condition inside the normal message flow, or a party wishes to terminate a message exchange. A fault message may be used to communicate out of band information such as the reason for the error, the origin of the fault, as well as other informal diagnostics such as a program stack trace.

An Interface Fault [p.23] component describes a fault that MAY occur during invocation of an operation of the interface. The Interface Fault [p.23] component declares an abstract fault by naming it and indicating the contents of the fault message. When and how the fault message flows is indicated by the Interface Operation [p.27] component.

The Interface Fault [p.23] component provides a clear mechanism to name and describe the set of faults an interface may generate. This allows operations to easily identify the individual faults they may generate by name. This mechanism allows the ready identification of the same fault occurring across multiple operations and referenced in multiple bindings as well as reducing duplication of description for an

#### individual fault.

Faults other than the ones described in the Interface [p.19] component may also be generated at run-time, i.e. faults are an open set. The Interface [p.19] component describes faults that have application level semantics, i.e. that the client or service is expected to handle, and potentially recover from, as part of the application processing logic. For example, an Interface [p.19] component that accepts a credit card number may describe faults that indicate the credit card number is invalid, has been reported stolen, or has expired. The Interface [p.19] component does not describe general system faults such as network failures, out of memory conditions, out of disk space conditions, invalid message formats, etc., although these faults may be generated as part of the message exchange. Such general system faults can reasonably be expected to occur in any message exchange and explicitly describing them in an Interface [p.19] component is therefore uninformative.

The properties of the Interface Fault component are as follows:

- {name} REQUIRED. An xs: QName.
- {element declaration} OPTIONAL. A reference to a Element Declaration [p.14] component in the {element declarations [p.14] } property of the Description [p.14] component. This element represents the content or "payload" of the fault.
- {features} OPTIONAL. A set of Feature [p.41] components.
- {properties} OPTIONAL. A set of Property [p.45] components.
- {parent} REQUIRED. The Interface [p.19] component that contains this component in its {interface faults [p.19] } property.

For each Interface Fault [p.23] component in the {interface faults [p.19] } property of an Interface [p.19] component, the {name [p.23] } property must be unique.  $^{\dagger [p.133]}$ 

Interface Fault [p.23] components are uniquely identified by the the QName of the enclosing Interface [p.19] component and QName of the Interface Fault [p.23] component itself.

#### **Note:**

Despite having a {name [p.23] } property, Interface Fault [p.23] components cannot be identified solely by their QName. Indeed, two Interface [p.19] components whose {name [p.19] } property value has the same namespace name, but different local names, can contain Interface Fault [p.23] components with the same {name [p.23] } property value. Thus, the {name [p.23] } property of Interface Fault [p.23] component is not sufficient to form the unique identity of an Interface Fault [p.23] component. A method for uniquely identifying components is defined in **A.2 Fragment Identifiers** [p.97] . See **A.2.5 The Interface Fault Component** [p.100] for the definition of the fragment identifier for the Interface Fault [p.23] component.

In cases where, due to an interface extending one or more other interfaces, two or more Interface Fault [p.23] components have the same value for their {name [p.23] } property, then the component models of those Interface Fault [p.23] components MUST be equivalent (see **2.17 Equivalence of Components** [p.72]).  $^{\dagger [p.133]}$  If the Interface Fault [p.23] components are equivalent then they are considered to

collapse into a single component. It is an error if two Interface Fault [p.23] components that are available in the same Interface [p.19] component have the same value for their {name [p.23] } properties but are not equivalent.

Note that, due to the above rules, if two interfaces that have the same value for the namespace name of their {name [p.19] } property also have one or more faults that have the same value for their {name [p.23] } property then those two interfaces cannot both form part of the derivation chain of a derived interface unless those faults are the same fault.

#### Note:

For the above reason, it is considered good practice to ensure, where necessary, that the local name of the {name [p.23] } property of Interface Fault [p.23] components within a namespace are unique, thus allowing such derivation to occur without inadvertent error.

If a type system NOT based on the XML Infoset [XML Information Set [p.93]] is in use (as considered in **3.2 Using Other Schema Languages** [p.79]) then additional properties would need to be added to the Interface Fault [p.23] component (along with extensibility attributes to its XML representation) to allow associating such message types with the message reference.

#### 2.3.2 XML Representation of Interface Fault Component

The XML representation for an Interface Fault [p.23] component is an *element information item* with the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of fault
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl"
- One or more *attribute information items* amongst its [attributes] as follows:
  - A REQUIRED name *attribute information item* as described below in **2.3.2.1 name attribute information item with fault [owner element]** [p.25] .
  - An OPTIONAL element *attribute information item* as described below in **2.3.2.2 element attribute information item with fault [owner element]** [p.25] .

- Zero or more namespace qualified attribute information items whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
- Zero or more *element information item* amongst its [children], in order, as follows:
  - 1. Zero or more documentation *element information items* (see **5. Documentation** [p.85]).
  - 2. Zero or more *element information items* from among the following, in any order:
    - Zero or more feature element information items 2.7.2 XML Representation of Feature Component [p.44]
    - Zero or more property element information items 2.8.2 XML Representation of Property Component [p.48]
    - O Zero or more namespace-qualified *element information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".

#### 2.3.2.1 name attribute information item with fault [owner element]

The name attribute information item identifies a given fault element information item inside a given interface element information item.

The name *attribute information item* has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of name
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the name *attribute information item* is *xs:NCName*.

#### 2.3.2.2 element attribute information item with fault [owner element]

The element attribute information item refers, by QName, to an Element Declaration [p.14] component.

The element *attribute information item* has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of element.
- A [namespace name] which has no value.

The type of the element *attribute information item* is *xs:QName*.

#### 2.3.3 Mapping Interface Fault's XML Representation to Component Properties

The mapping from the XML Representation of the fault *element information item* (see **2.3.2 XML Representation of Interface Fault Component** [p.24]) to the properties of the Interface Fault [p.23] component is as described in Table 2-3 [p.26].

Table 2-3. Mapping from XML Representation to Interface Fault Component Properties

| Property                      | Value  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| {name [p.23] }                | The QName whose local name is the actual value of the name attribute information item. and whose namespace name is the actual value of the targetNamespace attribute information item of the [parent] description element information item of the [parent] interface element information item.   |
| {element declaration [p.23] } | The Element Declaration [p.14] component from the {element declarations [p.14] } property of the Description [p.14] component resolved to by the value of the element <i>attribute information item</i> if present (see <b>2.19 QName resolution</b> [p.73] ), otherwise empty. It is an error for the element <i>attribute information item</i> to have a value and for it to not resolve to an Element Declaration [p.14] component from the {element declarations [p.14] } property of the Description [p.14] component. $^{\dagger}$ [p.127] |
| {features [p.23] }            | The set of Feature [p.41] components corresponding to the feature <i>element</i> information items in [children], if any.  |
| {properties [p.23] }          | The set of Property [p.45] components corresponding to the property <i>element</i> information items in [children], if any.  |
| {parent [p.23] }              | The Interface [p.19] component corresponding to the interface <i>element</i> information item in [parent].   |

## 2.4 Interface Operation

#### 2.4.1 The Interface Operation Component

An Interface Operation [p.27] component describes an operation that a given interface supports. An operation is an interaction with the service consisting of a set of (ordinary and fault) messages exchanged between the service and the other parties involved in the interaction. The sequencing and cardinality of the messages involved in a particular interaction is governed by the *message exchange pattern* used by the operation (see {message exchange pattern [p.27] } property).

A message exchange pattern defines placeholders for messages, the participants in the pattern (i.e., the sources and sinks of the messages), and the cardinality and sequencing of messages exchanged by the participants. The message placeholders are associated with specific message types by the operation that uses the pattern by means of message and fault references (see {interface message references [p.27] } and {interface fault references [p.27] } properties). The service whose operation is using the pattern becomes one of the participants of the pattern. This specification does not define a machine understandable language for defining message exchange patterns, nor does it define any specific patterns. The companion specification, [WSDL 2.0 Adjuncts [p.94]] defines a set of such patterns and defines identifying IRIs any of which MAY be used as the value of the {message exchange pattern [p.27] } property.

The properties of the Interface Operation component are as follows:

- {name} REQUIRED. An xs: QName.
- {message exchange pattern} REQUIRED. An *xs:anyURI* identifying the message exchange pattern used by the operation. This *xs:anyURI* MUST be an absolute IRI (see [*IETF RFC 3987 [p.93]* ]). † [p.134]
- {interface message references} OPTIONAL. A set of Interface Message Reference [p.33] components for the ordinary messages the operation accepts or sends.
- {interface fault references} OPTIONAL. A set of Interface Fault Reference [p.37] components for the fault messages the operation accepts or sends.
- {style} OPTIONAL. A set of *xs:anyURIs* identifying the rules that were used to construct the {element declaration [p.33] } properties of {interface message references [p.27] }. (See **2.4.1.2 Operation Style** [p.29] .) These *xs:anyURIs* MUST be absolute IRIs (see [*IETF RFC 3986 [p.93*] ]). † [p.134]
- {features} OPTIONAL. A set of Feature [p.41] components.
- {properties} OPTIONAL. A set of Property [p.45] components.
- {parent} REQUIRED. The Interface [p.19] component that contains this component in its {interface operations [p.19] } property.

For each Interface Operation [p.27] component in the {interface operations [p.19] } property of an Interface [p.19] component, the {name [p.27] } property MUST be unique.  $^{\dagger [p.134]}$ 

Interface Operation [p.27] components are uniquely identified by the the QName of the enclosing Interface [p.19] component and QName of the Interface Operation [p.27] component itself.

#### Note:

Despite having a {name [p.27] } property, Interface Operation [p.27] components cannot be identified solely by their QName. Indeed, two Interface [p.19] components whose {name [p.19] } property value has the same namespace name, but different local names, can contain Interface Operation [p.27] components with the same {name [p.27] } property value. Thus, the {name [p.27] } property of Interface Operation [p.27] components is not sufficient to form the unique identity of an Interface Operation [p.27] component. A method for uniquely identifying components is defined in **A.2 Fragment Identifiers** [p.97] . See **A.2.6 The Interface Operation Component** [p.100] for the definition of the fragment identifier for the Interface Operation [p.27] component.

In cases where, due to an interface extending one or more other interfaces, two or more Interface Operation [p.27] components have the same value for their {name [p.27] } property, then the component models of those Interface Operation components MUST be equivalent (see **2.17 Equivalence of Components** [p.72]). † [p.134] If the Interface Operation [p.27] components are equivalent then they are considered to collapse into a single component. It is an error if two Interface Operation components have the same value for their {name [p.27] } property but are not equivalent.

Note that, due to the above rules, if two interfaces that have the same value for the namespace name of their {name [p.19] } property also have one or more operations that have the same value for their {name [p.27] } property then those two interfaces cannot both form part of the derivation chain of a derived interface unless those operations are the same operation.

#### Note:

For the above reason, it is considered good practice to ensure, where necessary, that the {name [p.27] } property of Interface Operation [p.27] components within a namespace are unique, thus allowing such derivation to occur without inadvertent error.

More than one Interface Fault Reference [p.37] component in the {interface fault references [p.27] } property of an Interface Operation [p.27] component may refer to the same message label. In that case, the listed fault types define alternative fault messages. This allows one to indicate that there is more than one type of fault that is related to that message.

#### 2.4.1.1 Message Exchange Pattern

This section describes some aspects of message exchange patterns in more detail. Refer to the WSDL Version 2.0 Part 2: Adjuncts specification [WSDL 2.0 Adjuncts [p.94]] for a complete discussion of the semantics of message exchange patterns in general as well as the definitions of the message exchange patterns that are predefined by WSDL 2.0.

A placeholder message is a template for an actual message as described by an Interface Message Reference [p.33] component. Although a placeholder message is not itself a component, it is useful to regard it as having both a {message label [p.33] } and a {direction [p.33] } property which define the values of the actual Interface Message Reference [p.33] component that corresponds to it. A placeholder message is also associated with some node that exchanges the message with the service. Furthermore, a placeholder message may be designated as optional in the exchange.

A fault propagation ruleset specifies the relation between the Interface Fault Reference [p.37] and Interface Message Reference [p.33] components of an Interface Operation [p.27] component. The WSDL Version 2.0 Part 2: Adjuncts specification [WSDL 2.0 Adjuncts [p.94]] defines three fault propagation rulesets which we'll refer to as fault-replaces-message, message-triggers-fault, and no-faults. These fault propagation rulesets are used by the predefined message exchange patterns. Other message exchange patterns may define additional fault propagation rulesets.

A *message exchange pattern* is a template for the exchange of one or more messages, and their associated faults, between the service and one or more other nodes as described by an Interface Operation [p.27] component. The service and the other nodes are referred to as the *participants* in the exchange. A message exchange pattern consists of a sequence of one or more placeholder messages. Each placeholder message within this sequence is uniquely identified by its {message label [p.33] } property. A message exchange pattern is uniquely identified by an absolute IRI which is used as the value of the {message exchange pattern [p.27] } property of the Interface Operation [p.27] component, and it specifies the fault propagation ruleset that its faults obey. † [p.135]

#### 2.4.1.2 Operation Style

An operation style specifies additional information about an operation. For example, an operation style may define structural constraints on the element declarations of the interface message reference or interface fault components used by the operation. This additional information in no way affects the messages and faults exchanged with the service and it may therefore be safely ignored in that context. However, the additional information may be used for other purposes, for example, improved code generation. The {style [p.27] } property of the Interface Operation [p.27] component contains a set of zero or more IRIs that identify operation styles. An Interface Operation [p.27] component MUST satisfy the specification defined by each operation style identified by its {style [p.27] } property. † [p.134] If no Interface Operation [p.27] component can simultaneously satisfy all of the styles, the document is invalid.

If the {style [p.27] } property of an Interface Operation [p.27] component does have a value, then that value (a set of IRIs) specifies the rules that were used to define the element declarations (or other properties that define the message and fault contents; see **3.2 Using Other Schema Languages** [p.79]) of the Interface Message Reference [p.33] or Interface Fault [p.23] components used by the operation. Although a given operation style has the ability to constrain *all* input and output messages and faults of an operation, it MAY choose to constrain any combination thereof, e.g. only the messages, or only the inputs.

Please refer to the WSDL Version 2.0 Part 2: Adjuncts specification [WSDL 2.0 Adjuncts [p.94]] for particular operation style definitions.

#### 2.4.2 XML Representation of Interface Operation Component

The XML representation for an Interface Operation [p.27] component is an *element information item* with the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of operation
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl"
- Two or more *attribute information items* amongst its [attributes] as follows:
  - A REQUIRED name *attribute information item* as described below in **2.4.2.1 name attribute information item with operation [owner element]** [p.30].

- A REQUIRED pattern *attribute information item* as described below in **2.4.2.2 pattern attribute information item with operation [owner element]** [p.31] .
- An OPTIONAL style *attribute information item* as described below in **2.4.2.3 style attribute information item with operation [owner element]** [p.31].
- O Zero or more namespace qualified *attribute information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
- One or more *element information item* amongst its [children], in order, as follows:
  - 1. Zero or more documentation *element information items* (see **5. Documentation** [p.85]).
  - 2. One or more *element information items* from among the following, in any order:
    - One or more *element information items* from among the following, in any order:
      - Zero or more input *element information items* (see **2.5.2 XML Representation of Interface Message Reference Component** [p.33]).
      - Zero or more output *element information items* (see **2.5.2 XML Representation of Interface Message Reference Component** [p.33]).
      - Zero or more infault *element information items* (see **2.6.2 XML Representation of Interface Fault Reference** [p.37]).
      - Zero or more outfault *element information items* (see **2.6.2 XML Representation of Interface Fault Reference** [p.37]).
    - Zero or more *element information items* from among the following, in any order:
      - A feature *element information item* (see **2.7.2 XML Representation of Feature Component** [p.44]).
      - A property *element information item* (see **2.8.2 XML Representation of Property Component** [p.48]).
      - Zero or more namespace-qualified *element information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".

#### 2.4.2.1 name attribute information item with operation [owner element]

The name attribute information item identifies a given operation element information item inside a given interface element information item.

The name *attribute information item* has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of name
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the name *attribute information item* is *xs:NCName*.

#### 2.4.2.2 pattern attribute information item with operation [owner element]

The pattern attribute information item identifies the message exchange pattern a given operation uses.

The pattern attribute information item has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of pattern
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the pattern attribute information item is xs:anyURI. Its value MUST be an absolute IRI (see [IETF RFC 3987 [p.93]]).  $^{\dagger$  [p.127]

#### 2.4.2.3 style attribute information item with operation [owner element]

The style *attribute information item* indicates the rules that were used to construct the {element declaration [p.33] } properties of the Interface Message Reference [p.33] components which are members of the {interface message references [p.27] } property of the [owner element] operation.

The style *attribute information item* has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of style
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the style attribute information item is list of xs:anyURI. Its value MUST be an absolute IRI (see [IETF RFC 3987 [p.93]]).  $^{\dagger}$  [p.127]

#### 2.4.3 Mapping Interface Operation's XML Representation to Component Properties

The mapping from the XML Representation of the operation *element information item* (see **2.4.2 XML Representation of Interface Operation Component** [p.29] ) to the properties of the Interface Operation component (see **2.4.1 The Interface Operation Component** [p.26] ) is as described in Table 2-4 [p.31] .

Table 2-4. Mapping from XML Representation to Interface Operation Component Properties

| Property                                 | Value  |
|--|--|
| {name [p.27] }                           | The QName whose local name is the actual value of the name attribute information item and whose namespace name is the actual value of the targetNamespace attribute information item of the [parent] description element information item of the [parent] interface element information item.  |
| {message<br>exchange pattern<br>[p.27] } | The actual value of the pattern <i>attribute information item</i> ; otherwise 'http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl/in-out'.   |
| {interface message references [p.27] }   | The set of message references corresponding to the input and output <i>element information items</i> in [children], if any.  |
| {interface fault references [p.27] }     | The set of interface fault references corresponding to the infault and outfault <i>element information items</i> in [children], if any.  |
| {style [p.27] }                          | The set containing the IRIs in the actual value of the style attribute information item, if present; otherwise the set containing the IRIs in the actual value of the styleDefault attribute information item of the [parent] interface element information item, if present; otherwise empty. |
| {features [p.27] }                       | The set of Feature [p.41] components corresponding to the feature <i>element</i> information items in [children], if any.  |
| {properties [p.27] }                     | The set of Property [p.45] components corresponding to the property <i>element</i> information items in [children], if any.  |
| {parent [p.27] }                         | The Interface [p.19] component corresponding to the interface <i>element</i> information item in [parent].   |

## 2.5 Interface Message Reference

#### 2.5.1 The Interface Message Reference Component

An Interface Message Reference [p.33] component associates a defined element with a message exchanged in an operation. By default, the element is defined in the XML Infoset [XML Information Set [p.93]].

A message exchange pattern defines a set of placeholder messages that participate in the pattern and assigns them unique message labels within the pattern (e.g. 'In', 'Out'). The purpose of an Interface Message Reference [p.33] component is to associate an actual message element (XML element declaration or some other declaration (see **3.2 Using Other Schema Languages** [p.79] )) with a message in the pattern, as identified by its message label. Later, when the message exchange pattern is instantiated, messages corresponding to that particular label will follow the element assignment made by the Interface Message Reference [p.33] component.

The properties of the Interface Message Reference component are as follows:

- {message label} REQUIRED. An *xs:NCName*. This property identifies the role this message plays in the {message exchange pattern [p.27] } of the Interface Operation [p.27] component this message is contained within. The value of this property MUST match the name of a placeholder message defined by the message exchange pattern. † [p.135]
- {direction} REQUIRED. An *xs:token* with one of the values *in* or *out*, indicating whether the message is coming to the service or going from the service, respectively. † [p.134] The direction MUST be the same as the direction of the message identified by the {message label [p.33] } property in the {message exchange pattern [p.27] } of the Interface Operation [p.27] component this is contained within. † [p.133]
- {message content model} REQUIRED. An *xs:token* with one of the values #any, #none, #other, or #element. † [p.134] A value of #any indicates that the message content is any single element. A value of #none indicates there is no message content. A value of #other indicates that the message content is described by some other extension property that references a declaration in a non-XML extension type system. A value of #element indicates that the message consists of a single element described by the global element declaration referenced by the {element declaration [p.33] } property. This property is used only when the message is described using an XML based data model.
- {element declaration} OPTIONAL. A reference to an XML element declaration in the {element declarations [p.14] } property of the Description component. This element represents the content or "payload" of the message. When the {message content model [p.33] } property has the value #any or #none the {element declaration [p.33] } property MUST be empty. † [p.133]
- {features} OPTIONAL. A set of Feature [p.41] components.
- {properties} OPTIONAL. A set of Property [p.45] components.
- {parent} REQUIRED. The Interface Operation [p.27] component that contains this component in its {interface message references [p.27] } property.

For each Interface Message Reference [p.33] component in the {interface message references [p.27] } property of an Interface Operation [p.27] component, its {message label [p.33] } property MUST be unique. † [p.134]

If a type system not based upon the XML Infoset is in use (as considered in **3.2 Using Other Schema Languages** [p.79]) then additional properties would need to be added to the Interface Message Reference [p.33] component (along with extensibility attributes to its XML representation) to allow associating such message types with the message reference.

#### 2.5.2 XML Representation of Interface Message Reference Component

#### 2.5 Interface Message Reference

The XML representation for an Interface Message Reference [p.33] component is an *element information item* with the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of input or output
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl"
- Zero or more attribute information items amongst its [attributes] as follows:
  - An OPTIONAL messageLabel *attribute information item* as described below in **2.5.2.1** messageLabel attribute information item with input or output [owner element] [p.35].
  - An OPTIONAL element *attribute information item* as described below in **2.5.2.2 element attribute information item with input or output [owner element]** [p.35].
  - O Zero or more namespace qualified *attribute information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
- Zero or more *element information item* amongst its [children], in order, as follows:
  - 1. Zero or more documentation *element information items* (see **5. Documentation** [p.85]).
  - 2. Zero or more *element information items* from among the following, in any order:
    - Zero or more feature element information items 2.7.2 XML Representation of Feature Component [p.44]
    - O Zero or more property element information items 2.8.2 XML Representation of Property Component [p.48]
    - O Zero or more namespace-qualified *element information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".

#### 2.5.2.1 messageLabel attribute information item with input or output [owner element]

The messageLabel *attribute information item* identifies the role of this message in the message exchange pattern of the given operation *element information item*.

The messageLabel attribute information item has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of messageLabel
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the messageLabel attribute information item is xs:NCName.

#### 2.5.2.2 element attribute information item with input or output [owner element]

The element *attribute information item* has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of element.
- A [namespace name] which has no value.

The type of the element *attribute information item* is a union of xs:QName and xs:token where the allowed token values are #any, #none, or #other. † [p.127]

## 2.5.3 Mapping Interface Message Reference's XML Representation to Component Properties

The mapping from the XML Representation of the interface message reference *element information item* (see **2.5.2 XML Representation of Interface Message Reference Component** [p.33] ) to the properties of the Interface Message Reference [p.33] component (see **2.5.1 The Interface Message Reference Component** [p.32] ) is as described in Table 2-5 [p.36] .

Define the *message exchange pattern* of the *element information item* to be the {message exchange pattern [p.27] } of the parent Interface Operation [p.27] component.

Define the *message direction* of the *element information item* to be *in* if its local name is input and *out* if its local name is output.

The messageLabel attribute information item of an interface message reference element information item MUST be present if the message exchange pattern has more than one placeholder message with  $\{direction\}$  equal to the message direction.  $^{\dagger}[p.127]$ 

If the messageLabel attribute information item of an interface message reference element information item is present then its actual value MUST match the {message label} of some placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction.  $^{\dagger [p.128]}$ 

If the messageLabel attribute information item of an interface message reference element information item is absent then there MUST be a unique placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction.  $^{\dagger}[p.128]$ 

Define the *effective message label* of an interface message reference *element information item* to be either the actual value of the messageLabel *attribute information item* if it is present, or the {message label} of the unique placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction if the *attribute information item* is absent.

Table 2-5. Mapping from XML Representation to Interface Message Reference Component Properties

| Property                           | Value   |
|------------------------------------|---|
| {message label [p.33] }            | The effective message label.  |
| {direction [p.33] }                | The message direction.  |
| {message content<br>model [p.33] } | If the element <i>attribute information item</i> is present and its value is a QName, then <i>#element</i> : otherwise the actual value of the element <i>attribute information item</i> , if any; otherwise <i>#other</i> .  |
| {element declaration [p.33] }      | If the element <i>attribute information item</i> is present and its value is a QName, then the Element Declaration [p.14] component from the {element declarations [p.14] } property of the Description [p.14] component resolved to by the value of the element <i>attribute information item</i> (see <b>2.19 QName resolution</b> [p.73] ); otherwise empty. It is an error for the element <i>attribute information item</i> to have a value and for it to NOT resolve to an Element Declaration [p.14] from the {element declarations [p.14] } property of the Description [p.14] . <sup>† [p.127]</sup> |
| {features [p.33] }                 | The set of Feature [p.41] components corresponding to the feature <i>element information items</i> in [children], if any.   |
| {properties [p.33] }               | The set of Property [p.45] components corresponding to the property <i>element</i> information items in [children], if any.   |
| {parent [p.33] }                   | The Interface Operation [p.27] component corresponding to the interface element information item in [parent].   |

#### 2.6 Interface Fault Reference

#### **2.6.1** The Interface Fault Reference Component

An Interface Fault Reference [p.37] component associates a defined type, specified by an Interface Fault [p.23] component, to a fault message exchanged in an operation.

A message exchange pattern defines a set of placeholder messages that participate in the pattern and assigns them unique message labels within the pattern (e.g. 'In', 'Out'). The purpose of an Interface Fault Reference [p.37] component is to associate an actual message type (XML element declaration or some other declaration (see **3.2 Using Other Schema Languages** [p.79]) for message content, as specified by an Interface Fault [p.23] component) with a fault message occurring in the pattern. In order to identify the fault message it describes, the Interface Fault Reference [p.37] component uses the message label of the message the fault is associated with as a key.

The companion specification [WSDL 2.0 Adjuncts [p.94]] defines several fault propagation rulesets that a given message exchange pattern may use. For the ruleset fault-replaces-message, the message that the fault relates to identifies the message in place of which the declared fault message will occur. Thus, the fault message will travel in the same direction as the message it replaces in the pattern. For the ruleset message-triggers-fault, the message that the fault relates to identifies the message after which the indicated fault may occur, in the opposite direction of the referred to message. That is, the fault message will travel in the opposite direction of the message it comes after in the message exchange pattern.

The properties of the Interface Fault Reference component are as follows:

- {interface fault} REQUIRED. An Interface Fault [p.23] component in the {interface faults [p.19] } property of the [parent] Interface Operation [p.27] component's [parent] Interface [p.19] component, or an Interface [p.19] component that it directly or indirectly extends. Identifying the Interface Fault [p.23] component therefore indirectly defines the actual content or payload of the fault message.
- {message label} REQUIRED. An *xs:NCName*. This property identifies the message this fault relates to among those defined in the {message exchange pattern [p.27] } property of the Interface Operation [p.27] component it is contained within. The value of this property MUST match the name of a placeholder message defined by the message exchange pattern. † [p.133]
- {direction} REQUIRED. A *xs:token* with one of the values *in* or *out*, indicating whether the fault is coming to the service or going from the service, respectively. The direction MUST be consistent with the direction implied by the fault propagation ruleset used in the message exchange pattern of the operation. † [p.133] For example, if the ruleset *fault-replaces-message* is used, then a fault that refers to an outgoing message would have a {direction [p.37] } property value of *out*. On the other hand, if the ruleset *message-triggers-fault* is used, then a fault that refers to an outgoing message would have a {direction [p.37] } property value of *in* as the fault travels in the opposite direction of the message.
- {features} OPTIONAL. A set of Feature [p.41] components.
- {properties} OPTIONAL. A set of Property [p.45] components.
- {parent} REQUIRED. The Interface Operation [p.27] component that contains this component in its {interface fault references [p.27] } property.

For each Interface Fault Reference [p.37] component in the {interface fault references [p.27] } property of an Interface Operation [p.27] component, the combination of its {interface fault [p.37] } and {message label [p.37] } properties MUST be unique.  $^{\dagger}$  [p.133]

### 2.6.2 XML Representation of Interface Fault Reference

The XML representation for a Interface Fault Reference [p.37] component is an *element information item* with the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of infault or outfault
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl"
- One or more *attribute information items* amongst its [attributes] as follows:
  - A REQUIRED ref attribute information item as described below in **2.6.2.1 ref attribute** information item with infault, or outfault [owner element] [p.38].
  - An OPTIONAL messageLabel attribute information item as described below in 2.6.2.2 messageLabel attribute information item with infault, or outfault [owner element] [p.39].
  - O Zero or more namespace qualified *attribute information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
- Zero or more *element information item* amongst its [children], in order, as follows:
  - 1. Zero or more documentation *element information items* (see **5. Documentation** [p.85]).
  - 2. Zero or more *element information items* from among the following, in any order:
    - Zero or more feature element information items 2.7.2 XML Representation of Feature Component [p.44]
    - O Zero or more property *element information items* **2.8.2 XML Representation of Property Component** [p.48]
    - O Zero or more namespace-qualified *element information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".

#### 2.6.2.1 ref attribute information item with infault, or outfault [owner element]

The ref attribute information item refers to a fault component.

The ref *attribute information item* has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of ref
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the ref *attribute information item* is *xs:QName*.

# 2.6.2.2 messageLabel attribute information item with infault, or outfault [owner element]

The messageLabel *attribute information item* identifies the message in the message exchange pattern of the given operation *element information item* that is associated with this fault.

The messageLabel attribute information item has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of messageLabel
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the messageLabel *attribute information item* is *xs:NCName*.

The messageLabel attribute information item MUST be present in the XML representation of an Interface Fault Reference [p.37] component with a given {direction [p.37] } if the {message exchange pattern [p.27] } of the parent Interface Operation [p.27] component has more than one fault with that direction. † [p.127] Recall that the fault propagation ruleset of the {message exchange pattern [p.27] } specifies the relation between faults and messages. For example, the fault-replaces-message ruleset specifies that the faults have the same direction as the messages, while the message-triggers-fault ruleset specifies that the faults have the opposite direction from the messages.

# **2.6.3** Mapping Interface Fault Reference's XML Representation to Component Properties

The mapping from the XML Representation of the message reference *element information item* (see **2.6.2 XML Representation of Interface Fault Reference** [p.37] ) to the properties of the Interface Fault Reference component (see **2.6.1 The Interface Fault Reference Component** [p.36] ) is as described in Table 2-6 [p.40] .

Define the *message exchange pattern* of the *element information item* to be the {message exchange pattern [p.27] } of the parent Interface Operation [p.27] component.

Define the *fault direction* of the *element information item* to be *in* if its local name is infault and *out* if its local name is outfault.

Define the *message direction* of the *element information item* to be the {direction} of the placeholder message associated with the fault as specifed by the fault propagation ruleset of the message exchange pattern.

The messageLabel *attribute information item* of an interface fault reference *element information item* MUST be present if the message exchange pattern has more than one placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction. † [p.127]

If the messageLabel *attribute information item* of an interface fault reference *element information item* is present then its actual value MUST match the {message label} of some placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction.  $^{\dagger}$  [p.128]

If the messageLabel *attribute information item* of an interface fault reference *element information item* is absent then there MUST be a unique placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction.  $^{\dagger}[p.128]$ 

Define the *effective message label* of an interface fault reference *element information item* to be either the actual value of the messageLabel *attribute information item* if it is present, or the {message label} of the unique placeholder message whose {direction} is equal to the message direction if the *attribute information item* is absent.

Table 2-6. Mapping from XML Representation to Interface Fault Reference Component Properties

| Property                  | Value   |
|---------------------------|---|
| {interface fault [p.37] } | The Interface Fault [p.23] component from {interface faults [p.19] } property of the parent Interface [p.19] component, or an Interface [p.19] component that it directly or indirectly extends, with {name [p.23] } equal to the actual value of the ref attribute information item. |
| {message label [p.37] }   | The effective message label.  |
| {direction [p.37] }       | The fault direction.  |
| {features [p.37] }        | The set of Feature [p.41] components corresponding to the feature <i>element</i> information items in [children], if any.   |
| {properties [p.37] }      | The set of Property [p.45] components corresponding to the property <i>element</i> information items in [children], if any.   |
| {parent [p.37] }          | The Interface Operation [p.27] component corresponding to the interface element information item in [parent].   |

#### 2.7 Feature

### 2.7.1 The Feature Component

A Feature [p.41] component describes an abstract piece of functionality typically associated with the exchange of messages between communicating parties. Although WSDL 2.0 imposes no constraints on the potential scope of such features, examples might include "reliability", "security", "correlation", and "routing". The presence of a Feature [p.41] component in a WSDL 2.0 description indicates that the service supports the feature and may require that a client that interacts with the service use that feature.

Each Feature [p.41] is identified by its IRI.

WSDL 2.0's Feature [p.41] concept is derived from SOAP 1.2's abstract feature concept ([SOAP 1.2 Part 1: Messaging Framework [p.94]]). Every SOAP 1.2 abstract feature is therefore also a WSDL 2.0 Feature [p.41]. There is no need to define a separate WSDL 2.0 Feature [p.41] in order to use a particular SOAP 1.2 feature. The SOAP 1.2 feature can be used directly.

The properties of the Feature component are as follows:

- {ref} REQUIRED. An *xs:anyURI*. This *xs:anyURI* MUST be an absolute IRI as defined by [*IETF RFC 3987 [p.93]*]. † [p.132] This IRI SHOULD be dereferenceable to a document that directly or indirectly defines the meaning and use of the Feature [p.41] that it identifies.
- {required} REQUIRED. An *xs:boolean*. If the value of this property is *true*, then the client MUST use the Feature [p.41] that is identified by the {ref [p.41] } IRI. Otherwise, the client MAY use the Feature [p.41] that is identified by the {ref [p.41] } IRI. In either case, if the client does use the Feature that is identified by the {ref [p.41] } IRI, then the client MUST obey all semantics implied by the definition of that Feature [p.41].
- {parent} REQUIRED. The component that contains this component in its {features [p.41] } property.

The {ref [p.41] } property of a Feature [p.41] component MUST be unique within the {features [p.41] } property of an Interface [p.19] , Interface Fault [p.23] , Interface Operation [p.27] , Interface Message Reference [p.33] , Interface Fault Reference [p.37] , Binding [p.51] , Binding Fault [p.54] , Binding Operation [p.57] , Binding Message Reference [p.60] , Binding Fault Reference [p.63] , Service [p.66] , or Endpoint [p.69] component.  $^{\dagger}$  [p.132]

## 2.7.1.1 Feature Composition Model

The set of features which are required or available for a given component consists of the combined set of ALL feature declarations applicable to that component. A feature is applicable to a component if:

- it is asserted directly within that component, or
- it is asserted in a containing component, or
- it is asserted in a component referred to by the current component.

Many of the component types in the component model contain a {features} property, which is a set of Feature [p.41] components. We refer to these as the *declared features* of the component. Furthermore, the {features [p.41] } property is itself a subset of Feature [p.41] components that are required or available for the given component as determined by the Feature Composition Model. We refer to these as the *in-scope features* of the component.

Following these rules, the set of features applicable at each component are as follows:

- Interface [p.19] component: all features asserted within the Interface [p.19] component and those with any extended Interface [p.19] components.
- Interface Fault [p.23] component: all features asserted within the Interface Fault [p.23] component and those within the parent Interface [p.19] component.
- Interface Operation [p.27] component: all features asserted within the Interface Operation [p.27] component and those within the parent Interface [p.19] component.
- Interface Message Reference [p.33] component: all features asserted within the Interface Message Reference [p.33] component, those within the parent Interface Operation [p.27] component and those within its parent Interface [p.19] component.
- Interface Fault Reference [p.37] component: all features asserted within the Interface Fault Reference [p.37] component, those within the parent Interface Operation [p.27] component and those within its parent Interface [p.19] component.
- Binding [p.51] component: all features asserted within the Binding [p.51] component and those within the Interface [p.19] component referred to by the Binding [p.51] component (if any).
- Binding Fault [p.54] component: all features asserted within the Binding Fault [p.54] component, those within the parent Binding [p.51] component, those within the corresponding Interface Fault [p.23] component, and those within the Interface [p.19] component referred to by the Binding [p.51] component.
- Binding Operation [p.57] component: all features asserted within the Binding Operation [p.57] component, those within the parent Binding [p.51] component, those within the corresponding Interface Operation [p.27] component, and those within the Interface [p.19] component referred to by the Binding [p.51] component.
- Binding Message Reference [p.60] component: all features asserted within the Binding Message Reference [p.60] component, those within the parent Binding [p.51] operation component, those within its parent Binding [p.51] component, those within the corresponding Interface Message Reference [p.33] component, and those within the Interface [p.19] component referred to by the Binding [p.51] component.
- Binding Fault Reference [p.63] component: all features asserted within the Binding Fault Reference [p.63] component, those within the parent Binding Operation [p.57] component, those within its parent Binding [p.51] component, those within the corresponding Interface Fault Reference [p.37] component, and those within the Interface [p.19] component referred to by the Binding [p.51] component.
- Service [p.66] component: all features asserted within the Service [p.66] component and those within the Interface [p.19] implemented by the Service [p.66] component.
- Endpoint [p.69] component: all features asserted within the Endpoint [p.69] component, whose within the Binding [p.51] component implemented by the Endpoint [p.69] component, and those within the parent Service [p.66] component.

If a given feature is asserted at multiple locations, then the value of that feature at a particular component is determined by the conjunction of all the constraints implied by its asserted values. If a feature is not required then it may or may not be engaged, but if a feature is required then it must be engaged. Therefore, the conjunction of a required value and a non-required value is a required value. A composed feature is required if and only if at least one of its asserted values is required. This rule may be summarized as "true trumps".

### 2.7.1.1.1 Example of Feature Composition Model

In the following example, the depositFunds operation on the BankService has to be used with the ISO9001, the notarization and the secure-channel features; they are all in scope. The fact that the notarization feature is declared both in the operation and in the binding has no effect.

```
<description targetNamespace="http://example.com/bank"</pre>
     xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl"
     xmlns:ns1="http://example.com/bank">
 <interface name="ns1:Bank">
    <!-- All implementations of this interface must be secure -->
    <feature ref="http://example.com/secure-channel"</pre>
             required="true"/>
    <operation name="withdrawFunds">
      <!-- This operation must have ACID properties -->
      <feature ref="http://example.com/transaction"</pre>
               required="true"/>
    </operation>
    <operation name="depositFunds">
      <!-- This operation requires notarization -->
      <feature ref="http://example.com/notarization"</pre>
               required="true"/>
    </operation>
  </interface>
  <binding name="ns1:BankSOAPBinding">
    <!-- This particular binding requires ISO9001
         compliance to be verifiable -->
   <feature ref="http://example.com/ISO9001"</pre>
             required="true"/>
    <!-- This binding also requires notarization -->
    <feature ref="http://example.com/notarization"</pre>
             required="true"/>
 </binding>
  <service name="ns1:BankService"</pre>
           interface="tns:Bank">
    <endpoint binding="ns1:BankSOAPBinding">
    </endpoint>
  </service>
</description>
```

## 2.7.2 XML Representation of Feature Component

```
<feature
    ref="xs:anyURI"
    required="xs:boolean"? >
    <documentation />*
</feature>
```

The XML representation for a Feature [p.41] component is an *element information item* with the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of feature
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl"
- One or more *attribute information items* amongst its [attributes] as follows:
  - A REQUIRED ref attribute information item as described below in **2.7.2.1 ref attribute** information item with feature [owner element] [p.44].
  - An OPTIONAL required *attribute information item* as described below in **2.7.2.2 required attribute information item with feature [owner element]** [p.44] .
  - O Zero or more namespace qualified *attribute information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
- Zero or more *element information items* amongst its [children], in order as follows:
  - 1. Zero or more documentation *element information items* (see **5. Documentation** [p.85]).
  - 2. Zero or more namespace-qualified *element information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".

## 2.7.2.1 ref attribute information item with feature [owner element]

The ref *attribute information item* specifies the IRI of the feature.

The ref *attribute information item* has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of ref
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the ref attribute information item is xs:anyURI.

#### 2.7.2.2 required attribute information item with feature [owner element]

The required *attribute information item* specifies whether the use of the feature is mandatory or optional.

The required *attribute information item* has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of required
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the required attribute information item is xs:boolean.

## 2.7.3 Mapping Feature's XML Representation to Component Properties

The mapping from the XML Representation of the feature *element information item* (see **2.7.2 XML Representation of Feature Component** [p.44]) to the properties of the Feature [p.41] component (see **2.7.1 The Feature Component** [p.40]) is as described in Table 2-7 [p.45].

| Property           | Value   |
|--------------------|---|
| {ref [p.41] }      | The actual value of the ref attribute information item.                                     |
| {required [p.41] } | The actual value of the required attribute information item, if present, otherwise "false". |
| {parent [p.41] }   | The component corresponding to the <i>element information item</i> in [parent].             |

Table 2-7. Mapping from XML Representation to Feature Component Properties

## 2.8 Property

### 2.8.1 The Property Component

A "property" in the Features and Properties architecture represents a named runtime value which affects the behavior of some aspect of a Web service interaction, much like an environment variable. For example, a reliable messaging SOAP module may specify a property to control the number of retries in the case of network failure. WSDL 2.0 documents may specify the value constraints for these properties by referring to a Schema type, or by specifying a particular value. Properties, and hence property values, can be shared amongst features/bindings/modules, and are named with IRIs precisely to allow this type of sharing.

The properties of the Property component are as follows:

- {ref} REQUIRED. An *xs:anyURI*. This *xs:anyURI* MUST be an absolute IRI as defined by [*IETF RFC 3987 [p.93]*]. † [p.135] This IRI SHOULD be dereferenceable to a document that directly or indirectly defines the meaning and use of the Property that it identifies.
- {value constraint} OPTIONAL. A reference to a Type Definition [p.14] component in the {type definitions [p.14] } property of the Description [p.14] component constraining the value of the Property [p.45] , or the token #value if the {value [p.46] } property is not empty. † [p.135]

- {value} OPTIONAL. The value of the Property, an ordered list of child information items, as specified by the [children] property of *element information items* in [XML Information Set [p.93]].
- {parent} REQUIRED. The component that contains this component in its {properties [p.46] } property.

The {ref [p.45] } property of a Property [p.45] component MUST be unique within the {properties [p.46] } property of an Interface [p.19] , Interface Fault [p.23] , Interface Operation [p.27] , Interface Message Reference [p.33] , Interface Fault Reference [p.37] , Binding [p.51] , Binding Fault [p.54] , Binding Operation [p.57] , Binding Message Reference [p.60] , Binding Fault Reference [p.63] , Service [p.66] , or Endpoint [p.69] component.  $^{\dagger}$  [p.135]

If a type system not based upon the XML Infoset is in use (as considered in **3.2 Using Other Schema Languages** [p.79]) then additional properties would need to be added to the Property [p.45] component (along with extensibility attributes to its XML representation) to allow using such a type system to describe values and constraints for properties.

#### 2.8.1.1 Property Composition Model

At runtime, the behavior of features, (SOAP) modules and bindings may be affected by the values of in-scope properties. Properties combine into a virtual "execution context" which maps property names (IRIs) to constraints. Each property IRI MAY therefore be associated with AT MOST one property constraint for a given interaction.

The set of properties which are required or available for a given component consists of the combined set of ALL property declarations applicable to that component. A property is applicable to a component if:

- it is asserted directly within that component, or
- it is asserted in a containing component, or
- it is asserted in a component referred to by the current component.

Many of the component types in the component model contain a {properties} property, which is a set of Property [p.45] components. We refer to these as the *declared properties* of the component. Furthermore, the {properties [p.46] } property is itself a subset of Property [p.45] components that are required or available for the given component as determined by the Property Composition Model. We refer to these as the *in-scope properties* of the component.

Following these rules, the set of properties applicable at each component are as follows:

- Interface [p.19] component: all properties asserted within the Interface [p.19] component and those with any extended Interface [p.19] components.
- Interface Fault [p.23] component: all properties asserted within the Interface Fault [p.23] component and those within the parent Interface [p.19] component.

- Interface Operation [p.27] component: all properties asserted within the Interface Operation [p.27] component and those within the parent Interface [p.19] component.
- Interface Message Reference [p.33] component: all properties asserted within the Interface Message Reference [p.33] component, those within the parent Interface Operation [p.27] component and those within its parent Interface [p.19] component.
- Binding [p.51] component: all properties asserted within the Binding [p.51] component and those within the Interface [p.19] component referred to by the Binding [p.51] component (if any).
- Binding Fault [p.54] component: all properties asserted within the Binding Fault [p.54] component, those within the parent Binding [p.51] component, those within the corresponding Interface Fault [p.23] component, and those within the Interface [p.19] component referred to by the Binding [p.51] component.
- Binding Operation [p.57] component: all properties asserted within the Binding Operation [p.57] component, those within the parent Binding [p.51] component, those within the corresponding Interface Operation [p.27] component, and those within the Interface [p.19] component referred to by the Binding [p.51] component.
- Binding Message Reference [p.60] component: all properties asserted within the Binding Message Reference [p.60] component, those within the parent Binding Operation [p.57] component, those within its parent Binding [p.51] component, those within the corresponding Interface Message Reference [p.33] component, and those within the Interface [p.19] component referred to by the Binding [p.51] component.
- Binding Fault Reference [p.63] component: all properties asserted within the Binding Fault Reference [p.63] component, those within the parent Binding Operation [p.57] component, those within its parent Binding [p.51] component, those within the corresponding Interface Fault Reference [p.37] component, and those within the Interface [p.19] component referred to by the Binding [p.51] component.
- Service [p.66] component: all properties asserted within the Service [p.66] component and those within the Interface [p.19] implemented by the Service [p.66] component.
- Endpoint [p.69] component: all properties asserted within the Endpoint [p.69] component, whose within the Binding [p.51] component implemented by the Endpoint [p.69] component, and those within the parent Service [p.66] component.

Note that, in the text above, "property constraint" (or, simply, "constraint") is used to mean EITHER a constraint inside a Property [p.45] component OR a value, since value may be considered a special case of constraint.

If a given Property is asserted at multiple locations, then the value of that Property at a particular component is determined by the conjunction of all the constraints of its in-scope Property [p.45] components. A Property constraint asserts that, for a given interaction, the value of a Property is either a specified value or belongs to a specified set of values. A specified value may be regarded as a singleton set, so in both cases a Property constraint corresponds to an assertion that the Property value belongs to

some set. The conjunction of all the constraints associated with the in-scope properties is an assertion that the property value belongs to each of the associated sets, or equivalently, that the value belongs to the intersection of all the associated sets. If the intersection of the associated sets is empty, then the property constraints are mutually incompatible, and the composition is invalid. Therefore, the intersection of the associated sets SHOULD NOT be empty.

#### Note:

The reason that we phrase the requirement for a non-empty intersection as SHOULD rather than MUST, is that in general, it may be computationally difficult to determine by inspection of the type definitions that the intersection of two or more value sets is empty. Therefore, it is not a strict validity requirement that the intersection of the value sets be non-empty. An empty intersection will always result in failure of the service at run-time.

However, it is in general feasible to test specified values for either equality or membership in value sets. All specified values MUST be equal and belong to each specified value set. † [p.135]

## 2.8.2 XML Representation of Property Component

The XML representation for a Property [p.45] component is an *element information item* with the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of property
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl"
- One or more *attribute information items* amongst its [attributes] as follows:
  - A REQUIRED ref attribute information item as described below in **2.8.2.1 ref attribute** information item with property [owner element] [p.49].
  - O Zero or more namespace qualified *attribute information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
- Zero or more *element information items* amongst its [children], in order as follows:
  - 1. Zero or more documentation *element information items* (see **5. Documentation** [p.85]).
  - 2. One OPTIONAL *element information item* from among the following:
    - A value *element information item* as described in **2.8.2.2 value element information item with property [parent]** [p.49]

- A constraint *element information item* as described in **2.8.2.3 constraint element information item with property [parent]** [p.49]
- 3. Zero or more namespace-qualified *element information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".

#### 2.8.2.1 ref attribute information item with property [owner element]

The ref *attribute information item* specifies the IRI of the property. It has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of ref
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the ref attribute information item is xs:anyURI.

#### 2.8.2.2 value *element information item* with property [parent]

The value *element information item* specifies the value of the property. It has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of value
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl"

The type of the value *element information item* is xs:anyType.

#### 2.8.2.3 constraint *element information item* with property [parent]

The constraint *element information item* specifies a constraint on the value of the property. It has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of constraint
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl"

The type of the constraint attribute information item is xs:QName.

## 2.8.3 Mapping Property's XML Representation to Component Properties

The mapping from the XML Representation of the property *element information item* (see **2.8.2 XML Representation of Property Component** [p.48]) to the properties of the Property [p.45] component (see **2.8.1 The Property Component** [p.45]) is as described in Table 2-8 [p.50].

| Property                   | Value  |
|----------------------------|--|
| {ref [p.45] }              | The actual value of the ref attribute information item.  |
| {value constraint [p.45] } | If the constraint <i>element information item</i> is present, the Type Definition [p.14] component from the {type definitions [p.14] } property of the Description [p.14] component resolved to by the value of the constraint <i>element information item</i> (see <b>2.19 QName resolution</b> [p.73]); otherwise, if the value <i>element information item</i> is present, the token #value; otherwise empty. |
| {value [p.46] }            | The value of the [children] property of the value <i>element information item</i> , if that element is present, otherwise empty.   |
| {parent [p.46] }           | The component corresponding to the <i>element information item</i> in [parent].  |

Table 2-8. Mapping from XML Representation to Property Component Properties

## 2.9 Binding

## 2.9.1 The Binding Component

A Binding [p.51] component describes a concrete message format and transmission protocol which may be used to define an endpoint (see **2.15 Endpoint** [p.69]). That is, a Binding [p.51] component defines the implementation details necessary to access the service.

Binding [p.51] components can be used to describe such information in a reusable manner for any interface or specifically for a given interface. Furthermore, binding information MAY be specified on a per-operation basis (see **2.11.1 The Binding Operation Component** [p.57]) within an interface in addition to across all operations of an interface.

If a Binding [p.51] component specifies any operation-specific binding details (by including Binding Operation [p.57] components) or any fault binding details (by including Binding Fault [p.54] components) then it MUST specify an interface the Binding [p.51] component applies to, so as to indicate which interface the operations come from. † [p.130]

Conversely, a Binding [p.51] component which omits any operation-specific binding details and any fault binding details MAY omit specifying an interface. Binding [p.51] components that do not specify an interface MAY be used to specify operation-independent binding details for Service [p.66] components with different interfaces. That is, such Binding [p.51] components are reusable across one or more interfaces.

No concrete binding details are given in this specification. The companion specification, WSDL (Version 2.0): Adjuncts [WSDL 2.0 Adjuncts [p.94]] defines such bindings for SOAP 1.2 [SOAP 1.2 Part 1: Messaging Framework [p.94]] and HTTP [IETF RFC 2616 [p.94]]. Other specifications MAY define additional binding details. Such specifications are expected to annotate the Binding [p.51] component (and its sub-components) with additional properties and specify the mapping from the XML representation to those properties.

A Binding [p.51] component that defines bindings for an Interface [p.19] component MUST define bindings for all the operations of that Interface [p.19] component. † [p.130] The bindings may occur via defaulting rules which allow one to specify default bindings for all operations (see, for example [WSDL 2.0 Adjuncts [p.94]]) or by directly listing each Interface Operation [p.27] component of the Interface [p.19] component and defining bindings for them. Thus, it is an error for a Binding [p.51] component to not define bindings for all the Interface Operation [p.27] components of the Interface [p.19] component for which the Binding [p.51] component purportedly defines bindings for.

Bindings are named constructs and can be referred to by QName (see **2.19 QName resolution** [p.73]). For instance, Endpoint [p.69] components refer to bindings in this way.

The properties of the Binding component are as follows:

- {name} REQUIRED. An xs: QName.
- {interface} OPTIONAL. An Interface [p.19] component indicating the interface for which binding information is being specified.
- {type} REQUIRED. An *xs:anyURI*. This *xs:anyURI* MUST be an absolute IRI as defined by [*IETF RFC 3987 [p.93]*]. † [p.130] The value of this IRI indicates what kind of concrete binding details are contained within this Binding [p.51] component. Specifications (such as [WSDL 2.0 Adjuncts [p.94]]) that define such concrete binding details MUST specify appropriate values for this property. The value of this property MAY be the namespace name of the extension elements or attributes which define those concrete binding details.
- {binding faults} OPTIONAL. A set of Binding Fault [p.54] components.
- {binding operations} OPTIONAL. A set of Binding Operation [p.57] components.
- {features} OPTIONAL. A set of Feature [p.41] components.
- {properties} OPTIONAL. A set of Property [p.45] components.

For each Binding [p.51] component in the {bindings [p.14] } property of a Description [p.14] component, the {name [p.51] } property MUST be unique.  $^{\dagger}$  [p.130]

## 2.9.2 XML Representation of Binding Component

```
<description>
  <binding
    name="xs:NCName"
    interface="xs:QName"?
    type="xs:anyURI" >
```

#### 2.9 Binding

The XML representation for a Binding [p.51] component is an *element information item* with the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of binding
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl"
- Two or more *attribute information items* amongst its [attributes] as follows:
  - A REQUIRED name *attribute information item* as described below in **2.9.2.1 name attribute** information item with binding [owner element] [p.53].
  - An OPTIONAL interface *attribute information item* as described below in **2.9.2.2 interface attribute information item with binding [owner element]** [p.53].
  - An REQUIRED type *attribute information item* as described below in **2.9.2.3 type attribute information item with binding [owner element]** [p.53].
  - O Zero or more namespace qualified *attribute information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
- Zero or more *element information items* amongst its [children], in order, as follows:
  - 1. Zero or more documentation *element information items* (see **5. Documentation** [p.85]).
  - 2. Zero or more *element information items* from among the following, in any order:
    - Zero or more fault element information items (see 2.10.2 XML Representation of Binding Fault Component [p.55]).
    - O Zero or more operation *element information items* (see **2.11.2 XML Representation of Binding Operation Component** [p.58]).
    - Zero or more feature *element information items* (see **2.7.2 XML Representation of Feature Component** [p.44] ).
    - O Zero or more property *element information items* (see **2.8.2 XML Representation of Property Component** [p.48]).
    - O Zero or more namespace-qualified *element information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl". Such *element information items* are considered to be binding extension elements(see **2.9.2.4 Binding extension elements** [p.53]).

### 2.9.2.1 name attribute information item with binding [owner element]

The name attribute information item together with the targetNamespace attribute information item of the description element information item forms the QName of the binding.

The name attribute information item has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of name
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the name *attribute information item* is *xs:NCName*.

#### 2.9.2.2 interface attribute information item with binding [owner element]

The interface attribute information item refers, by QName, to an Interface [p.19] component.

The interface attribute information item has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of interface
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the interface attribute information item is xs: QName.

#### 2.9.2.3 type attribute information item with binding [owner element]

The type *attribute information item* identifies the kind of binding details contained in the Binding [p.51] component.

The type attribute information item has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of type
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the type attribute information item is xs:anyURI.

#### 2.9.2.4 Binding extension elements

Binding extension elements are used to provide information specific to a particular binding. The semantics of such *element information items* are defined by the specification for those *element information items*. Such specifications are expected to annotate the Binding [p.51] component with additional properties and specify the mapping from the XML representation to those properties.

## 2.9.3 Mapping Binding's XML Representation to Component Properties

The mapping from the XML Representation of the binding *element information item* (see **2.9.2 XML Representation of Binding Component** [p.51]) to the properties of the Binding [p.51] component (see **2.9.1 The Binding Component** [p.50]) is as described in Table 2-9 [p.54].

Table 2-9. Mapping from XML Representation to Binding Component Properties

| Property                     | Value   |
|------------------------------|---|
| {name [p.51] }               | The QName whose local name is the actual value of the name <i>attribute</i> information item and whose namespace name is the actual value of the targetNamespace attribute information item of the [parent] description element information item. |
| {interface [p.51] }          | The Interface [p.19] component resolved to by the actual value of the interface attribute information item (see <b>2.19 QName resolution</b> [p.73]), if any.   |
| {type [p.51] }               | The actual value of the type attribute information item.  |
| {binding faults [p.51] }     | The set of Binding Fault [p.54] components corresponding to the fault <i>element information items</i> in [children], if any.   |
| {binding operations [p.51] } | The set of Binding Operation [p.57] components corresponding to the operation <i>element information items</i> in [children], if any.   |
| {features [p.51] }           | The set of Feature [p.41] components corresponding to the feature <i>element</i> information items in [children], if any.   |
| {properties [p.51] }         | The set of Property [p.45] components corresponding to the property <i>element</i> information items in [children], if any.   |

## 2.10 Binding Fault

### 2.10.1 The Binding Fault Component

A Binding Fault [p.54] component describes a concrete binding of a particular fault within an interface to a particular concrete message format. A particular fault of an interface is uniquely identified by its {name [p.23] } property.

Note that the fault does not occur by itself - it occurs as part of a message exchange as defined by an Interface Operation [p.27] component (and its binding counterpart the Binding Operation [p.57] component). Thus, the fault binding information specified in a Binding Fault [p.54] component describes how faults that occur within a message exchange of an operation will be formatted and carried in the transport.

The properties of the Binding Fault component are as follows:

- {interface fault} REQUIRED. An Interface Fault [p.23] component in the {interface faults [p.19] } property of the Interface [p.19] component identified by the {interface [p.51] } property of the parent Binding [p.51] component, or an Interface [p.19] component that Interface [p.19] component directly or indirectly extends. This is the Interface Fault [p.23] component for which binding information is being specified.
- {features} OPTIONAL. A set of Feature [p.41] components.
- {properties} OPTIONAL. A set of Property [p.45] components.
- {parent} REQUIRED. The Binding [p.51] component that contains this component in its {binding faults [p.51] } property.

For each Binding Fault [p.54] component in the {binding faults [p.51] } property of a Binding [p.51] component, the {interface fault [p.55] } property MUST be unique. † [p.130] That is, one cannot define multiple bindings for the same fault within a given Binding [p.51] component.

## 2.10.2 XML Representation of Binding Fault Component

The XML representation for a Binding Fault [p.54] component is an *element information item* with the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of fault
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl"
- One or more *attribute information items* amongst its [attributes] as follows:
  - A REQUIRED ref attribute information item as described below in **2.10.2.1 ref attribute** information item with fault [owner element] [p.56].
  - Zero or more namespace qualified *attribute information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
- Zero or more *element information item* amongst its [children], in order, as follows:
  - 1. Zero or more documentation *element information items* (see **5. Documentation** [p.85]).

- 2. Zero or more *element information items* from among the following, in any order:
  - Zero or more feature element information items 2.7.2 XML Representation of Feature Component [p.44]
  - O Zero or more property element information items 2.8.2 XML Representation of Property Component [p.48]
  - O Zero or more namespace-qualified *element information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl". Such *element information items* are considered to be binding fault extension elements as described below (see **2.10.2.2 Binding Fault extension elements** [p.56]).

#### 2.10.2.1 ref attribute information item with fault [owner element]

The ref *attribute information item* has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of ref
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the ref *attribute information item* is *xs:QName*.

#### 2.10.2.2 Binding Fault extension elements

Binding Fault extension elements are used to provide information specific to a particular fault in a binding. The semantics of such *element information items* are defined by the specification for those *element information items*. Such specifications are expected to annotate the Binding Fault [p.54] component with additional properties and specify the mapping from the XML representation to those properties.

### 2.10.3 Mapping Binding Fault's XML Representation to Component Properties

The mapping from the XML Representation of the fault *element information item* (see **2.10.2 XML Representation of Binding Fault Component** [p.55]) to the properties of the Binding Fault [p.54] component (see **2.10.1 The Binding Fault Component** [p.54]) is as described in Table 2-10 [p.56].

Table 2-10. Mapping from XML Representation to Binding Fault Component Properties

| Property                  | Value   |
|---------------------------|---|
| {interface fault [p.55] } | The Interface Fault [p.23] Component corresponding to the actual value of the ref attribute information item.               |
| {features [p.55] }        | The set of Feature [p.41] components corresponding to the feature <i>element</i> information items in [children], if any.   |
| {properties [p.55] }      | The set of Property [p.45] components corresponding to the property <i>element</i> information items in [children], if any. |
| {parent [p.55] }          | The Binding [p.51] component corresponding to the binding <i>element</i> information item in [parent].                      |

## 2.11 Binding Operation

## 2.11.1 The Binding Operation Component

The Binding Operation [p.57] component describes the concrete message format(s) and protocol interaction(s) associated with a particular interface operation for a given endpoint. A particular operation of an interface is uniquely identified by its {name [p.27] } property.

The properties of the Binding Operation component are as follows:

- {interface operation} REQUIRED. An Interface Operation component in the {interface operations [p.19] } property of the Interface [p.19] component identified by the {interface [p.51] } property of the [parent] Binding [p.51] component, or an Interface component that that Interface [p.19] component directly or indirectly extends. This is the Interface Operation [p.27] component for which binding information is being specified.
- {binding message references} OPTIONAL. A set of Binding Message Reference [p.60] components.
- {binding fault references} OPTIONAL. A set of Binding Fault Reference [p.63] components.
- {features} OPTIONAL. A set of Feature [p.41] components.
- {properties} OPTIONAL. A set of Property [p.45] components.
- {parent} REQUIRED. The Binding [p.51] component that contains this component in its {binding operations [p.51] } property.

For each Binding Operation [p.57] component in the {binding operations [p.51] } property of a Binding [p.51] component, the {interface operation [p.57] } property MUST be unique.  $^{\dagger}$  [p.131] That is, one cannot define multiple bindings for the same operation within a given Binding [p.51] component.

## 2.11.2 XML Representation of Binding Operation Component

The XML representation for a Binding Operation [p.57] component is an *element information item* with the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of operation
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl"
- One or more *attribute information items* amongst its [attributes] as follows:
  - A REQUIRED ref attribute information item as described below in **2.11.2.1** ref attribute information item with operation [owner element] [p.59].
  - O Zero or more namespace qualified *attribute information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
- Zero or more *element information items* amongst its [children], in order, as follows:
  - 1. Zero or more documentation *element information items* (see **5. Documentation** [p.85]).
  - 2. Zero or more *element information items* from among the following, in any order:
    - O Zero or more input *element information items* (see **2.12 Binding Message Reference** [p.60])
    - O Zero or more output *element information items* (see **2.12 Binding Message Reference** [p.60])
    - Zero or more infault element information items (see 2.13 Binding Fault Reference [p.63])
    - O Zero or more outfault *element information items* (see **2.13 Binding Fault Reference** [p.63])
    - O Zero or more feature *element information items* (see **2.7.2 XML Representation of Feature Component** [p.44])
    - Zero or more property element information items (see 2.7.2 XML Representation of Feature Component [p.44])

O Zero or more namespace-qualified *element information item* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl ". Such *element information items* are considered to be binding operation extension elements as described below (see **2.11.2.2 Binding Operation extension elements** [p.59]).

#### 2.11.2.1 ref attribute information item with operation [owner element]

The ref *attribute information item* has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of ref
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the ref *attribute information item* is *xs:QName*.

## 2.11.2.2 Binding Operation extension elements

Binding Operation extension elements are used to provide information specific to a particular operation in a binding. The semantics of such *element information items* are defined by the specification for those *element information items*. Such specifications are expected to annotate the Binding Operation [p.57] component with additional properties and specify the mapping from the XML representation to those properties.

## 2.11.3 Mapping Binding Operation's XML Representation to Component Properties

The mapping from the XML Representation of the operation *element information item* (see **2.11.2 XML Representation of Binding Operation Component** [p.58] ) to the properties of the Binding Operation [p.57] component is as described in Table 2-11 [p.59].

Table 2-11. Mapping from XML Representation to Binding Operation Component Properties

| Property                             | Value  |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| {interface operation [p.57] }        | The Interface Operation [p.27] component corresponding to the actual value of the ref attribute information item.                                      |
| {binding message references [p.57] } | The set of Binding Message Reference [p.60] components corresponding to the input and output <i>element information items</i> in [children], if any.   |
| {binding fault references [p.57] }   | The set of Binding Fault Reference [p.63] components corresponding to the infault and outfault <i>element information items</i> in [children], if any. |
| {features [p.57] }                   | The set of Feature [p.41] components corresponding to the feature <i>element information items</i> in [children], if any.                              |
| {properties [p.57] }                 | The set of Property [p.45] components corresponding to the property <i>element</i> information items in [children], if any.                            |
| {parent [p.57] }                     | The Binding [p.51] component corresponding to the binding <i>element</i> information item in [parent].   |

## 2.12 Binding Message Reference

## 2.12.1 The Binding Message Reference Component

A Binding Message Reference [p.60] component describes a concrete binding of a particular message participating in an operation to a particular concrete message format.

The properties of the Binding Message Reference component are as follows:

- {interface message reference} REQUIRED. An Interface Message Reference [p.33] component among those in the {interface message references [p.27] } property of the Interface Operation [p.27] component being bound by the containing Binding Operation [p.57] component.
- {features} OPTIONAL. A set of Feature [p.41] components.
- {properties} OPTIONAL. A set of Property [p.45] components.
- {parent} REQUIRED. The Binding Operation [p.57] component that contains this component in its {binding message references [p.57] } property.

For each Binding Message Reference [p.60] component in the {binding message references [p.57] } property of a Binding Operation [p.57] component, the {interface message reference [p.60] } property MUST be unique. † [p.131] That is, the same message cannot be bound twice within the same operation.

## 2.12.2 XML Representation of Binding Message Reference Component

```
<description>
 <br/>
<br/>
dinding>
   <operation>
    <input
         messageLabel="xs:NCName"? >
      <documentation />*
      </input>
    <output
         messageLabel="xs:NCName"? >
      <documentation />*
      </output>
   </operation>
 </binding>
</description>
```

The XML representation for a Binding Message Reference [p.60] component is an *element information item* with the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of input or output.
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsd1".

- Zero or more *attribute information items* amongst its [attributes] as follows:
  - An OPTIONAL messageLabel *attribute information item* as described below in **2.12.2.1** messageLabel attribute information item with input or output [owner element] [p.61].
  - O Zero or more namespace qualified *attribute information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
- Zero or more *element information item* amongst its [children], in order, as follows:
  - 1. Zero or more documentation *element information items* (see **5. Documentation** [p.85]).
  - 2. Zero or more *element information items* from among the following, in any order:
    - Zero or more feature element information items 2.7.2 XML Representation of Feature Component [p.44]
    - O Zero or more property element information items 2.8.2 XML Representation of Property Component [p.48]
    - O Zero or more namespace-qualified *element information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl". Such *element information items* are considered to be binding message reference extension elements as described below (see **2.12.2.2 Binding Message Reference extension elements** [p.61]).

# 2.12.2.1 messageLabel *attribute information item* with input or output [owner element]

The messageLabel attribute information item has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of messageLabel.
- A [namespace name] which has no value.

The type of the messageLabel *attribute information item* is *xs:NCName*.

## 2.12.2.2 Binding Message Reference extension elements

Binding Message Reference extension elements are used to provide information specific to a particular message in an operation. The semantics of such *element information items* are defined by the specification for those *element information items*. Such specifications are expected to annotate the Binding Message Reference [p.60] component with additional properties and specify the mapping from the XML representation to those properties..

# 2.12.3 Mapping Binding Message Reference's XML Representation to Component Properties

The mapping from the XML Representation of the binding *element information item* (see **2.12.2 XML Representation of Binding Message Reference Component** [p.60] ) to the properties of the Binding Message Reference [p.60] component is as described in Table 2-12 [p.62].

Define the *message exchange pattern* of the *element information item* to be the {message exchange pattern [p.27] } of the Interface Operation [p.27] component being bound.

Define the *message direction* of the *element information item* to be *in* if its local name is input and *out* if its local name is output.

The messageLabel *attribute information item* of a binding message reference *element information item* MUST be present if the message exchange pattern has more than one placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction. † [p.127]

If the messageLabel *attribute information item* of a binding message reference *element information item* is present then its actual value MUST match the {message label} of some placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction. †[p.128]

If the messageLabel attribute information item of a binding message reference element information item is absent then there MUST be a unique placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction.  $^{\dagger}[p.128]$ 

Define the *effective message label* of a binding message reference *element information item* to be either the actual value of the messageLabel *attribute information item* if it is present, or the {message label} of the unique placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction if the *attribute information item* is absent.

Table 2-12. Mapping from XML Representation to Binding Message Reference Component Properties

| Property                              | Value   |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| {interface message reference [p.60] } | The Interface Message Reference [p.33] component in the {interface message references [p.27] } of the Interface Operation [p.27] component being bound with {message label [p.33] } equal to the effective message label. |
| {features}                            | The set of Feature [p.41] components corresponding to the feature <i>element information items</i> in [children], if any.   |
| {properties}                          | The set of Property [p.45] components corresponding to the property <i>element</i> information items in [children], if any.   |
| {parent}                              | The Binding Operation [p.57] component corresponding to the operation element information item in [parent].   |

## 2.13 Binding Fault Reference

## 2.13.1 The Binding Fault Reference Component

A Binding Fault Reference [p.63] component describes a concrete binding of a particular fault participating in an operation to a particular concrete message format.

The properties of the Binding Fault Reference component are as follows:

- {interface fault reference} REQUIRED. An Interface Fault Reference [p.37] component among those in the {interface fault references [p.27] } property of the Interface Operation [p.27] component being bound by the parent Binding Operation [p.57] component.
- {features} OPTIONAL. A set of Feature [p.41] components.
- {properties} OPTIONAL. A set of Property [p.45] components.
- {parent} REQUIRED. The Binding Operation [p.57] component that contains this component in its {binding fault references [p.57] } property.

For each Binding Fault Reference [p.63] component in the {binding fault references [p.57] } property of a Binding Operation [p.57] component, the {interface fault reference [p.63] } property MUST be unique. † [p.131] That is, the same fault cannot be bound twice within the same operation.

## 2.13.2 XML Representation of Binding Fault Reference Component

```
<description>
 <br/>
<br/>
dinding>
   <operation>
     <infault
          ref="xs:QName"
          messageLabel="xs:NCName"?>
      <documentation />*
      </infault>
     <outfault</pre>
          ref="xs:QName"
          messageLabel="xs:NCName"?>
      <documentation />*
      </outfault>
   </operation>
 </binding>
</description>
```

The XML representation for a Binding Fault Reference [p.63] component is an *element information item* with the following Infoset properties:

• A [local name] of infault or outfault.

- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
- One or more *attribute information items* amongst its [attributes] as follows:
  - A REQUIRED ref attribute information item as described below in **2.13.2.1 ref attribute** information item with infault or outfault [owner element] [p.64].
    - An OPTIONAL messageLabel *attribute information item* as described below in **2.13.2.2 messageLabel attribute information item with infault or outfault [owner element]** [p.64] .
  - O Zero or more namespace qualified *attribute information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
- Zero or more *element information item* amongst its [children], in order, as follows:
  - 1. Zero or more documentation *element information items* (see **5. Documentation** [p.85]).
  - 2. Zero or more *element information items* from among the following, in any order:
    - Zero or more feature element information items 2.7.2 XML Representation of Feature Component [p.44]
    - O Zero or more property element information items 2.8.2 XML Representation of Property Component [p.48]
    - O Zero or more namespace-qualified *element information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl". Such *element information items* are considered to be binding fault reference extension elements as described below (see **2.13.2.3 Binding Fault Reference extension elements** [p.65] ).

#### 2.13.2.1 ref attribute information item with infault or outfault [owner element]

The ref *attribute information item* has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of ref.
- A [namespace name] which has no value.

The type of the ref *attribute information item* is *xs:QName*.

# 2.13.2.2 messageLabel attribute information item with infault or outfault [owner element]

The messageLabel attribute information item has the following Infoset properties:

• A [local name] of messageLabel.

• A [namespace name] which has no value.

The type of the messageLabel *attribute information item* is *xs:NCName*.

#### 2.13.2.3 Binding Fault Reference extension elements

Binding Fault Reference extension elements are used to provide information specific to a particular fault in an operation. The semantics of such *element information items* are defined by the specification for those *element information items*. Such specifications are expected to annotate the Binding Fault Reference [p.63] component with additional properties and specify the mapping from the XML representation to those properties..

# 2.13.3 Mapping Binding Fault Reference's XML Representation to Component Properties

The mapping from the XML Representation of the binding *element information item* (see **2.13.2 XML Representation of Binding Fault Reference Component** [p.63] ) to the properties of the Binding Fault Reference [p.63] component is as described in Table 2-13 [p.66] .

Define the *message exchange pattern* of the *element information item* to be the {message exchange pattern [p.27] } of the Interface Operation [p.27] component being bound.

Define the *fault direction* of the *element information item* to be *in* if its local name is infault and *out* if its local name is outfault.

Define the *message direction* of the *element information item* to be the {direction} of the placeholder message associated with the fault as specifed by the fault propagation ruleset of the message exchange pattern.

The messageLabel *attribute information item* of a binding fault reference *element information item* MUST be present if the message exchange pattern has more than one placeholder message with  $\{direction\}$  equal to the message direction.  $^{\dagger}[p.128]$ 

If the messageLabel *attribute information item* of a binding fault reference *element information item* is present then its actual value MUST match the {message label} of some placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction. † [p.128]

If the messageLabel *attribute information item* of a binding fault reference *element information item* is absent then there MUST be a unique placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction.  $\dagger$  [p.128]

Define the *effective message label* of a binding fault reference *element information item* to be either the actual value of the messageLabel *attribute information item* if it is present, or the {message label} of the unique placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction if the *attribute information item* is absent.

There MUST be an Interface Fault Reference [p.37] component in the {interface fault references [p.27] } of the Interface Operation [p.27] being bound with {message label [p.37] } equal to the effective message label and with {interface fault [p.37] } equal to an Interface Fault [p.23] component with {name [p.23] } equal to the actual value of the ref attribute information item.  $^{\dagger}$  [p.131]

Table 2-13. Mapping from XML Representation to Binding Fault Reference Component Properties

| Property                            | Value   |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| {interface fault reference [p.63] } | The Interface Fault Reference [p.37] component in the {interface fault references [p.27] } of the Interface Operation [p.27] being bound with {message label [p.37] } equal to the effective message label and with {interface fault [p.37] } equal to an Interface Fault [p.23] component with {name [p.23] } equal to the actual value of the ref attribute information item. |
| {features}                          | The set of Feature [p.41] components corresponding to the feature <i>element information items</i> in [children], if any.   |
| {properties}                        | The set of Property [p.45] components corresponding to the property <i>element</i> information items in [children], if any.   |
| {parent}                            | The Binding Operation [p.57] component corresponding to the operation element information item in [parent].   |

## 2.14 Service

## 2.14.1 The Service Component

A Service [p.66] component describes a set of endpoints (see **2.15 Endpoint** [p.69]) at which a particular deployed implementation of the service is provided. The endpoints thus are in effect alternate places at which the service is provided.

Services are named constructs and can be referred to by QName (see **2.19 QName resolution** [p.73]).

The properties of the Service component are as follows:

- {name} REQUIRED. An xs: QName.
- {interface} REQUIRED. An Interface [p.19] component.
- {endpoints} REQUIRED. A non-empty set of Endpoint [p.69] components.
- {features} OPTIONAL. A set of Feature [p.41] components.
- {properties} OPTIONAL. A set of Property [p.45] components.

For each Service [p.66] component in the {services [p.14] } property of a Description component, the {name [p.66] } property MUST be unique.  $^{\dagger}$  [p.135]

## 2.14.2 XML Representation of Service Component

```
<description>
    <service
        name="xs:NCName"
        interface="xs:QName" >
        <documentation />*
        <endpoint />+
        [ <feature /> | <property /> ]*
        </service>
</description>
```

The XML representation for a Service [p.66] component is an *element information item* with the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of service
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl"
- Two or more *attribute information items* amongst its [attributes] as follows:
  - A REQUIRED name *attribute information item* as described below in **2.14.2.1 name attribute** information item with service [owner element] [p.68].
  - A REQUIRED interface attribute information item as described below in **2.14.2.2 interface** attribute information item with service [owner element] [p.68].
  - O Zero or more namespace qualified *attribute information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
- One or more *element information item* amongst its [children], in order, as follows:
  - 1. Zero or more documentation *element information items* (see **5. Documentation** [p.85]).
  - 2. One or more *element information items* from among the following, in any order:
    - One or more endpoint *element information items* (see **2.15.2 XML Representation of Endpoint Component** [p.70]
    - Zero or more feature and/or property element information items (see 2.7.2 XML Representation of Feature Component [p.44] and 2.8.2 XML Representation of Property Component [p.48], respectively).
    - O Zero or more namespace-qualified *element information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".

### 2.14.2.1 name attribute information item with service [owner element]

The name *attribute information item* together with the targetNamespace *attribute information item* of the description *element information item* forms the QName of the service.

The name attribute information item has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of name
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the name *attribute information item* is *xs:NCName*.

#### 2.14.2.2 interface attribute information item with service [owner element]

The interface attribute information item identifies the interface that the service is an instance of.

The interface attribute information item has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of interface
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the interface attribute information item is xs:QName..

## 2.14.3 Mapping Service's XML Representation to Component Properties

The mapping from the XML Representation of the service *element information item* (see **2.14.2 XML Representation of Service Component** [p.67] ) to the properties of the Service [p.66] component is as described in Table 2-14 [p.68] .

Table 2-14. Mapping from XML Representation to Service Component Properties

| Property            | Value   |
|---------------------|---|
| {name [p.66] }      | The QName whose local name is the actual value of the name <i>attribute</i> information item and whose namespace name is the actual value of the targetNamespace attribute information item of the [parent] description element information item. |
| {interface [p.66] } | The Interface [p.19] component resolved to by the actual value of the interface attribute information item (see <b>2.19 QName resolution</b> [p.73]).   |
| {endpoints [p.66] } | The Endpoint [p.69] components corresponding to the endpoint <i>element information items</i> in [children].  |
| {features [p.66] }  | The set of Feature [p.41] components corresponding to the feature <i>element information items</i> in [children], if any.   |

| {properties [p.66] | The set of Property [p.45] components corresponding to the property element |
|--------------------|---|
| }                  | information items in [children], if any.                                    |

## 2.15 Endpoint

## 2.15.1 The Endpoint Component

An Endpoint [p.69] component defines the particulars of a specific endpoint at which a given service is available.

Endpoint [p.69] components are local to a given Service [p.66] component; they cannot be referred to by QName (see **A.2 Fragment Identifiers** [p.97]).

The {address [p.69] } property is optional to allow for means other than IRIs to be used, e.g. a WS-Addressing Endpoint Reference [WSA 1.0 Core [p.94]]. It is also possible that in certain scenarios an address will not be required, in which case this property may not be present.

The properties of the Endpoint component are as follows:

- {name} REQUIRED. An xs:NCName.
- {binding} REQUIRED. A Binding [p.51] component.
- {address} OPTIONAL. An *xs:anyURI*. This *xs:anyURI* MUST be an absolute IRI as defined by [*IETF RFC 3987 [p.93]*].<sup>† [p.131]</sup> If present, the value of this attribute represents the network address at which the service indicated by the parent Service [p.66] component's {interface [p.66]} property is offered via the binding referred to by the {binding [p.69]} property.
- {features} OPTIONAL. A set of Feature [p.41] components.
- {properties} OPTIONAL. A set of Property [p.45] components.
- {parent} REQUIRED. The Service [p.66] component that contains this component in its {endpoints [p.66]} property.

For each Endpoint [p.69] component in the {endpoints [p.66] } property of a Service [p.66] component, the {name [p.69] } property MUST be unique. † [p.131]

For each Endpoint [p.69] component in the {endpoints [p.66] } property of a Service [p.66] component, the {binding [p.69] } property MUST either be a Binding [p.51] component with an unspecified {interface [p.51] } property or a Binding [p.51] component with an {interface [p.51] } property equal to the {interface [p.66] } property of the Service [p.66] component.  $^{\dagger [p.132]}$ 

## 2.15.2 XML Representation of Endpoint Component

The XML representation for a Endpoint [p.69] component is an *element information item* with the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of endpoint.
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsd1".
- Two or more *attribute information items* amongst its [attributes] as follows:
  - A REQUIRED name *attribute information item* as described below in **2.15.2.1 name attribute information item with endpoint [owner element]** [p.71].
  - A REQUIRED binding *attribute information item* as described below in **2.15.2.2 binding attribute information item with endpoint [owner element]** [p.71].
  - An OPTIONAL address *attribute information item* as described below in **2.15.2.3 address attribute information item with endpoint [owner element]** [p.71].
  - O Zero or more namespace qualified *attribute information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
- Zero or more *element information item* amongst its [children], in order, as follows:
  - 1. Zero or more documentation *element information items* (see **5. Documentation** [p.85]).
  - 2. Zero or more *element information items* from among the following, in any order:
    - O Zero or more feature *element information items* **2.7.2 XML Representation of Feature Component** [p.44]
    - O Zero or more property element information items 2.8.2 XML Representation of Property Component [p.48]
    - Zero or more namespace-qualified *element information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl". Such *element information items* are considered to be endpoint extension elements as described below (see 2.15.2.4 Endpoint extension elements [p.71]).

### 2.15.2.1 name attribute information item with endpoint [owner element]

The name *attribute information item* together with the targetNamespace *attribute information item* of the description *element information item* forms the QName of the endpoint.

The name attribute information item has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of name.
- A [namespace name] which has no value.

The type of the name *attribute information item* is *xs:NCName*.

#### 2.15.2.2 binding attribute information item with endpoint [owner element]

The binding attribute information item refers, by QName, to a Binding [p.51] component

The binding attribute information item has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of binding
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the binding *attribute information item* is *xs:QName*.

#### 2.15.2.3 address attribute information item with endpoint [owner element]

The address attribute information item specifies the address of the endpoint.

The address *attribute information item* has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of address
- A [namespace name] which has no value

The type of the address attribute information item is xs:anyURI.

## 2.15.2.4 Endpoint extension elements

Endpoint extension elements are used to provide information specific to a particular endpoint in a server. The semantics of such *element information items* are defined by the specification for those *element information items*. Such specifications are expected to annotate the Endpoint [p.69] component with additional properties and specify the mapping from the XML representation to those properties.

## 2.15.3 Mapping Endpoint's XML Representation to Component Properties

The mapping from the XML Representation of the endpoint *element information item* (see **2.15.2 XML Representation of Endpoint Component** [p.70]) to the properties of the Endpoint [p.69] component is as described in Table 2-15 [p.72].

Table 2-15. Mapping from XML Representation to Endpoint Component Properties

| Property             | Value   |
|----------------------|---|
| {name [p.69] }       | The actual value of the name attribute information item.  |
| {binding [p.69] }    | The Binding [p.51] component resolved to by the actual value of the binding attribute information item (see <b>2.19 QName resolution</b> [p.73]). |
| {address [p.69] }    | The actual value of the address attribute information item if present, otherwise empty.   |
| {features [p.69] }   | The set of Feature [p.41] components corresponding to the feature <i>element information items</i> in [children], if any.                         |
| {properties [p.69] } | The set of Property [p.45] components corresponding to the property <i>element</i> information items in [children], if any.                       |
| {parent [p.69] }     | The Service [p.66] component corresponding to the service <i>element information item</i> in [parent].  |

## 2.16 XML Schema 1.0 Simple Types Used in the Component Model

The XML Schema 1.0 simple types [XML Schema: Datatypes [p.93]] used in this specification are:

- xs:token
- xs:NCName
- xs:anyURI
- xs:QName
- xs:boolean

## 2.17 Equivalence of Components

Two component instances of the same type are considered equivalent if, for each property of the first component, there is a corresponding property with an equivalent value on the second component, and vice versa.

Instances of properties of the same type are considered equivalent if their values are equivalent.

• For values of a simple type (see **2.16 XML Schema 1.0 Simple Types Used in the Component Model** [p.72] ) this means that they contain the same values. For instance, two string values are equivalent if they contain the same sequence of Unicode characters, as described in [*Character Model for the WWW [p.94]*]

- Values which are references to other components are considered equivalent when they refer to equivalent components (as determined above).
- List-based values are considered equivalent if they have the same length and their elements at corresponding positions are equivalent.
- Finally, set-based values are considered equivalent if for each value in the first, there is an equivalent value in the second, and vice versa.

Extension properties which are not string values, sets of strings or references MUST describe their values' equivalence rules. † [p.132]

Because different top-level components (e.g., Interface [p.19], Binding [p.51], and Service [p.66]) are required to have different names, it is possible to determine whether two top-level components of a given type are equivalent by examining their  $\{name\}$  property.

## 2.18 Symbol Spaces

This specification defines three symbol spaces, one for each top-level component type (Interface [p.19], Binding [p.51] and Service [p.66]).

Within a symbol space, all qualified names (that is, the {name} property) are unique. Between symbol spaces, the names need not be unique. Thus it is perfectly coherent to have, for example, a binding and an interface that have the same name.

When XML Schema is being used as one of the type systems for a WSDL 2.0 description, then six other symbol spaces also exist, one for each of: global element declarations, global attribute declarations, named model groups, named attribute groups, type definitions and key constraints, as defined by [XML Schema: Structures [p.93]]. Other type systems may define additional symbol spaces.

# 2.19 QName resolution

In its serialized form WSDL 2.0 makes significant use of references between components. Such references are made using the Qualified Name, or QName, of the component being referred to. QNames are a tuple, consisting of two parts; a namespace name and a local name. The namespace name for a component is represented by the value of the targetNamespace attribute information item of the [parent] description element information item and the local name is represented by the {name [p.73] } property of the component.

QName references are resolved by looking in the appropriate property of the Description [p.14] component. For example, to resolve a QName of an interface (as referred to by the interface *attribute information item* on a binding), the {interfaces [p.14] } property of the Description [p.14] component would be inspected.

If the appropriate property of the Description [p.14] component does not contain a component with the required QName then the reference is a broken reference. It is an error for a Description [p.14] component to have such broken references.

# 2.20 Comparing URIs and IRIs

This specification uses absolute URIs and IRIs to identify several components (for example, features and properties) and components characteristics (for example, operation message exchange patterns and styles). When such absolute URIs and IRIs are being compared to determine equivalence (see **2.17 Equivalence of Components** [p.72] ) they MUST be compared character-by-character as indicated in [*IETF RFC 3987* [p.931].† [p.131]

# 3. Types

The content of messages and faults may be constrained using type system components. These constraints are based upon a specific data model, and expressed using a particular schema language.

Although a variety of data models can be accommodated (through WSDL 2.0 extensions), this specification only defines a means of expressing constraints based upon the XML Infoset [XML Information Set [p.93]]. Furthermore, although a number of alternate schema languages can be used to constrain the XML Infoset (as long as they support the semantics of either inlining or importing schema), this specification only defines the use of XML Schema [XML Schema: Structures [p.93]], [XML Schema: Datatypes [p.93]].

Specifically, the {element declarations [p.14] } and {type definitions [p.14] } properties of the Description [p.14] component are collections of imported and inlined schema components that describe Infoset *element information items*.

When extensions are used to enable the use of a non-Infoset data model, or a non-Schema constraint language, the wsdl:required attribute information item MAY be used to require support for that extension.

#### Note:

Support for the W3C XML Schema [XML Schema: Structures [p.93]], [XML Schema: Datatypes [p.93]] is included in the conformance criteria for WSDL 2.0 documents (see **3.1 Using W3C XML Schema Description Language** [p.75]).

The schema components contained in the {element declarations [p.14] } property of the Description [p.14] component provide the type system used for Interface Message Reference [p.33] and Interface Fault [p.23] components. Interface Message Reference [p.33] components indicate their structure and content by using the standard *attribute information items* element , or for alternate schema languages in which these concepts do not map well, by using alternative *attribute information item* extensions. Interface Fault [p.23] components behave similarly. Such extensions should define how they reference type system components.

Such type system components MAY appear in additional collection properties on the Description [p.14] component.

The schema components contained in the {type definitions [p.14] } property of the Description [p.14] component provide the type system used for constraining the values of properties described by Property [p.45] components. Extensions in the form of *attribute information items* can be used to refer to constraints (type definitions or analogous constructs) described using other schema languages or type systems. Such components MAY appear in additional collection properties on the Description [p.14] component.

The types *element information item* encloses data type definitions, based upon the XML Infoset, used to define messages and has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of types.
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsd1".
- Zero or more namespace qualified *attribute information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl
- Zero or more *element information items* amongst its [children] as follows:
  - O Zero or more documentation *element information items* (see **5. Documentation** [p.85]) in its [children] property.
  - Zero or more *element information items* from among the following, in any order:
    - xs:import element information items
    - xs:schema *element information items*
    - Other namespace qualified *element information items* whose namespace is NOT http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl

# 3.1 Using W3C XML Schema Description Language

XML Schema MAY be used as the schema language via import or inlining.

A WSDL 2.0 document MUST NOT refer to XML Schema components in a given namespace unless an xs:import or xs:schema *element information item* for that namespace is present or the namespace is the XML Schema namespace which contains built-in types as defined in XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes Second Edition [XML Schema: Datatypes [p.93]]. † [p.129] That is, using the xs:import or xs:schema *element information item* is a necessary condition for making XML Schema components, other than the built-in components, referenceable within a WSDL 2.0 document. The built-in XML Schema datatypes are built-in to the WSDL 2.0 component model and are contained in the {type definitions [p.14]} property of the Description [p.14] component.

Table 3-1 [p.76] summarizes the referenceability of schema components.

Table 3-1. Referenceability of schema components

|  | XML Representation          | Referenceability of XML Schema<br>Components   |
|--|-----------------------------|--|
| Including description                          | description/include         | XML Schema components in the included Description [p.14] component's {element declarations [p.14] } and {type definitions [p.14] } properties are referenceable. |
| Importing description                          | description/import          | None of the XML Schema Components in the imported Description [p.14] component are referenceable.  |
| Importing XML<br>Schema                        | description/types/xs:import | Element Declaration [p.14] and Type Definition [p.14] components in the imported namespace are referenceable.  |
| Inlined XML Schema description/types/xs:schema |                             | Element Declaration [p.14] and Type Definition [p.14] components in the inlined XML Schema are referenceable.  |

## 3.1.1 Importing XML Schema

Importing an XML Schema uses the syntax and semantics of the xs:import mechanism defined by XML Schema [XML Schema: Structures [p.93]], [XML Schema: Datatypes [p.93]], with the differences defined in this and the following section. The schema components defined in the imported namespace are referenceable by QName (see 2.19 QName resolution [p.73]). Only components in the imported namespace are referenceable in the WSDL 2.0 document.

A child *element information item* of the types *element information item* is defined with the Infoset properties as follows:

- A [local name] of "import".
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema".
- One or two *attribute information items* as follows:
  - A REQUIRED namespace attribute information item as described below.
  - O An OPTIONAL schemaLocation attribute information item as described below.

#### 3.1.1.1 namespace attribute information item

The namespace attribute information item defines the namespace of the element declarations and type definitions imported from the referenced schema. The referenced schema MUST contain a targetNamespace attribute information item on its xs:schema element information item. † [p.129] The value of the targetNamespace attribute information item of the xs:schema element information item of an imported schema MUST equal the value of the namespace of the import element information item in the importing WSDL 2.0 document. † [p.129] Note that a WSDL 2.0 document must not import a schema that does not have a targetNamespace attribute information item on its xs:schema element information item. Such schemas must first be included (using xs:include) in a schema that contains a targetNamespace attribute information item on its xs:schema element information item, which can then be either imported or inlined in the WSDL 2.0 document.

The namespace attribute information item has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of namespace
- A [namespace name] which has no value.

The type of the namespace *attribute information item* is *xs:anyURI*.

## 3.1.1.2 schemaLocation attribute information item

The schemaLocation attribute information item, if present, provides a hint to the XML Schema processor as to where the schema may be located. Caching and cataloging technologies may provide better information than this hint. The schemaLocation attribute information item has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of schemaLocation.
- A [namespace name] which has no value.

The type of the schemaLocation attribute information item is xs:anyURI.

It is an error if a QName is not resolved (see **2.19 QName resolution** [p.73]). † [p.129] When resolving QNames references for schema definitions, the namespace MUST be imported by the referring WSDL 2.0 document. † [p.130] If the namespace so referenced is contained in an inline schema, it MAY be imported without a schemaLocation attribute, so long as the inline schema has been resolved in the current component model.

#### 3.1.2 Inlining XML Schema

Inlining an XML schema uses the existing top-level xs:schema *element information item* defined by XML Schema [XML Schema: Structures [p.93]]. It may be viewed as simply cutting and pasting an existing schema document to a location inside the types *element information item*.

The schema components defined and declared in the inlined schema document are referenceable by QName (see **2.19 QName resolution** [p.73] ). Only components defined and declared in the schema itself and components included by it via xs:include are referenceable. Specifically components that the schema imports via xs:import are NOT referenceable. † [p.130]

Similarly, components defined in an inlined XML schema are NOT automatically referenceable within WSDL 2.0 document that imported (using wsdl:import) the WSDL 2.0 document that inlines the schema (see **4.2 Importing Descriptions** [p.83] for more details).  $^{\dagger}$  [p.130] For this reason, it is recommended that XML schema documents intended to be shared across several WSDL 2.0 documents be placed in separate XML schema documents and imported using xs:import, rather than inlined inside a WSDL 2.0 document.

Inside an inlined XML schema, the xs:import and xs:include element information items MAY be used to refer to other XML schemas inlined in the same or other WSDL 2.0 document, provided that an appropriate value, such as a fragment identifier (see [XML Schema: Structures [p.93]] 4.3.1) is specified for their schemaLocation attribute information items. For xs:import, the schemaLocation attribute is not required so long as the namespace has been resolved in the current component model. The semantics of such element information items are governed solely by the XML Schema specification [XML Schema: Structures [p.93]].

A WSDL 2.0 document MAY inline two or more schemas from the same targetNamespace . For example, two or more inlined schemas may have the same targetNamespace provided that they do not define the same elements or types. A WSDL 2.0 document MUST NOT define the same element or type in more than one inlined schema.  $^{\dagger}$  [p.129] Note that it is the responsibility of the underlying XML Schema processor to sort out a coherent set of schema components.

The xs:schema *element information item* has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of schema.
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema".
- A REQUIRED targetNamespace *attribute information item*, amongst its [attributes] as described below.
- Additional OPTIONAL attribute information items as specified for the xs:schema element information item by the XML Schema specification.
- Zero or more child *element information items* as specified for the xs:schema *element information item* by the XML Schema specification.

#### 3.1.2.1 targetNamespace attribute information item

The targetNamespace attribute information item defines the namespace of the element declarations and type definitions inlined in its [owner element] xs:schema element information item. WSDL 2.0 modifies the XML Schema definition of the xs:schema element information item to make this attribute information item required. The xs:schema element information item MUST contain a targetNamespace attribute information item. † [p.129] The targetNamespace attribute information

item has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of targetNamespace.
- A [namespace name] which has no value.

The type of the targetNamespace attribute information item is xs:anyURI.

## 3.1.3 References to Element Declarations and Type Definitions

Whether inlined or imported, the element declarations present in a schema are referenceable from an Interface Message Reference [p.33] or Interface Fault [p.23] component. Similarly, regardless of whether they are inlined or imported, the type definitions present in a schema are referenceable from a Property [p.45] component.

A named, global xs:element declaration is referenceable from the element attribute information item of an input, output or fault element information item. The QName is constructed from the targetNamespace of the schema and the value of the name attribute information item of the xs:element element information item. An element attribute information item MUST NOT refer to a global xs:simpleType or xs:complexType definition. † [p.129]

A named, global xs:simpleType or xs:complexType declaration is referenceable from the constraint attribute information item of property element information item. The QName is constructed from the targetNamespace of the schema and the value of the name attribute information item of the xs:simpleType or xs:complexType element information item. A constraint attribute information item MUST NOT refer to a global xs:element definition. † [p.130]

# 3.2 Using Other Schema Languages

Since it is unreasonable to expect that a single schema language can be used to describe all possible Interface Message Reference [p.33], Interface Fault [p.23] and Property [p.45] component contents and their constraints, WSDL 2.0 allows alternate schema languages to be specified via extensibility elements. An extensibility *element information item* MAY appear under the types *element information item* to identify the schema language employed, and to locate the schema instance defining the grammar for Interface Message Reference [p.33] and Interface Fault [p.23] components or the constraint for Property [p.45] components. Depending upon the schema language used, an *element information item* MAY be defined to allow inlining, if and only if the schema language can be expressed in XML.

A specification of extension syntax for an alternative schema language MUST include the declaration of an *element information item*, intended to appear as a child of the wsdl:types *element information item*, which references, names, and locates the schema instance (an "import" *element information item*). † [p.129] The extension specification SHOULD, if necessary, define additional properties of the Description [p.14] component (and extensibility attributes) to hold the components of the referenced type system. It is expected that additional extensibility attributes for Interface Message Reference [p.33], Interface Fault [p.23] and Property [p.45] components will also be defined, along with a mechanism for resolving the values of those attributes to a particular imported type system component.

A specification of extension syntax for an alternative schema language MUST use a namespace that is different than the namespace of XML Schema. † [p.129] The namespace of the alternative schema language is used for *element information items* that are children of the wsdl:types *element information item* and for any extensibility *attribute information items* that appear on other components. The namespace used for an alternate schema language MUST be an absolute IRI. † [p.129]

See [Alternative Schema Languages Support [p.94]] for examples of using other schema languages. These examples reuse the {element declarations [p.14]} property of the Description [p.14] component and the element attribute information items of the wsdl:input, wsdl:output and wsdl:fault element information items.

#### Note:

This specification does not define the behavior of a WSDL 2.0 document that uses multiple schema languages for describing type system components simultaneously.

## 3.3 Describing Messages that Refer to Services and Endpoints

Web services may exchange messages that refer to other Web services or Web service endpoints. If the interface or binding of these referenced services or endpoints are known at description time, then it may be useful to include this information in the WSDL 2.0 document that describes the Web service. WSDL 2.0 provides two global *attribute information items*, wsdlx:interface and wsdlx:binding that may be used to annotate XML Schema components or components from other type description languages.

WSDL 2.0 defines the use of these global *attribute information items* to annotate XML Schema components that use the xs:anyURI simple type in an *element information item* or *attribute information item* for endpoint addresses that correspond to the {address [p.69] } property of the Endpoint [p.69] component. However, the use of these global *attribute information items* is not limited to simple types based on xs:anyURI. They may be used for any other types that are used to refer to Web services or Web service endpoints, e.g. a WS-Addressing Endpoint Reference [WSA 1.0 Core [p.94]]. See the primer [WSDL 2.0 Primer [p.95]] for more information and examples.

#### 3.3.1 wsdlx:interface attribute information item

WSDL 2.0 provides a global attribute information item with the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of interface.
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl-extensions".

The type of the wsdlx:interface *attribute information item* is an xs:QName that specifies the {name [p.19] } property of an Interface [p.19] component. † [p.130]

## 3.3.2 wsdlx:binding attribute information item

WSDL 2.0 provides a global attribute information item with the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of binding.
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl-extensions".

The type of the wsdlx:binding *attribute information item* is an *xs:QName* that specifies the {name [p.51] } property of a Binding [p.51] component.  $^{\dagger}$  [p.130]

## 3.3.3 wsdlx:interface and wsdlx:binding Consistency

The wsdlx:interface and wsdlx:binding attributes may be used either independently or together. If wsdlx:interface and wsdlx:binding are used together then they MUST satisfy the same consistency rules that apply to the {interface [p.66] } property of a Service [p.66] component and the {binding [p.69] } property of a nested Endpoint [p.69] component, that is either the binding refers the interface of the service or the binding refers to no interface. † [p.129]

## 3.3.4 Use of wsdlx:interface and wsdlx:binding with xs:anyURI

wsdlx:interface and wsdlx:binding may be used to describe element information items and attribute information items whose type is xs:anyURI or a restriction of it, to describe messages that contain the {address [p.69] } property of an Endpoint [p.69]. This is accomplished by including the wsdlx:interface and/or wsdlx:binding attribute information item in the xs:element, xs:simpleType, or xs:attribute element information item of the corresponding XML Schema component.

# 4. Modularizing WSDL 2.0 descriptions

This specification provides two mechanisms, described in this section, for modularizing WSDL 2.0 descriptions. These mechanisms help to make WSDL 2.0 descriptions clearer by allowing separation of the various components of a description. Such separation could be performed according to the level of abstraction of a given set of components, or according to the namespace affiliation required of a given set of components or according to some other grouping such as application applicability.

Both mechanisms work at the level of WSDL 2.0 components and NOT at the level of XML Information Sets or XML 1.0 serializations.

# **4.1 Including Descriptions**

The WSDL 2.0 include *element information item* allows for the separation of different components of a service definition, belonging to the same target namespace, into independent WSDL 2.0 documents.

The WSDL 2.0 include *element information item* is modeled after the XML Schema include *element information item* (see [XML Schema: Structures [p.93]], section 4.2.3 "References to schema components in the same namespace"). Specifically, it can be used to include components from WSDL 2.0 descriptions that share a target namespace with the including description. Components in the transitive closure of the included WSDL 2.0 documents become part of the Description [p.14] component of the including WSDL 2.0 document. The included components can be referenced by QName. Note that because all WSDL 2.0 descriptions have a target namespace, no-namespace includes (sometimes known as "chameleon includes") never occur in WSDL 2.0.

A mutual include is direct inclusion by one WSDL 2.0 document of another WSDL 2.0 document which includes the first. A circular include achieves the same effect with greater indirection (A s B includes C includes A, for instance). Multiple inclusion of a single WSDL 2.0 document resolves to a single set of components. Mutual, multiple, and circular includes are explicitly permitted, and do not represent multiple redefinitions of the same components. Multiple inclusion of a single WSDL 2.0 document has the same meaning as including it only once.

The include element information item has:

- A [local name] of include.
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
- One or more *attribute information items* amongst its [attributes] as follows:
  - A REQUIRED location *attribute information item* as described below in **4.1.1 location attribute information item with include [owner element]** [p.82] .
  - O Zero or more namespace qualified *attribute information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
- Zero or more *element information item* amongst its [children], as follows:
  - O Zero or more documentation *element information items* (see **5. Documentation** [p.85]).
  - O Zero or more namespace-qualified *element information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".

## 4.1.1 location attribute information item with include [owner element]

The location *attribute information item* has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of location.
- A [namespace name] which has no value.

A location attribute information item is of type xs:anyURI. Its actual value is the location of some information about the namespace identified by the targetNamespace attribute information item of the containing description element information item.

The IRI indicated by location MUST resolve to a WSDL 2.0 document.  $^{\dagger \, [p.126]}$ 

The actual value of the targetNamespace attribute information item of the included WSDL 2.0 document MUST match the actual value of the targetNamespace attribute information item of the description element information item which is the [parent] of the include element information item. † [p.126]

## **4.2 Importing Descriptions**

Every top-level WSDL 2.0 component is associated with a namespace. Every WSDL 2.0 document carries a targetNamespace attribute information item on its wsdl:description element information item that associates the document with a target namespace which becomes the namespace of each top-level WSDL 2.0 component defined in the document. Any namespace other than the document's target namespace is referred to as a foreign namespace and any component associated with a foreign namespace is referred to as a foreign component. This section describes the syntax and mechanisms by which references may be made from within a WSDL 2.0 document to foreign components. In addition to this syntax, there is an optional facility for suggesting the IRI of a WSDL 2.0 document that contains definitions of foreign components.

The WSDL 2.0 import element information item is modeled after the XML Schema import element information item (see [XML Schema: Structures [p.93]], section 4.2.3 "References to schema components across namespaces"). Specifically, it can be used to import WSDL 2.0 components from a foreign namespace. The WSDL 2.0 import element information item identifies a foreign namespace. The presence of a WSDL 2.0 import element information item signals that the WSDL 2.0 document may contain references to foreign components. The wsdl:import element information item is therefore like a forward declaration for foreign namespaces.

As with XML schema, any WSDL 2.0 document that references a foreign component MUST have a wsdl:import element information item for the associated foreign namespace (but which does not necessarily provide a location attribute information item that identifies the WSDL 2.0 document in which the referenced component is defined). † [p.126] In other respects, the visibility of components is pervasive; if two WSDL 2.0 documents import the same namespace then they will have access to the same components from the imported namespace (i.e. regardless of which, if any, location attribute information item values are provided on the respective wsdl:import element information items.)

Using the wsdl:import element information item is a necessary condition for making foreign components available to a WSDL 2.0 document. That is, a WSDL 2.0 document can only refer to foreign components if it contains an wsdl:import element information item for the associated foreign namespace.

If a WSDL 2.0 document contains more than one wsdl:import element information item for a given value of the namespace attribute information item then they MUST provide different values for the location attribute information item. † [p.126] Repeating the wsdl:import element information item for the same namespace value MAY be used as a way to provide alternate locations to find information about a given namespace.

Furthermore, this specification DOES NOT require the location attribute information item to be dereferenceable. If it is not dereferenceable then no information about the imported namespace is provided by that wsdl:import element information item. It is possible that such lack of information can cause QNames in other parts of a WSDL 2.0 Description [p.14] component to become broken references (see 2.19 QName resolution [p.73]). Such broken references are not errors of the wsdl:import element information item but rather QName resolution errors which must be detected as described in 2.19 QName resolution [p.73].

The import *element information item* has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of import.
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
- One or more *attribute information items* amongst its [attributes] as follows:
  - A REQUIRED namespace *attribute information item* as described below in **4.2.1 namespace attribute information item** [p.84].
  - An OPTIONAL location *attribute information item* as described below in **4.2.2 location attribute information item with import [owner element]** [p.85] .
  - O Zero or more namespace qualified *attribute information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
- Zero or more *element information items* amongst its [children], as follows:
  - O Zero or more documentation *element information items* (see **5. Documentation** [p.85]).
  - O Zero or more namespace-qualified *element information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".

#### 4.2.1 namespace attribute information item

The namespace attribute information item has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of namespace.
- A [namespace name] which has no value.

The namespace attribute information item is of type xs:anyURI. Its actual value indicates that the containing WSDL 2.0 document MAY contain qualified references to WSDL 2.0 definitions in that namespace (via one or more prefixes declared with namespace declarations in the normal way). This value MUST NOT match the actual value of targetNamespace attribute information item in the enclosing WSDL 2.0 document. † [p.126] If the location attribute in the import element information item is dereferencible then it MUST reference a WSDL 2.0 document and the actual value of the namespace attribute information item MUST be identical to the actual value of the targetNamespace attribute information item of the referenced WSDL 2.0 document. † [p.126]

## 4.2.2 location attribute information item with import [owner element]

The location *attribute information item* has the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of location.
- A [namespace name] which has no value.

The location *attribute information item* is of type xs:anyURI. Its actual value, if present, gives a hint as to where a serialization of a WSDL 2.0 document with definitions for the imported namespace can be found.

The location *attribute information item* is optional. This allows WSDL 2.0 components to be constructed from information other than an XML 1.0 serialization of a WSDL 2.0 document. It also allows the development of WSDL 2.0 processors that have *a prior* (i.e., built-in) knowledge of certain namespaces.

## 5. Documentation

```
<documentation>
  [extension elements]*
</documentation>
```

WSDL 2.0 uses the optional documentation *element information item* as a container for human readable or machine processable documentation. The content of the *element information item* is arbitrary *character information items* and *element information items* ("mixed" content in XML Schema[XML Schema: Structures [p.93]]). The documentation *element information item* is allowed inside any WSDL 2.0 *element information item*.

Like other *element information items* in the "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl" namespace, the documentation *element information item* allows qualified *attribute information items* whose [namespace name] is not "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl". The xml:lang attribute (see [XML 1.0 [p.93]]) MAY be used to indicate the language used in the contents of the documentation *element information item*.

The documentation *element information item* has:

- A [local name] of documentation.
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl".
- Zero or more *attribute information items* in its [attributes] property.
- Zero or more child *element information items* in its [children] property.
- Zero or more *character information items* in its [children] property.

# 6. Language Extensibility

In addition to extensibility implied by the Feature [p.41] and Property [p.45] components described above, the schema for WSDL 2.0 has a two-part extensibility model based on namespace-qualified elements and attributes. An extension is identified by the QName consisting of its namespace IRI and its element name. The meaning of an extension SHOULD be defined (directly or indirectly) in a document that is available at its namespace IRI. † [p.132]

## 6.1 Element based Extensibility

WSDL 2.0 allows extensions to be defined in terms of *element information items*. Where indicated herein, WSDL 2.0 allows namespace-qualified *element information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl" to appear among the [children] of specific *element information items* whose [namespace name] is "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl". Such *element information items* MAY be used to annotate WSDL 2.0 constructs such as interface, operation, etc.

It is expected that extensions will add to the existing properties of components in the component model. The specification for an extension *element information item* should include definitions of any such properties and the mapping from the XML representation of the extension to the properties in the component model.

The WSDL 2.0 schema defines a base type for use by extensibility elements. Example 6-1 [p.86] shows the type definition. The use of this type as a base type is optional.

Extensibility elements are commonly used to specify some technology-specific binding. They allow innovation in the area of network and message protocols without having to revise the base WSDL 2.0 specification. WSDL 2.0 recommends that specifications defining such protocols also define any necessary WSDL 2.0 extensions used to describe those protocols or formats.

Example 6-1. Base type for extensibility elements

```
<xs:complexType name='ExtensibilityElement' abstract='true' >
    <xs:attribute ref='wsdl:required' use='optional' />
</xs:complexType>
```

## 6.1.1 Mandatory extensions

Extension elements can be marked as mandatory by annotating them with a wsdl:required attribute information item (see 6.1.2 required attribute information item [p.88]) with a value of "true". A mandatory extension is an extension that MAY change the meaning of the element to which it is attached, such that the meaning of that element is no longer governed by this specification. Instead, the meaning of an element containing a mandatory extension is governed by the meaning of that extension. Thus, the definition of the element's meaning is *delegated* to the specification that defines the extension.

An extension that is NOT marked as mandatory MUST NOT invalidate the meaning of any part of the WSDL 2.0 document. † [p.132] Thus, a NON-mandatory extension merely provides additional description of capabilities of the service. This specification does not provide a mechanism to mark extension attributes as being required. Therefore, all extension attributes are NON-mandatory.

#### Note:

A mandatory extension is considered mandatory because it has the ability to change the meaning of the element to which it is attached. Thus, the meaning of the element may not be fully understood without understanding the attached extension. A NON-mandatory extension, on the other hand, can be safely ignored without danger of misunderstanding the rest of the WSDL 2.0 document.

If a WSDL 2.0 document declares an extension, Feature or Property as optional (i.e., NON-mandatory), then the Web service MUST NOT assume that the client supports that extension, Feature or Property, *unless* the Web service knows (through some other means) that the client has in fact elected to engage and support that extension, Feature or Property. † [p.132]

#### Note:

A key purpose of an extension is to formally indicate (i.e., in a machine-processable way) that a particular feature or convention is supported or required. This enables toolkits that understand the extension to engage it automatically, while toolkits that do not yet understand a required extension may be able to flag it to an operator for manual support.

If a Web service requires the client to follow a particular convention that is likely to be automatable in WSDL 2.0 toolkits, then that convention SHOULD be indicated in the WSDL 2.0 document as a wsdl:required extension, rather than just being conveyed out of band, even if that convention is not currently implemented in WSDL 2.0 toolkits.

This practice will help prevent interoperability problems that could arise if one toolkit requires a particular convention that is not indicated in the WSDL 2.0 document, while another toolkit does not realize that that convention is required. It will also help facilitate future automatic processing by WSDL 2.0 toolkits.

On the other hand, a client MAY engage an extension, Feature or Property that is declared as optional in the WSDL 2.0 document. Therefore, the Web service MUST support every extension, Feature or Property that is declared as optional in the WSDL 2.0 document, in addition to supporting every extension, Feature or Property that is declared as mandatory. † [p.132]

#### Note:

If finer-grain, direction-sensitive control of extensions, Features or Properties is desired, then such extensions, Features or Properties may be designed in a direction-sensitive manner (from the client or from the Web service) so that either direction may be separately marked required or optional. For example, instead of defining a single extension that governs both directions, two extensions could be defined -- one for each direction.

## 6.1.2 required attribute information item

WSDL 2.0 provides a global attribute information item with the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of required.
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsd1".

The type of the required *attribute information item* is *xs:boolean*. Its default value is "false" (hence extensions are NOT required by default).

## 6.2 Attribute-based Extensibility

WSDL 2.0 allows qualified *attribute information items* whose [namespace name] is NOT "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl" to appear on any *element information item* whose namespace name IS "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl". Such *attribute information items* can be used to annotate WSDL 2.0 constructs such as interfaces, bindings, etc.

WSDL 2.0 does not provide a mechanism for marking extension attribute information items as mandatory.

# **6.3 Extensibility Semantics**

As indicated above, it is expected that the presence of extensibility elements and attributes will result in additional properties appearing in the component model.

The presence of an optional extensibility element or attribute MAY therefore augment the semantics of a WSDL 2.0 document in ways that do not invalidate the existing semantics. However, the presence of a mandatory extensibility element MAY alter the semantics of a WSDL 2.0 document in ways that invalidate the existing semantics.

Extensibility elements SHOULD NOT alter the existing semantics in ways that are likely to confuse users.

#### Note:

However, once the client and service both know that an optional feature has been engaged (because the service has received a message explicitly engaging that feature, for example), then the semantics of that feature supersede what the WSDL 2.0 document indicated. For example, the WSDL 2.0 document may have specified an XML message schema to be used, but also indicated an optional security feature that encrypts the messages. If the security feature is engaged, then the encrypted messages will no longer conform to the specified message schema (until they are decrypted).

#### Note:

Authors of extensibility elements should make sure to include in the specification for such elements a clear statement of the requirements for document conformance (see **1.2 Document Conformance** [p.8]).

#### Note:

Authors of extensibility elements that may manifest as properties of the Description [p.14] component should be alert to the impact of imports on their extensions, or their extensions on imports. It is not possible, within the component model, to define extensions that have an effective scope equal to the scope of a containing file. Extensions that modify the behavior of the components contained in a description may therefore unexpectedly modify the behavior of components in imported descriptions as well, unless proper care is taken. Users of such extension elements should also be aware of the potential pitfalls.

# 7. Locating WSDL 2.0 Documents

As an XML vocabulary, WSDL 2.0 documents, WSDL2.0 document fragments or QName references to WSDL 2.0 components MAY appear within other XML documents. This specification defines a global attribute, wsdlLocation, to help with QName resolution (see **2.19 QName resolution** [p.73]). This attribute allows an element that contains such references to be annotated to indicate where the WSDL 2.0 documents fo one or more namespaces can be found. In particular, this attribute is expected to be useful when using service references in message exchanges.

The wsdlLocation global attribute is defined in the namespace "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl-instance" (hereafter referred to as "wsdli:wsdlLocation", for brevity). This attribute MAY appear on any XML element which allows attributes from other namespaces to occur. It MUST NOT appear on a wsdl:description element or any of its children/descendants.†[p.127]

A normative XML Schema [XML Schema: Structures [p.93]], [XML Schema: Datatypes [p.93]] document for the "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl-instance" namespace can be found at http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl-instance.

# 7.1 wsdli:wsdlLocation attribute information item

WSDL 2.0 provides a global attribute information item with the following Infoset properties:

- A [local name] of wsdlLocation.
- A [namespace name] of "http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl-instance".

The type of the wsdllocation attribute information item is a list xs:anyURI. Its actual value MUST be a list of pairs of IRIs; where the first IRI of a pair, which MUST be an absolute IRI as defined in [IETF RFC 3987 [p.93]], indicates a WSDL 2.0 (or 1.1) namespace name, and, the second a hint as to the location of a WSDL 2.0 document defining WSDL 2.0 components (or WSDL 1.1 elements [WSDL 1.1 [p.94]]) for that namespace name.  $^{\dagger}$  [p.134] The second IRI of a pair MAY be absolute or relative. For each pair of IRIs, if the location IRI of the pair is dereferencible then it MUST reference a WSDL 2.0 (or 1.1) document whose target namespace is the namespace IRI of the pair.  $^{\dagger}$  [p.127]

## 8. Conformance

This sections describes how this specification conforms to other specifications. At present, only one other specification, XML Information Set, is included here. Refer to **1.2 Document Conformance** [p.8] for a description of the criteria that Web service description documents must satisfy in order to conform to this specification.

## **8.1 XML Information Set Conformance**

This specification conforms to the [XML Information Set [p.93]]. The following information items MUST be present in the input Infosets to enable correct processing of WSDL 2.0 documents:

- Document Information Items with [children] and [base URI] properties.
- Element Information Items with [namespace name], [local name], [children], [attributes], [base URI] and [parent] properties.
- Attribute Information Items with [namespace name], [local name] and [normalized value] properties.
- Character Information Items with [character code], [element content whitespace] and [parent] properties.

# 9. XML Syntax Summary (Non-Normative)

```
<description targetNamespace="xs:anyURI" >
 <documentation />?
 <import namespace="xs:anyURI" location="xs:anyURI"? >
    <documentation />*
 </import>*
 <include location="xs:anyURI" >
   <documentation />*
 </include>*
 <types>
    <documentation />*
      [ <xs:import namespace="xs:anyURI" schemaLocation="xs:anyURI"? /> |
        <xs:schema targetNamespace="xs:anyURI" />
       other extension elements ]*
 </types>
 <interface name="xs:NCName" extends="list of xs:QName"? styleDefault="list of xs:anyURI"? >
    <documentation />*
    <fault name="xs:NCName" element="xs:QName"? >
      <documentation />*
     <feature ... />*
      operty ... />*
    </fault>*
```

#### 9. XML Syntax Summary (Non-Normative)

```
<operation name="xs:NCName" pattern="xs:anyURI" style="list of xs:anyURI"? >
   <documentation />*
   <input messageLabel="xs:NCName"? element="union of xs:QName, xs:token"? >
     <documentation />*
     <feature ... />*
     </input>*
   <output messageLabel="xs:NCName"? element="union of xs:QName, xs:token"? >
     <documentation />*
     <feature ... />*
     />*
   </output>*
   <infault ref="xs:QName" messageLabel="xs:NCName"? >
     <documentation />*
     <feature ... />*
     </infault>*
   <outfault ref="xs:QName" messageLabel="xs:NCName"? >
     <documentation />*
     <feature ... />*
   />*

   <feature ... />*
   </operation>*
 <feature ref="xs:anyURI" required="xs:boolean"? >
   <documentation />*
 </feature>*
 property ref="xs:anyURI" >
   <documentation />*
   <value> xs:anyType </value>?
   <constraint> xs:QName </constraint>?
 </property>*
</interface>*
<binding name="xs:NCName" interface="xs:QName"? type="xs:anyURI" >
 <documentation />*
 <fault ref="xs:QName" >
   <documentation />*
   <feature ... />*
```

#### 9. XML Syntax Summary (Non-Normative)

```
</fault>*
 <operation ref="xs:QName" >
   <documentation />*
   <input messageLabel="xs:NCName"? >
    <documentation />*
    <feature ... />*
    </input>*
   <output messageLabel="xs:NCName"? >
     <documentation />*
     <feature ... />*
    />*
   </output>*
   <infault ref="xs:QName" messageLabel="xs:NCName"? >
     <documentation />*
    <feature ... />*
    </infault>*
   <outfault ref="xs:QName" messageLabel="xs:NCName"? >
    <documentation />*
    <feature ... />*
    </outfault>*
   <feature ... />*
   </operation>*
 <feature ... />*
 </binding>*
<service name="xs:NCName" interface="xs:QName" >
 <documentation />*
 <endpoint name="xs:NCName" binding="xs:QName" address="xs:anyURI"? >
   <documentation />*
   <feature ... />*
   />*
 </endpoint>*
 <feature ... />*
```

## 10. References

## **10.1 Normative References**

#### [IETF RFC 2119]

*Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels*, S. Bradner, Author. Internet Engineering Task Force, June 1999. Available at http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt.

#### [IETF RFC 3986]

*Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI): Generic Syntax*, T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter, Authors. Internet Engineering Task Force, January 2005. Available at http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3986.txt.

#### [IETF RFC 3987]

*Internationalized Resource Identifiers (IRIs)*, M. Duerst, M. Suignard, Authors. Internet Engineering Task Force, January 2005. Available at http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3987.txt.

#### [XML 1.0]

*Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 (Third Edition)*, T. Bray, J. Paoli, C. M. Sperberg-McQueen, E. Maler, and F. Yergeau, Editors. World Wide Web Consortium, 4 February 2004. This version of the XML 1.0 Recommendation is http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xml-20040204/. The latest version of "Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0" is available at http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml.

#### [XML Information Set]

XML Information Set (Second Edition), J. Cowan and R. Tobin, Editors. World Wide Web Consortium, 4 February 2004. This version of the XML Information Set Recommendation is http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xml-infoset-20040204. The latest version of XML Information Set is available at http://www.w3.org/TR/xml-infoset.

#### [XML Namespaces]

*Namespaces in XML*, T. Bray, D. Hollander, and A. Layman, Editors. World Wide Web Consortium, 14 January 1999. This version of the Namespaces in XML Recommendation is http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-xml-names-19990114. The latest version of Namespaces in XML is available at http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml-names.

#### [XML Schema: Structures]

*XML Schema Part 1: Structures*, H. Thompson, D. Beech, M. Maloney, and N. Mendelsohn, Editors. World Wide Web Consortium, 28 October 2004. This version of the XML Schema Part 1 Recommendation is http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xmlschema-1-20041028. The latest version of XML Schema Part 1 is available at http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-1.

#### [XML Schema: Datatypes]

*XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes*, P. Byron and A. Malhotra, Editors. World Wide Web Consortium, 28 October 2004. This version of the XML Schema Part 2 Recommendation is http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xmlschema-2-20041028. The latest version of XML Schema Part 2 is available at http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-2.

#### [RFC 3023]

IETF "RFC 3023: XML Media Types", M. Murata, S. St. Laurent, D. Kohn, July 1998.(See http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3023.txt.)

#### [WSDL 2.0 Adjuncts]

Web Services Description Language (WSDL) Version 2.0 Part 2: Adjuncts, R. Chinnici, H. Haas, A. Lewis, J-J. Moreau, D. Orchard, S. Weerawarana, Editors. World Wide Web Consortium, 27 March 2006. This version of the "Web Services Description Language (WSDL) Version 2.0 Part 2: Adjuncts" Specification is available at http://www.w3.org/TR/2006/CR-wsdl20-adjuncts-20060327. The latest version of "Web Services Description Language (WSDL) Version 2.0 Part 2: Adjuncts" is available at http://www.w3.org/TR/wsdl20-adjuncts.

#### [Character Model for the WWW]

Character Model for the World Wide Web 1.0: Fundamentals, M. Dürst, F. Yergeau, R. Ishida, M. Wolf, T. Texin, Editors. W3C Recommendation, 15 February 2005. Latest version available at http://www.w3.org/TR/charmod/.

#### 10.2 Informative References

#### [Alternative Schema Languages Support]

Discussion of Alternative Schema Languages and Type System Support in WSDL 2.0, A. Lewis, B. Parsia, Editors. World Wide Web Consortium, 17 August 2005. This version of the "Discussion of Alternative Schema Languages and Type System Support in WSDL 2.0" Working Group Note is <a href="http://www.w3.org/TR/2005/NOTE-wsdl20-altschemalangs-20050817/">http://www.w3.org/TR/2005/NOTE-wsdl20-altschemalangs-20050817/</a>. The latest version of "Discussion of Alternative Schema Languages and Type System Support in WSDL 2.0" is available at <a href="http://www.w3.org/TR/wsdl20-altschemalangs">http://www.w3.org/TR/wsdl20-altschemalangs</a>.

#### [IETF RFC 2045]

Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part One: Format of Internet Message Bodies, N. Freed, N. Borenstein, Authors. Internet Engineering Task Force, November 1996. Available at http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2045.txt.

#### [IETF RFC 2616]

*Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1*, R. Fielding, J. Gettys, J. Mogul, H. Frystyk, L. Masinter, P. Leach, T. Berners-Lee, Authors. Internet Engineering Task Force, June 1999. Available at http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2616.txt.

#### [SOAP 1.2 Part 1: Messaging Framework]

SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging Framework, M. Gudgin, M. Hadley, N. Mendelsohn, J-J. Moreau, H. Frystyk Nielsen, Editors. World Wide Web Consortium, 24 June 2003. This version of the "SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging Framework" Recommendation is http://www.w3.org/TR/2003/REC-soap12-part1-20030624/. The latest version of "SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging Framework" is available at http://www.w3.org/TR/soap12-part1/.

## [WSA 1.0 Core]

Web Services Addressing 1.0 - Core, M. Gudgin, M. Hadley, Editors. World Wide Web Consortium, 17 August 2005. This version of Web Services Addressing 1.0 - Core is http://www.w3.org/TR/2005/CR-ws-addr-core-20050817/ The latest version of the "Web Services Addressing 1.0 - Core" document is available from http://www.w3.org/TR/ws-addr-core.

#### [WSDL 1.1]

Web Services Description Language (WSDL) 1.1, E. Christensen, F. Curbera, G. Meredith, and S. Weerawarana, Authors. World Wide Web Consortium, 15 March 2002. This version of the Web

Services Description Language 1.1 Note is http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/NOTE-wsdl-20010315. The latest version of Web Services Description Language 1.1 is available at http://www.w3.org/TR/wsdl. [WSDL 2.0 Primer]

Web Services Description Language (WSDL) Version 2.0 Part 0: Primer, D.Booth, C.K. Liu, Editors. World Wide Web Consortium, 27 March 2006. This version of the "Web Services Description Language (WSDL) Version 2.0 Part 0: Primer" Specification is available at http://www.w3.org/TR/2006/CR-wsdl20-primer-20060327. The latest version of "Web Services Description Language (WSDL) Version 2.0 Part 0: Primer" is available at http://www.w3.org/TR/wsdl20-primer.

#### [WSD Requirements]

Web Services Description Requirements, J. Schlimmer, Editor. World Wide Web Consortium, 28 October 2002. This version of the Web Services Description Requirements document is http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/WD-ws-desc-reqs-20021028. The latest version of Web Services Description Requirements is available at http://www.w3.org/TR/ws-desc-reqs.

#### [XPointer Framework]

XPointer Framework, Paul Grosso, Eve Maler, Jonathan Marsh, Norman Walsh, Editors. World Wide Web Consortium, 22 November 2002. This version of the XPointer Framework Proposed Recommendation is http://www.w3.org/TR/2003/REC-xptr-framework-20030325/ The latest version of XPointer Framework is available at http://www.w3.org/TR/xptr-framework/.

#### [XML Linking Language (XLink) 1.0]

*XLink*Steve DeRose, Eve Maler, David Orchard, Editors. World Wide Web Consortium, 27 June 2001. This version of the XLink Recommendation is

 $http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xlink-20010627/\ The\ latest\ version\ of\ XLink\ is\ available\ at\ http://www.w3.org/TR/xlink/.$ 

## [XML 1.1]

Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.1, T. Bray, J. Paoli, C. M. Sperberg-McQueen, E. Maler, Francois Yergau, and John Cowan, Editors. World Wide Web Consortium, 04 February 2004, edited in place 15 April 2004. This version of the XML 1.1 Recommendation is http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xml-20040204. The latest version of XML 1.1 is available at http://www.w3.org/TR/xml11.

#### [Z Notation Reference Manual]

*The Z Notation: A Reference Manual, Second Edition*, J. M. Spivey, Prentice Hall, 1992. [Fuzz 2000]

Release Notes For Fuzz 2000, J. M. Spivey.

# A. The application/wsdl+xml Media Type

This appendix defines the "application/wsdl+xml" media type which can be used to describe WSDL 2.0 documents serialized as XML.

# A.1 Registration

MIME media type name:

## A.1 Registration

| application  |
|--|
| MIME subtype name:   |
| wsdl+xml   |
| Required parameters:   |
| none   |
| Optional parameters: charset   |
| This parameter has identical semantics to the charset parameter of the "application/xml" media type as specified in [ $RFC\ 3023\ [p.94]$ ].                         |
| Encoding considerations:   |
| Identical to those of "application/xml" as described in [RFC 3023 [p.94]], section 3.2, as applied to the WSDL document Infoset.                                     |
| Security considerations:   |
| See section A.3 Security considerations [p.103].   |
| Interoperability considerations:   |
| There are no known interoperability issues.  |
| Published specifications:  |
| This document and [WSDL 2.0 Adjuncts [p.94]].  |
| Applications which use this media type:  |
| No known applications currently use this media type.   |
| Additional information: File extension:  |
| wsdl   |
| Fragment identifiers:  |
| Either a syntax identical to that of "application/xml" as described in [RFC 3023 [p.94]], section 5 or the syntax defined in <b>A.2 Fragment Identifiers</b> [p.97]. |
| Base URI:  |

As specified in [*RFC 3023 [p.94]*], section 6.

Macintosh File Type code:

**WSDL** 

Person and email address to contact for further information:

World Wide Web Consortium < web-human@w3.org>

Intended usage:

**COMMON** 

Author/Change controller:

The WSDL 2.0 specification set is a work product of the World Wide Web Consortium's Web Service Description Working Group. The W3C has change control over these specifications.

## A.2 Fragment Identifiers

This section defines a fragment identifier syntax for identifying components of a WSDL 2.0 document. This fragment identifier syntax is compliant with the [XPointer Framework [p.95]].

A WSDL 2.0 fragment identifier consists of zero or more xmlns pointer parts followed by a WSDL 2.0 pointer part as defined below. The pointer parts have a scheme name that corresponds to one of the standard WSDL 2.0 component types, and scheme data that is a path composed of names that identify the components. The scheme names all begin with the prefix "wsdl." to avoid name conflicts with other schemes. The names in the path are of type either QName, NCName, IRI, URI, or Pointer Part depending on the context. The scheme data for extension components is defined by the corresponding extension specification.

For QNames, any prefix MUST be defined by a preceding xmlns pointer part. † [p.132] If a QName does not have a prefix then its namespace name is the target namespace of the WSDL 2.0 document.

The fragment identifier is typically constructed from the {name [p.73] } property of the component and the {name [p.73] } properties of its ancestors as a path according to Table A-1 [p.97] . The first column of this table gives the name of the WSDL 2.0 component. Columns labeled 1 through 4 specify the identifiers that uniquely identify the component within its context. Identifiers are typically formed from the {name [p.73] } property, although in several cases references to other components are used. These identifiers are then used to construct the pointer part in the last column. The fragment identifier in a WSDL 2.0 component IRI-reference MUST resolve to some component as defined by the construction rules in Table A-1 [p.97]  $.^{\dagger}$  [p.132]

Table A-1. Rules for determining pointer parts for WSDL 2.0 components

| Component          | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | Pointer Part               |
|--------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|----------------------------|
| Description [p.14] | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | wsdl.description [p.99] () |

## A.2 Fragment Identifiers

| Element<br>Declaration<br>[p.14]            | element<br>QName    | n/a              | n/a               | n/a            | <pre>wsdl.elementDeclaration [p.99] (element)</pre>                      |
|---|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|--|
| Element<br>Declaration<br>[p.14]            | element<br>QName    | system IRI       | n/a               | n/a            | wsdl.elementDeclaration [p.99] (element, system)                         |
| Type<br>Definition<br>[p.14]                | type<br>QName       | n/a              | n/a               | n/a            | wsdl.typeDefinition [p.99] (type)  |
| Type<br>Definition<br>[p.14]                | type<br>QName       | system IRI       | n/a               | n/a            | wsdl.typeDefinition [p.99] (type,system)                                 |
| Interface [p.19]                            | interface<br>NCName | n/a              | n/a               | n/a            | wsdl.interface [p.99] (interface)  |
| Interface<br>Fault [p.23]                   | interface<br>NCName | fault NCName     | n/a               | n/a            | wsdl.interfaceFault [p.100] (interface/fault)                            |
| Interface<br>Operation<br>[p.27]            | interface<br>NCName | operation NCName | n/a               | n/a            | wsdl.interfaceOperation [p.100] (interface/operation)                    |
| Interface<br>Message<br>Reference<br>[p.33] | interface<br>NCName | operation NCName | message<br>NCName | n/a            | wsdl.interfaceMessageReference [p.100] (interface/operation/message)     |
| Interface<br>Fault<br>Reference<br>[p.37]   | interface<br>NCName | operation NCName | message<br>NCName | fault<br>QName | wsdl.interfaceFaultReference [p.100] (interface/operation/message/fault) |
| Binding [p.51]                              | binding<br>NCName   | n/a              | n/a               | n/a            | wsdl.binding [p.101] (binding)   |
| Binding<br>Fault [p.54]                     | binding<br>NCName   | fault QName      | n/a               | n/a            | wsdl.bindingFault [p.101] (binding/fault)                                |
| Binding<br>Operation<br>[p.57]              | binding<br>NCName   | operation QName  | n/a               | n/a            | wsdl.bindingOperation [p.101] (binding/operation)                        |
| Binding<br>Message<br>Reference<br>[p.60]   | binding<br>NCName   | operation QName  | message<br>NCName | n/a            | wsdl.bindingMessageReference [p.101] (binding/operation/message)         |
| Binding<br>Fault<br>Reference<br>[p.63]     | binding<br>NCName   | operation QName  | message<br>NCName | fault<br>QName | wsdl.bindingFaultReference [p.101] (binding/operation/message/fault)     |
| Service<br>[p.66]                           | service<br>NCName   | n/a              | n/a               | n/a            | wsdl.service [p.102] (service)   |
| Endpoint [p.69]                             | service<br>NCName   | endpoint NCName  | n/a               | n/a            | wsdl.endpoint [p.102] (service/endpoint)                                 |

#### A.2 Fragment Identifiers

| Feature [p.41]    | parent<br>Pointer Part | feature IRI                             | n/a | n/a | wsdl.feature [p.102] (parent/feature)         |
|-------------------|------------------------|---|-----|-----|---|
| Property [p.45]   | parent<br>Pointer Part | property IRI                            | n/a | n/a | wsdl.property [p.102] (parent/property)       |
| Extensions [p.13] | namespace<br>URI       | identifier<br>extension-specific-syntax | n/a | n/a | wsdl.extension [p.102] (namespace,identifier) |

Note that the above rules are defined in terms of component properties rather than the XML Infoset representation of the component model. The following sections specify in detail how the pointer parts are constructed from the component model.

## **A.2.1 The Description Component**

wsdl.description()

## **A.2.2 The Element Declaration Component**

wsdl.elementDeclaration(element)

wsdl.elementDeclaration(element, system)

- 1. element is the {name [p.14] } property of the Element Declaration [p.14] component.
- 2. system is the namespace absolute IRI of the extension type system used for the Element Declaration [p.14] component (see **3.2 Using Other Schema Languages** [p.79]). This parameter is absent if XML Schema is the type system.

#### A.2.3 The Type Definition Component

```
wsdl.typeDefinition(type)
wsdl.typeDefinition(type,system)
```

- 1. type is the {name [p.14] } property of the Type Definition [p.14] component.
- 2. system is the namespace absolute IRI of the extension type system used for the Type Definition [p.14] component (see **3.2 Using Other Schema Languages** [p.79]). This parameter is absent if XML Schema is the type system.

## **A.2.4** The Interface Component

```
wsdl.interface(interface)
```

1. *interface* is the local name of the {name [p.19] } property of the Interface [p.19] component.

## A.2.5 The Interface Fault Component

wsdl.interfaceFault(interface/fault)

- 1. *interface* is the local name of the {name [p.19] } property of the parent Interface [p.19] component.
- 2. fault is the local name of the {name [p.23] } property of the Interface Fault [p.23] component.

## A.2.6 The Interface Operation Component

wsdl.interfaceOperation(interface/operation)

- 1. *interface* is the local name of the {name [p.19] } property of the parent Interface [p.19] component.
- 2. *operation* is the local name of the {name [p.27] } property of the Interface Operation [p.27] component.

## A.2.7 The Interface Message Reference Component

wsdl.interfaceMessageReference(interface/operation/message)

- 1. *interface* is the local name of the {name [p.19] } property of the grandparent Interface [p.19] component.
- 2. *operation* is the local name of the {name [p.27] } property of the parent Interface Operation [p.27] component.
- 3. *message* is the {message label [p.33] } property of the Interface Message Reference [p.33] component.

## A.2.8 The Interface Fault Reference Component

 $\verb|wsdl.interfaceFaultReference|| interface/operation/message/fault||$ 

- 1. *interface* is the local name of the {name [p.19] } property of the grandparent Interface [p.19] component.
- 2. *operation* is the local name of the {name [p.27] } property of the parent Interface Operation [p.27] component.
- 3. message is the {message label [p.37] } property of the Interface Fault Reference [p.37] component.
- 4. fault is the {name [p.23] } property of the Interface Fault [p.23] component referred to by the {interface fault [p.37] } property of the Interface Fault Reference [p.37] component.

## **A.2.9** The Binding Component

wsdl.binding(binding)

1. binding is the local name of the {name [p.51] } property of the Binding [p.51] component.

## A.2.10 The Binding Fault Component

wsdl.bindingFault(binding/fault)

- 1. binding is the local name of the {name [p.51] } property of the parent Binding [p.51] component.
- 2. fault is the {name [p.23] } property of the Interface Fault [p.23] component referred to by the {interface fault [p.55] } property of the Binding Fault [p.54] component.

## **A.2.11 The Binding Operation Component**

wsdl.bindingOperation(binding/operation)

- 1. binding is the local name of the {name [p.51] } property of the parent Binding [p.51] component.
- 2. *operation* is the {name [p.27] } property of the Interface Operation [p.27] component referred to by the {interface operation [p.57] } property of the Binding Operation [p.57] component.

#### A.2.12 The Binding Message Reference Component

wsdl.bindingMessageReference(binding/operation/message)

- 1. *binding* is the local name of the {name [p.51] } property of the grandparent Binding [p.51] component.
- 2. *operation* is the {name [p.27] } property of the Interface Operation [p.27] component referred to by the {interface operation [p.57] } property of the parent Binding Operation [p.57] component.
- 3. *message* is the {message label [p.33] } property of the Interface Message Reference [p.33] component referred to by the {interface message reference [p.60] } property of the Binding Message Reference [p.60] component.

## A.2.13 The Binding Fault Reference Component

wsdl.bindingFaultReference(binding/operation/message/fault)

- 1. *binding* is the local name of the {name [p.51] } property of the grandparent Binding [p.51] component.
- 2. operation is the {name [p.27] } property of the Interface Operation [p.27] component referred to by the {interface operation [p.57] } property of the parent Binding Operation [p.57] component.

- 3. *message* is the {message label [p.37] } property of the Interface Fault Reference [p.37] component referred to by the {interface fault reference [p.63] } property of the Binding Fault Reference [p.63] component.
- 4. *fault* is the {name [p.23] } property of the Interface Fault [p.23] component referred to by the {interface fault [p.37] } property of the Interface Fault Reference [p.37] component referred to by the {interface fault reference [p.63] } property of the Binding Fault Reference [p.63] component.

## A.2.14 The Service Component

```
wsdl.service(service)
```

1. service is the local name of the {name [p.66] } property of the Service [p.66] component.

## A.2.15 The Endpoint Component

```
wsdl.endpoint(service/endpoint)
```

- 1. service is the local name of the {name [p.66] } property of the parent Service [p.66] component.
- 2. endpoint is the {name [p.69] } property of the Endpoint [p.69] component.

## **A.2.16 The Feature Component**

```
wsdl.feature(parent/feature)
```

- 1. parent is the pointer part of the parent component.
- 2. *feature* is the {ref [p.41] } property of the Feature [p.41] component.

#### **A.2.17 The Property Component**

```
wsdl.property(parent/property)
```

- 1. parent is the pointer part of the parent component.
- 2. property is the {ref [p.45] } property of the Property [p.45] component.

#### **A.2.18 Extension Components**

WSDL 2.0 is extensible and it is possible for an extension to define new components types. The XPointer Framework scheme for extension components is:

```
wsdl.extension(namespace, identifier)
```

1. *namespace* is the namespace URI that identifies the extension, e.g. for the WSDL 2.0 SOAP 1.2 Binding the namespace is http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl/soap.

2. *identifier* is defined by the extension using a syntax specific to the extension. The owner of the extension must define any components contributed by the extension and a syntax for identifying them.

## A.3 Security considerations

This media type uses the "+xml" convention, it shares the same security considerations as described in [RFC 3023 [p.94]], section 10.

# **B.** Acknowledgements (Non-Normative)

This document is the work of the W3C Web Service Description Working Group.

Members of the Working Group are (at the time of writing, and by alphabetical order): Rebecca Bergersen (IONA Technologies), Allen Brookes (Rogue Wave Softwave), Dave Chappell (Sonic Software), Helen Chen (Agfa-Gevaert N. V.), Roberto Chinnici (Sun Microsystems), Kendall Clark (University of Maryland), Ugo Corda (SeeBeyond), Glen Daniels (Sonic Software), Paul Downey (British Telecommunications), Youenn Fablet (Canon), Hugo Haas (W3C), Tom Jordahl (Macromedia), Anish Karmarkar (Oracle Corporation), Jacek Kopecky (DERI Innsbruck at the Leopold-Franzens-Universität Innsbruck, Austria), Amelia Lewis (TIBCO Software, Inc.), Michael Liddy (Education.au Ltd.), Kevin Canyang Liu (SAP AG), Jonathan Marsh (Microsoft Corporation), Josephine Micallef (SAIC - Telcordia Technologies), Jeff Mischkinsky (Oracle Corporation), Dale Moberg (Cyclone Commerce), Jean-Jacques Moreau (Canon), Mark Nottingham (BEA Systems, Inc.), David Orchard (BEA Systems, Inc.), Vivek Pandey (Sun Microsystems), Bijan Parsia (University of Maryland), Gilbert Pilz (BEA Systems, Inc.), Tony Rogers (Computer Associates), Arthur Ryman (IBM), Adi Sakala (IONA Technologies), Asir Vedamuthu (Microsoft Corporation), Sanjiva Weerawarana (WSO2), Ümit Yalçınalp (SAP AG).

Previous members were: Lily Liu (webMethods, Inc.), Don Wright (Lexmark), Joyce Yang (Oracle Corporation), Daniel Schutzer (Citigroup), Dave Solo (Citigroup), Stefano Pogliani (Sun Microsystems), William Stumbo (Xerox), Stephen White (SeeBeyond), Barbara Zengler (DaimlerChrysler Research and Technology), Tim Finin (University of Maryland), Laurent De Teneuille (L'Echangeur), Johan Pauhlsson (L'Echangeur), Mark Jones (AT&T), Steve Lind (AT&T), Sandra Swearingen (U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Air Force), Philippe Le Hégaret (W3C), Jim Hendler (University of Maryland), Dietmar Gaertner (Software AG), Michael Champion (Software AG), Don Mullen (TIBCO Software, Inc.), Steve Graham (Global Grid Forum), Steve Tuecke (Global Grid Forum), Michael Mahan (Nokia), Bryan Thompson (Hicks & Associates), Ingo Melzer (DaimlerChrysler Research and Technology), Sandeep Kumar (Cisco Systems), Alan Davies (SeeBeyond), Jacek Kopecky (Systinet), Mike Ballantyne (Electronic Data Systems), Mike Davoren (W. W. Grainger), Dan Kulp (IONA Technologies), Mike McHugh (W. W. Grainger), Michael Mealling (Verisign), Waqar Sadiq (Electronic Data Systems), Yaron Goland (BEA Systems, Inc.), Ümit Yalçınalp (Oracle Corporation), Peter Madziak (Agfa-Gevaert N. V.), Jeffrey Schlimmer (Microsoft Corporation), Hao He (The Thomson Corporation), Erik Ackerman (Lexmark), Jerry Thrasher (Lexmark), Prasad Yendluri (webMethods, Inc.), William Vambenepe (Hewlett-Packard Company), David Booth (W3C), Sanjiva Weerawarana (IBM), Charlton Barreto (webMethods, Inc.), Asir Vedamuthu (webMethods, Inc.), Igor Sedukhin (Computer Associates), Martin Gudgin (Microsoft Corporation).

The people who have contributed to discussions on www-ws-desc@w3.org are also gratefully acknowledged.

# C. IRI-References for WSDL 2.0 Components (Non-Normative)

This appendix provides a syntax for IRI-references for all components found in a WSDL 2.0 document. The IRI-references are easy to understand and compare, while imposing no burden on the WSDL 2.0 author.

#### C.1 WSDL 2.0 IRIs

There are two main cases for WSDL 2.0 IRIs:

- the IRI of a WSDL 2.0 document
- the IRI of a WSDL 2.0 namespace

The IRI of a WSDL 2.0 document can be dereferenced to give a resource representation that contributes component definitions to a single WSDL 2.0 namespace. If the media type is set to the WSDL 2.0 media type, then the fragment identifiers can be used to identify the main components that are defined in the document.

However, in keeping with the recommendation in **2.1.1 The Description Component** [p.13] that the namespace URI be dereferencible to a WSDL 2.0 document, this appendix specifies the use of the namespace IRI with the WSDL 2.0 fragment identifiers to form an IRI-reference.

The IRI in an IRI-reference for a WSDL 2.0 component is the namespace name of the  $\{name [p.73]\}$  property of either the component itself, in the case of Interface [p.19], Binding [p.51], and Service [p.66] components, or the  $\{name [p.73]\}$  property of the ancestor top-level component. The IRI provided by the namespace name of the  $\{name [p.73]\}$  property is combined with a fragment identifier as defined in **A.2 Fragment Identifiers** [p.97].

# C.2 Example

Consider the following WSDL 2.0 document located at http://example.org/TicketAgent.wsdl:

## Example C-1. IRI-References - Example WSDL 2.0 Document

```
<wsdl:interface name="TicketAgent">
        <feature ref="http://example.com/secure-channel"</pre>
                 required="true"/>
        <wsdl:operation name="listFlights"</pre>
                         pattern="http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl/in-out">
            <wsdl:input element="xsTicketAgent:listFlightsRequest"/>
            <wsdl:output element="xsTicketAgent:listFlightsResponse"/>
        </wsdl:operation>
        <wsdl:operation name="reserveFlight"</pre>
                         pattern="http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl/in-out">
            <wsdl:input element="xsTicketAgent:reserveFlightRequest"/>
            <wsdl:output element="xsTicketAgent:reserveFlightResponse"/>
        </wsdl:operation>
    </wsdl:interface>
</wsdl:description>
Its components have the following IRI-references:
Example C-2. IRI-References - Example IRIs
  wsdl.description()
```

```
http://example.org/TicketAgent.wsdl20#
http://example.org/TicketAgent.wsdl20#
  xmlns(xsTicketAgent=http://example.org/TicketAgent.xsd)
  wsdl.elementDeclaration(xsTicketAgent:listFlightsRequest)
http://example.org/TicketAgent.wsdl20#
  xmlns(xsTicketAgent=http://example.org/TicketAgent.xsd)
  wsdl.elementDeclaration(xsTicketAgent:listFlightsResponse)
http://example.org/TicketAgent.wsdl20#
  xmlns(xsTicketAgent=http://example.org/TicketAgent.xsd)
  wsdl.elementDeclaration(xsTicketAgent:reserveFlightRequest)
http://example.org/TicketAgent.wsdl20#
  xmlns(xsTicketAgent=http://example.org/TicketAgent.xsd)
  wsdl.elementDeclaration(xsTicketAgent:reserveFlightResponse)
http://example.org/TicketAgent.wsdl20#
  wsdl.interface(TicketAgent)
http://example.org/TicketAgent.wsdl20#
  wsdl.feature(
  wsdl.interface(TicketAgent)/http://example.com/secure-channel)
http://example.org/TicketAgent.wsdl20#
  wsdl.interfaceOperation(TicketAgent/listFlights)
http://example.org/TicketAgent.wsdl20#
  wsdl.interfaceMessageReference(TicketAgent/listFlights/In)
http://example.org/TicketAgent.wsdl20#
  wsdl.interfaceMessageReference(TicketAgent/listFlights/Out)
```

```
http://example.org/TicketAgent.wsdl20#
  wsdl.interfaceOperation(TicketAgent/reserveFlight)

http://example.org/TicketAgent.wsdl20#
  wsdl.interfaceMessageReference(TicketAgent/reserveFlight/In)

http://example.org/TicketAgent.wsdl20#
  wsdl.interfaceMessageReference(TicketAgent/reserveFlight/Out)
```

# **D.** Component Summary (Non-Normative)

Table D-1 [p.106] lists all the components in the WSDL 2.0 abstract Component Model, and all their properties.

Table D-1. Summary of WSDL 2.0 Components and their Properties

| Component                           | Defined Properties  |  |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| -                                   | {features [p.41] }, {name [p.73] }, {parent [p.14] }, {properties [p.46] }  |  |
| Binding [p.51]                      | {binding faults [p.51] }, {binding operations [p.51] }, {features [p.51] }, {interface [p.51] }, {name [p.51] }, {properties [p.51] }, {type [p.51] }               |  |
| Binding Fault [p.54]                | {features [p.55] }, {interface fault [p.55] }, {parent [p.55] }, {properties [p.55] }   |  |
| Binding Fault<br>Reference [p.63]   | {features [p.63] }, {interface fault reference [p.63] }, {parent [p.63] }, {properties [p.63] }   |  |
| Binding Message<br>Reference [p.60] | {features [p.60] }, {interface message reference [p.60] }, {parent [p.60] }, {properties [p.60] }   |  |
| Binding Operation [p.57]            | {binding fault references [p.57] }, {binding message references [p.57] }, {features [p.57] }, {interface operation [p.57] }, {parent [p.57] }, {properties [p.57] } |  |
| Description [p.14]                  | {bindings [p.14] }, {element declarations [p.14] }, {interfaces [p.14] }, {services [p.14] }, {type definitions [p.14] }  |  |
| Element<br>Declaration [p.14]       | {name [p.14] }, {system [p.14] }  |  |
| Endpoint [p.69]                     | {address [p.69] }, {binding [p.69] }, {features [p.69] }, {name [p.69] }, {parent [p.69] }, {properties [p.69] }  |  |
| Feature [p.41]                      | {parent [p.41] }, {ref [p.41] }, {required [p.41] }   |  |
| Interface [p.19]                    | {extended interfaces [p.19] }, {features [p.19] }, {interface faults [p.19] }, {interface operations [p.19] }, {name [p.19] }, {properties [p.19] }                 |  |
| Interface Fault [p.23]              | {element declaration [p.23] }, {features [p.23] }, {name [p.23] }, {parent [p.23] }, {properties [p.23] }   |  |

| Interface Fault<br>Reference [p.37]   | {direction [p.37] }, {features [p.37] }, {interface fault [p.37] }, {message label [p.37] }, {parent [p.37] }, {properties [p.37] }  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Interface Message<br>Reference [p.33] | {direction [p.33] }, {element declaration [p.33] }, {features [p.33] }, {message content model [p.33] }, {message label [p.33] }, {parent [p.33] }, {properties [p.33] }   |  |  |
| Interface Operation [p.27]            | {features [p.27] }, {interface fault references [p.27] }, {interface message references [p.27] }, {message exchange pattern [p.27] }, {name [p.27] }, {parent [p.27] }, {properties [p.27] }, {style [p.27] }  |  |  |
| Property [p.45]                       | {parent [p.46]}, {ref [p.45]}, {value [p.46]}, {value constraint [p.45]}   |  |  |
| Service [p.66]                        | {endpoints [p.66] }, {features [p.66] }, {interface [p.66] }, {name [p.66] }, {properties [p.66] }   |  |  |
| Type Definition [p.14]                | {name [p.14] }, {system [p.14] }   |  |  |
| Property                              | Where Defined  |  |  |
| address                               | Endpoint.{address [p.69] }   |  |  |
| binding                               | Endpoint.{binding [p.69] }   |  |  |
| binding faults                        | Binding.{binding faults [p.51] }   |  |  |
| binding operations                    | Binding.{binding operations [p.51] }   |  |  |
| bindings                              | Description.{bindings [p.14] }   |  |  |
| direction                             | Interface Fault Reference.{direction [p.37] }, Interface Message Reference.{direction [p.33] }   |  |  |
| element<br>declaration                | Interface Fault.{element declaration [p.23] }, Interface Message Reference.{element declaration [p.33] }   |  |  |
| element<br>declarations               | Description.{element declarations [p.14] }   |  |  |
| endpoints                             | Service.{endpoints [p.66] }  |  |  |
| extended interfaces                   | Interface.{extended interfaces [p.19] }  |  |  |
| features                              | .{features [p.41] }, Binding.{features [p.51] }, Binding Fault.{features [p.55] }, Binding Fault Reference.{features [p.63] }, Binding Message Reference.{features [p.60] }, Binding Operation.{features [p.57] }, Endpoint.{features [p.69] }, Interface.{features [p.19] }, Interface Fault.{features [p.23] }, Interface Fault Reference.{features [p.37] }, Interface Message Reference.{features [p.33] }, Interface Operation.{features [p.27] }, Service.{features [p.66] } |  |  |
| interface                             | Binding.{interface [p.51] }, Service.{interface [p.66] }   |  |  |
| L                                     | I.   |  |  |

## D. Component Summary (Non-Normative)

| interface fault                 | Binding Fault.{interface fault [p.55] }, Interface Fault Reference.{interface fault [p.37] }   |  |  |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| interface fault<br>references   | Interface Operation.{interface fault references [p.27] }   |  |  |
| interface faults                | Interface.{interface faults [p.19] }   |  |  |
| interface message<br>references | Interface Operation.{interface message references [p.27] }   |  |  |
| interface operations            | Interface.{interface operations [p.19] }   |  |  |
| interfaces                      | Description.{interfaces [p.14] }   |  |  |
| message content<br>model        | Interface Message Reference.{message content model [p.33] }  |  |  |
| message exchange pattern        | Interface Operation.{message exchange pattern [p.27] }   |  |  |
| message label                   | Interface Fault Reference.{message label [p.37] }, Interface Message Reference.{message label [p.33] }   |  |  |
| name                            | .{name [p.73] }, Binding.{name [p.51] }, Element Declaration.{name [p.14] }, Endpoint.{name [p.69] }, Interface.{name [p.19] }, Interface Fault.{name [p.23] }, Interface Operation.{name [p.27] }, Service.{name [p.66] }, Type Definition.{name [p.14] }   |  |  |
| parent                          | .{parent [p.14] }, Binding Fault.{parent [p.55] }, Binding Fault Reference.{parent [p.63] }, Binding Message Reference.{parent [p.60] }, Binding Operation.{parent [p.57] }, Endpoint.{parent [p.69] }, Feature.{parent [p.41] }, Interface Fault.{parent [p.23] }, Interface Fault Reference.{parent [p.37] }, Interface Message Reference.{parent [p.33] }, Interface Operation.{parent [p.27] }, Property.{parent [p.46] }  |  |  |
| properties                      | .{properties [p.46] }, Binding.{properties [p.51] }, Binding Fault.{properties [p.55] }, Binding Fault Reference.{properties [p.63] }, Binding Message Reference.{properties [p.60] }, Binding Operation.{properties [p.57] }, Endpoint.{properties [p.69] }, Interface.{properties [p.19] }, Interface Fault.{properties [p.23] }, Interface Fault Reference.{properties [p.37] }, Interface Message Reference.{properties [p.33] }, Interface Operation.{properties [p.27] }, Service.{properties [p.66] } |  |  |
| ref                             | Feature.{ref [p.41] }, Property.{ref [p.45] }  |  |  |
| required                        | Feature.{required [p.41] }   |  |  |
| services                        | Description.{services [p.14] }   |  |  |
|                                 |  |  |  |

| style            | Interface Operation.{style [p.27] }                                    |
|------------------|--|
| system           | Element Declaration.{system [p.14] }, Type Definition.{system [p.14] } |
| type             | Binding. {type [p.51] }  |
| type definitions | Description.{type definitions [p.14] }                                 |
| value            | Property.{value [p.46] }   |
| value constraint | Property.{value constraint [p.45] }                                    |

# **E. Part 1 Change Log (Non-Normative)**

Table E-1. Summary of WSDL 2.0 Specification Changes

| Date     | Author | Description   |
|----------|--------|---|
| 20060309 | AGR    | Added quotes to xmlns attribute in example as reported by Menon, 2006-02-28.  |
| 20060226 | AGR    | Reviewed and added assertions to sections 2. 2.1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and Appendix A.   |
| 20060224 | RRC    | Added assertions to sections 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.  |
| 20060220 | AGR    | CR005 : Added the 44 built-in datatypes to the {type definitions [p.14] } property of the Description [p.14] .  |
| 20060109 | AGR    | Added assertions posted to mailing list: "Binding, Service, Modularization, Extensibility, and Location assertions", Lawrence Mandel, 2006-01-06.                                   |
| 20051121 | AGR    | Added assertions posted to mailing list: "types, description, interface, feature, and property assertions", Lawrence Mandel, 2005-11-17.  |
| 20051118 | AGR    | Added assertions posted to mailing list: "types assertions", Lawrence Mandel, 2005-11-15.   |
| 20051118 | AGR    | Simiplified Z Notation for fragment identifiers and updated Example IRIs [p.105] .  |
| 20051117 | AGR    | LC344: Reviewed use of "Note that" throughout and removed usages where they would be incorrectly interpreted as non-normative. Implemented resolutions of #1, #2, #6, #10, and #14. |
| 20051117 | AGR    | Fixed typos posted to mailing list: WSDL 2.0 spec typos, Lawrence Mandel, 2005-11-16.   |
| 20051117 | JJM    | LC358 : fixed formatting in example C.2.  |
| 20051117 | JJM    | LC356: fixed contradiction between sections 2.1.2 and 2.2.1.  |

| 20051117 | JJM | LC302 : point to RFC3987 instead of the draft TAG finding.   |
|----------|-----|--|
| 20051117 | JJM | LC355 : fixed section 2.10.3, table had error, "interface fault component".  |
| 20051116 | AGR | Added Z Notation for fragment identifiers and component designators for Description, Feature, Property, and Extension components in Appendix A - Fragment Identifiers [p.97].  |
| 20051115 | AGR | Added Z Notation for fragment identifiers and component designators for Element Declaration and Type Definition components in Appendix A - Fragment Identifiers [p.97].  |
| 20051113 | AGR | Added Z Notation for fragment identifiers and component designators for Interface, Binding, and Service component families in Appendix A - Fragment Identifiers [p.97].  |
| 20051112 | AGR | Corrected order of arguments in fragment identifier for Binding Fault Reference [p.101] to match that in Interface Fault Reference.  |
| 20051112 | AGR | LC361 : Defined what should be declared as a fault [p.22] .  |
| 20051112 | AGR | LC344#5 : Allow an operation style to constrain faults [p.29] as per the resolution at the Yokohama F2F.   |
| 20051112 | AGR | LC350 : Corrected Introduction [p.7] .   |
| 20051112 | AGR | LC336: Soften statement about use of xs:anyURI and refer to WS-Addressing Endpoint Reference [p.80].   |
| 20051112 | AGR | LC305 : Aligned BNF notational conventions with WS-Addressing, Pseudo schemas do not include extensibility points for brevity [p.12] .   |
| 20051110 | AGR | LC353 : Added definition of a valid WSDL 2.0 component model [p.12] .  |
| 20051110 | JJM | LC360: What should be declared as a fault, as per Tokyo f2f.   |
| 20051110 | JJM | LC357 : Added anyURI-IRI warning, as per Tokyo f2f.  |
| 20051110 | JJM | LC344#5 : Incorporated text regarding mutually exclusive operation styles, as per Tokyo f2f.   |
| 20051103 | AGR | LC344#12 : Completed editorial improvements to message label rules. Moved long definitions out of tables.  |
| 20051101 | AGR | Added Z Notation for message exchange pattern, placeholder message, and fault propagation ruleset in <b>2.4.1.1 Message Exchange Pattern</b> [p.28] . Replaced the term <i>fault pattern</i> with <i>fault propagation ruleset</i> throughout for consistency and agreement with Part 2. |
| 20051027 | AGR | Added bidirectional linking between assertions and the summary table, and added a section on notation, <b>1.4.10 Assertions</b> [p.12] .   |

| 20051027 | AGR | Updated <b>3.1 Using W3C XML Schema Description Language</b> [p.75] as per proposal How to Treat Built-In Schema Types.   |
|----------|-----|---|
| 20051027 | AGR | LC344#12: Editorial improvements to message label rules. Added precise definitions of message exchange pattern, placeholder message, and fault propagation ruleset in <b>2.4.1.1 Message Exchange Pattern</b> [p.28]. |
| 20051020 | AGR | LC344#6: Editorial improvements to 2.7.1 The Feature Component [p.40].  |
| 20051016 | AGR | LC328 : Added introductory paragraph to <b>8. Conformance</b> [p.90] in response to comment #2.   |
| 20050924 | AGR | Added initial markup for assertions.  |
| 20050914 | AGR | LC311: Clarified that the URI associated with alternative schema languages for defining other type systems is the namespace used for its extension elements and attributes and that it is an absolute IRI.            |
| 20050914 | AGR | LC309: Replaced the list of operation style definitions with a general reference to Part 2.   |
| 20050914 | AGR | LC308: Added references to Fragment Identifier appendix to show how Interface Fault and Interface Operation can be uniquely identified.   |
| 20050901 | RRC | LC310: Removed uses of undefined "ws:" prefix and made use of prefixes in section 4.2 more regular.   |
| 20050730 | AGR | Removed obsolete editorial notes.   |
| 20050727 | AGR | LC96: Added clarification to section 4.2 stating that imported WSDL components are pervasive like in XML Schema as per resolution agreed to at F2F.   |
| 20050727 | AGR | LC91 : Added clarification to section 3.1.1 stating that some differences to xs:import apply as per resolution agreed to at F2F.  |
| 20050727 | AGR | Corrected typo in section 3.1.2 on inlining two or more schemas that have the same namespace.   |
| 20050719 | AGR | Added xs:import and xs:schema to XML Syntax Summary for types.  |
| 20050711 | AGR | Updated Example C-2. IRI-References - Example IRIs to match Appendix A.   |
| 20050616 | AGR | Corrected Feature and Property composition rules for Interface, Service, and Endpoint.  |
| 20050615 | AGR | LC117: Removed Service References and Endpoint References and added wsdlx:interface and wsdlx:binding.  |
| 20050613 | RRC | LC74c: changed wsdl:documentation element cardinality to zero or more and adding sentence on use of xml:lang.   |
| 20050613 | RRC | LC74a: changed URIs to IRIs except in Feature and Property Components.  |

| 20050613 | AGR | LC75v: Removed any text that discussed conformance for WSDL 2.0 processors.  |
|----------|-----|--|
| 20050613 | JJM | LC131: added pseudo-schema comment.  |
| 20050613 | JJM | LC70: reiterated behavior is undefined when several schema languages used simultaneously.  |
| 20050613 | JJM | LC70: moved appendix D (other schema languages) to a separate specification.   |
| 20050612 | AGR | Finshed first pass at adding markup for WSDL component and property definitions and references.  |
| 20050610 | AGR | Added table of components and their properties, courtesy of JM.  |
| 20050608 | AGR | Added markup for WSDL component and property definitions and references.   |
| 20050602 | НН  | LC75c: moved safety to Part 2.   |
| 20050601 | JJM | LC75x: removed appendix "migrating from WSDL 1.1 to WSDL 2.0".   |
| 20050531 | JJM | LC82: removed ONMR section (transfer to primer).   |
| 20050531 | JJM | LC71: added default value for pattern attribute ("/inout").  |
| 20050526 | AGR | LC64: Added fragment identifiers for Description [p.14], Element Declaration [p.14], and Type Definition [p.14] components.            |
| 20050525 | AGR | Added final ComponentModel to Z Notation.  |
| 20050523 | AGR | Reordered some paragraphs to improve consistency.  |
| 20050522 | AGR | Added consistency and key constraints to the Z notation.   |
| 20050520 | JJM | LC129: wsdlLocation can now also point to WSDL 1.1 documents.  |
| 20050520 | JJM | LC126: Added default value for wsdl:required (false).  |
| 20050520 | JJM | Fixed typo in 2.14.1.1.  |
| 20050519 | JJM | LC97: Uniformized setting default values. Fixed typos along the way.   |
| 20050518 | AGR | Added parent and integrity constraints to the Z notation.  |
| 20050513 | JJM | LC18: Fixed the SOAP 1.2/WSDL 2.0 feature text. Wordsmithed the introduction.  |
| 20050513 | JJM | LC127: Fixed wsld:include description, which is not about merging.   |
| 20050512 | JJM | LC750: Remove "if any" from Service/{endpoints}, since there is always one.  |
| 20050511 | AGR | LC121: Distinguished between wsdl:import and xs:import, and wsdl:include and xs:include in Description [p.14] component mapping table. |
| 20050504 | JJM | Rewrote the "Operation Name Mapping Requirement" section to make it best practice.   |
|          |     |  |

| 20050504 | JJM | Removed empty subsections in "XML Schema 1.0 Simple"   |
|----------|-----|--|
| 20050504 | JJM | Rewrote the "Single Interface" section, as per editorial AI dated 2005-01-19.  |
| 20050503 | JJM | Rewrote the ONMR as Best practice.   |
| 20050503 | JJM | LC112: Implemented resolution for issue LC112.   |
| 20050503 | JJM | Completed editorial action LC78.   |
| 20050501 | AGR | LC120: Clarified description of include and import, removed contradictions, and added references to QName resolution.  |
| 20050501 | AGR | LC116: Clarified that schemaLocation is not required if the namespace has been resolved in the component model. Replaced the term "embedded schema" with "inlined schema" throughout.                                      |
| 20050501 | AGR | LC89m: Made all top-level components behave the same under include and import.   |
| 20050501 | AGR | LC89f: Added statement on XML document conformance.  |
| 20050501 | AGR | LC74: Refer to WSDL 2.0 explicitly throughout. In particular, only imports and includes of WSDL 2.0 documents are allowed.   |
| 20050501 | AGR | LC99: Added #other to {message content model} property of Interface Message Reference [p.33] component, and to WSDL schema.  |
| 20050501 | AGR | LC125: Renamed components Fault Reference -> Interface Fault Reference [p.37], Message Reference -> Interface Message Reference [p.33], and the corresponding properties.  |
| 20050430 | AGR | LC117: Added use of EndpointType for endpoint references.  |
| 20050429 | AV  | LC96 and LC120: Modified section 4.2 to align wsdl:import with xs:import.  |
| 20050429 | RRC | LC75w: Removed "is not dereferenceable or" from section 4.1.1 and removed references to a WSDL processor.  |
| 20050429 | RRC | Added clarification that an operation style MAY affect only input or only output messages (or any other combination).  |
| 20050421 | AGR | LC81: Added constraints to ensure the component model can be serialized as a WSDL 2.0 XML Infoset. In the Interface component, the declared Interface Faults and Operations MUST have the same namespace as the Interface. |
| 20050418 | RRC | LC115: Moved document conformance section after 1.1.   |
| 20050418 | RRC | LC89g: Replaced incorrect references to the [owner] Infoset property with the correct [owner element].   |

| 20050417 | AGR | LC107: Use a consistent naming convention for properties that refer to components. Make the property name match the component name as follows:  • Interface.{faults} -> {interface faults}  • Interface.{operations} -> {interface operations}  • InterfaceFault.{element} -> {element declaration}  • MessageReference.{element} -> {element declaration}  • FaultReference.{fault reference} -> {interface fault}  • Binding.{faults} -> {binding faults}  • BindingFault.{fault reference} -> {interface fault}  • BindingOperation.{operation reference} -> {interface operation}  • BindingOperation.{message references} -> {binding message references}  • BindingOperation.{fault reference} -> {binding fault references} |
|----------|-----|--|
| 20050417 | AGR | LC34b: Added the constraint that the {uri} property of a Feature or Property [p.45] component within a {features} or {properties} property MUST be unique.   |
| 20050416 | AGR | LC105 : Added {parent} property to nested components.  |
| 20050416 | AGR | Moved the fragment identifier [p.97] definition into the media registration appendix.  |
| 20050414 | JJM | Fixed XML Schema P1/P2 version listed in the bibliography section.   |
| 20050413 | AGR | LC87 : Improved clarity of the decription of Component Designators in Appendix C.  |
| 20050407 | JJM | Reworded the introduction for wsdlLocation, as per LC26 resolution.  |
| 20050407 | JJM | Moved paragraphs 6-9 of section 2.1.1 into 2.1.2.  |
| 20050331 | AGR | LC113: In the Feature and Property Composition sections, the in-scope components for Binding Operation, Binding Fault, Binding Message Reference, and Binding Fault Reference should include those of the corresponding Interface Operation, Interface Fault, Message Reference, and Fault Reference, respectively. Also updated specification references use Part 2: Adjuncts, and corrected validation errors.   |

| 20050320 | AGR | LC104: The operations, faults, features, and properties of an Interface [p.19] component are those defined directly on the component and do not include those from the extended interfaces.  |
|----------|-----|--|
| 20050320 | AGR | Rename Z Notation versions as wsdl20-z.html and wsdl20-z-ie-html.  |
| 20050315 | AGR | Hide Z Notation in the Normative version of the spec.  |
| 20050314 | AGR | Removed section on RPC Style so it can be included in Adjuncts.  |
| 20050310 | AGR | Fixed minor Binding Operation errors introduced by addition of Binding Message Reference.  |
| 20050310 | JJM | Replaced schema visibility table with Asir's revised version.  |
| 20050309 | AGR | Fixed minor Z typechecking errors introduced by addition of Binding Message Reference. Kudos to RRC for updating the Z Notation!   |
| 20050301 | RRC | LC55: added Binding Fault Reference [p.63] component and updated the definition of the Binding Message Reference [p.60] component to be in sync with it, per issue resolution.   |
| 20050301 | RRC | LC51: added Fault Reference component to the feature composition section; added mapping of {type definitions} property of the Description [p.14] component from the XML representation.  |
| 20050301 | RRC | LC48a, LC49: implemented resolutions.  |
| 20050228 | JJM | X and Y: Added note clarifying extensibility semantics.  |
| 20050228 | JJM | X: Added note clarifying extensibility semantics.  |
| 20050228 | JJM | X: Added text on the meaning of a service description.   |
| 20050218 | RRC | Replaced "provider agent" with "Web service" and "requester agent" with "client" (resolution of LC30).   |
| 20050218 | RRC | Moved section on the operation name mapping requirement to section 2.13 (resolution of LC8).   |
| 20050218 | RRC | Implemented resolution of LC5h.  |
| 20050220 | AGR | Refactored Feature and Property Z Notation in preparation for formalization of composition model.  |
| 20050220 | AGR | LC27: Partial Resolution from 2005-01-19: value sets intersect. Resolve Property Composition Edge Cases by requiring the conjunction of all constraints to apply. The composed value of a Property is intersection of the value set of each in-scope Property. |

| 20050220 | AGR | LC20: Partial Resolution from 2005-01-19: "true" trumps. Resolve Feature Composition Edge Cases by requiring the conjunction of all constraints to apply. The composed value of a Feature is "true" if and only if at least one in-scope value of the Feature is "true". |
|----------|-----|--|
| 20050220 | AGR | LC75i: At least one of the [children] of an Operation MUST be an "input" or "output". Agree to remove "infault" and "outfault" from the list since it does not make sense to have an Operation with only faults.   |
| 20050220 | AGR | Completed Action Item - 2005-02-10: DBooth to mail Arthur change to wording on media type registration, Arthur to incorporate.   |
| 20050217 | JJM | LC75s: Add table indicating the visibility of schema components.   |
| 20050217 | JJM | LC52a: Indicate included components also belong to the same target namespace, as per Jacek original suggestion.  |
| 20050216 | JJM | LC60: Indicate it is OK to embed 2 schemas from the same targetNS.   |
| 20050216 | JJM | LC75t: Remove the restriction that wsdl:include cannot be transitive.  |
| 20050216 | JJM | LC91: Fixed wording regarding importing schema and effect on WSDL components.  |
| 20050211 | AGR | email: Added an informative reference to WS-Addressing and referred to it from the Operation Name Mapping Requirement.   |
| 20050210 | AGR | email: Corrected WSDL Media Type Registration as per David Booth's email.  |
| 20050209 | AGR | Editorial: Combine {name} NCName and {target namespace} URI properties into a single {name} QName property.  |
| 20050121 | AGR | LC751 LC103: Make {message label} property of Binding Message Reference [p.60] component REQUIRED and fix up XML mapping table. />.  |
| 20050121 | AGR | LC75 LC89b LC89c: Drop support for XML 1.1, drop wsdls types, and use XSD 1.0 types. />.   |
| 20050120 | AGR | LC73 LC75n: Added "single_interface_per_service".  |
| 20050119 | AGR | Editorial improvements to Z Notation. Added referential integrity constraints.   |
| 20050118 | AGR | Edited Notational Conventions and References sections. Added character entity references for accented characters.  |
| 20050117 | AGR | Edited table markup to simplify PDF generation.  |
| 20041231 | AGR | Added reference to non-normative IE version of the specification.  |
| 20041227 | AGR | Added reference to non-normative DHTML version of the specification.   |
| 20041218 | AGR | LC34a: Refer to "Appendix C - URI References for WSDL Components" whenever a component cannot be referred to by QName .  |

| 20041126 | AGR | LC43: Rename <definitions> to <description>.</description></definitions>   |
|----------|-----|--|
| 20041102 | НН  | LC38: Using real URI for DTD import  |
| 20041024 | AGR | Added initial Z Notation for component model.  |
| 20040930 | AGR | LC6d: Revised Appendix C, URI References.  |
| 20040929 | AGR | LC34b, LC34c, LC34d: Revised Appendix C, URI References.   |
| 20040802 | RRC | Removed paragraph added per resolution of issue 211 (undone per action item 5 of the 2004-07-29 concall).                                  |
| 20040802 | RRC | Added clarification on the meaning of required language extensions.  |
| 20040802 | RRC | Added operation name requirement to the Interface [p.19] component section.  |
| 20040802 | RRC | Added introductory text for the Property Component (per action item 2 of the 2004-07-29 concall).  |
| 20040727 | RRC | Made the Property [p.45] component independent of XML Schema (issue 248).  |
| 20040727 | SW  | Issue 243 text   |
| 20040727 | SW  | Incorporated Paul's words for issue 235  |
| 20040727 | SW  | Added MarkN's text for issue 211   |
| 20040727 | SW  | Added note to processor conf rules for optional extensions and features about what optional means.   |
| 20040727 | SW  | Removed contentious area ed note thing per decision to do those via minority opinions.   |
| 20040722 | НН  | Defined wsdls:int for http:code.   |
| 20040721 | RRC | Made almost all set-valued properties optional and added a rule to default them to the empty set, per agenda item 7 of 2004-07-15 concall. |
| 20040715 | RRC | Marked the {message label} property of the Message Reference and Fault Reference components as required.                                   |
| 20040715 | RRC | Made the {style} property into a set of xs:anyURI.   |
| 20040714 | RRC | Added definition of simple types used by the component model (issue 177).  |
| 20040713 | RRC | Added clarification to interface extensions per issue 220.   |
| 20040713 | RRC | Added clarification to Binding Operation section (issue 227).  |
| 20040713 | RRC | Fixed references to Interface Fault [p.23] components in the Fault Reference component section.  |
| 20040713 | RRC | Added description of pseudo-schema syntax.   |
|          | _   |  |

| 20040714 | SW  | Made f&p allowed in the remaining places and updated composition rules                           |
|----------|-----|--|
| 20040713 | SW  | Added negative conformance criteria: not required to process XML1.1 etc.                         |
| 20040713 | SW  | Corrected reference to frag ID syntax to for issue 209   |
| 20040713 | SW  | Implemented Jonathan's proposal for issue 160.   |
| 20040713 | SW  | Put ednote in contentious areas asking for extra feedback.                                       |
| 20040712 | RRC | Marked all component model properties as REQUIRED or OPTIONAL (issue 213).                       |
| 20040712 | RRC | Added definition for equivalence of list-typed values.   |
| 20040712 | RRC | Clarified RPC style rules for one-way operations (issue 215).                                    |
| 20040708 | JJM | Finished adding clarifications for non-XML type system extensibility.                            |
| 20040708 | JJM | Include the definition of "actual value" from XML Schema (Issue 219).                            |
| 20040708 | JJM | Added resolution to issue 218 (2004Jun/0276.html, including Mark's amendment).                   |
| 20040708 | JJM | Component equivalence (2004Jun/0195.html, 2004Jun/0199.html and ref to the charmod [Issue 210]). |
| 20040706 | RRC | Added clarifications for non-XML type system extensibility.                                      |
| 20040706 | RRC | Expanded component model definition.   |
| 20040706 | RRC | Added clarification to section 2.1.1 per resolution of issue 222.                                |
| 20040706 | RRC | Made it possible to use rpc style with schema languages other than XML Schema.                   |
| 20040702 | SW  | Made operation/@style be a list of URIs.   |
| 20040702 | SW  | Had forgotten to map to the {type} property of binding.  |
| 20040625 | SW  | Allowed F&P *nearly* everywhere. Sigh.   |
| 20040618 | SW  | Changed F&P composition model to nearest enclosing scope.  |
| 20040618 | SW  | Incorporated Jacek's purpose of bindings text as appropriate.                                    |
| 20040526 | SW  | Added @address to /definitions/service/endpoint per F2F decision                                 |
| 20040526 | SW  | Added @type to /definitions/binding per F2F decision   |
| 20040519 | SW  | Renamed wsoap12: to wsoap:.  |
| 20040323 | JJM | Commented out the (missing) property example.  |
| 20040322 | RRC | Added definition of wsdli:wsdlLocation attribute.  |
| 20040322 | JJM | Added faults to properties and features.   |

| 20040319 | JJM | Use lowercase "should" in notes.  |
|----------|-----|---|
| 20040319 | JJM | Comment out features at service level. Uniformize scope between features and properties.                    |
| 20040318 | JJM | Moved normative notes into the main body of the document.   |
| 20040318 | JJM | Incorporated the property text from Glen.   |
| 20040318 | JJM | Addressed comments from Yuxiao Zhao.  |
| 20040318 | JJM | Updated the feature description, as per Glen and David Booth's suggestions.                                 |
| 20040317 | RRC | Removed redundant {styleDefault} property of the interface component.                                       |
| 20040317 | JJM | Include comments from Kevin.  |
| 20040315 | RRC | Added clarification on embedded XML schemas that refer to siblings.   |
| 20040315 | RRC | Updated RPC signature extension to use #in/#out/#inout/#return tokens.                                      |
| 20040315 | RRC | Added explanatory text to types and modularization sections per resolution of issue #102.                   |
| 20040315 | SW  | Change binding/{fault,operation}/@name to @ref  |
| 20040312 | RRC | Fixed appendix D to take the removal of wsdl:message into account.  |
| 20040312 | RRC | Added definition of wrpc:signature extension attribute.   |
| 20040311 | SW  | Change fault stuff per decision to make faults first class in interfaces.                                   |
| 20040308 | SW  | Renamed {message} property to {element} and @message to @element  |
| 20040305 | SW  | Added {safety} property   |
| 20040227 | MJG | Merged in branch Issue143 containing resolution of issue 143  |
| 20040227 | SW  | Dropped {type definitions} property from definitions; leftover from <message> days.</message>               |
| 20040226 | SW  | Working thru various edtodo items.  |
| 20040106 | JS  | Per 18 Dec 2003 telecon decision, added text re: circular includes.   |
| 20031204 | JS  | Per 4 Dec 2003 telecon decision, removed redundant binding/operation/{infault, outfault}/@messageReference. |
| 20031105 | JS  | Added point to attributes task force recommendation accepted by the working group.                          |

| 20031104 | JS  | Mapping to component model for {message} of Fault Reference component indicated that message attribute information item was optional, but the pseudo syntax and XML representation indicated it was required. Made uniformly optional to allow other type systems as was previously done for {message} of Message Reference component. |  |
|----------|-----|--|--|
| 20031104 | JS  | Renamed interface /operation /{input,output} /@body to ./@message and interface /operation /{infault,outfault} /@details to ./@message per 4 Nov face-to-face decision.  |  |
| 20031104 | JS  | Made interface /operation /{input,output,infault,outfault} /@messageReference optional per 4 Nov face-to-face decision.  |  |
| 20031104 | JS  | Removed interface/operation/{input,output}/@header per 4 Nov face-to-face decision.  |  |
| 20031102 | SW  | Updated fault reference components to indicate that if operation's MEP uses MTF then the fault is in the opposite direction as the referenced message and if it use FRM then its in the same direction. Per 10/30 telecon decision.  |  |
| 20031102 | SW  | Updated operation styles terminology per message #57 of Oct. and the RPC style rules per message #58 of Oct. per decision on 10/30 telecon to consider those status quo.   |  |
| 20031102 | SW  | Clarified wording in operation styles discussion to better explain the use of the {style} attribute.   |  |
| 20031102 | SW  | Clarified wording in XML <-> component model mapping section for message reference components to say that {body} and {headers} may not have a value.   |  |
| 20031102 | SW  | Made interface/operation/(input output)/@messageReference REQUIRED per 10/30 telecon decision.   |  |
| 20031028 | SW  | Renamed to wsdl20.xml and updated contents.  |  |
| 20031028 | SW  | Updated bindings.  |  |
| 20031025 | SW  | Updated faults.  |  |
| 20031013 | JJM | Moved appendix C to a separate document, as per 24 Sep 2003 meeting in Palo Alto, CA.  |  |
| 20031003 | SW  | Softened <documentation> wording to allow machine processable documentation.</documentation>   |  |
| 20031002 | SW  | Changed binding/operation/@name to QName per edtodo.   |  |
| 20030930 | SW  | Added placeholders for set-attr/get-attr operation styles.   |  |
| 20030929 | SW  | Inserted Glen Daniels' feature text.   |  |
| 20030919 | RRC | Removed import facility for chameleon schemas and added a description of a workaround.   |  |

| 20030918 | JJM | Changed message pattern to message exchange pattern, as per WG resolution on 18 Sep. 2003  |  |
|----------|-----|--|--|
| 20030916 | RRC | Added editorial note for the missing RPC encoding style.   |  |
| 20030915 | RRC | Yet more updates for REQUIRED, OPTIONAL; updated section 3 to reflect the removal of "wsdl:message".   |  |
| 20030911 | RRC | More updates for REQUIRED, OPTIONAL; removed diff markup; fixed example C.4.   |  |
| 20030911 | RRC | Renamed message reference "name" attribute and property to "messageReference"; fixed incorrect reference to "fault" element in the binding operation section.  |  |
| 20030910 | SW  | Fixed message references and added proper use of REQUIRED etc. for the part I've gone through so far.  |  |
| 20030910 | SW  | Updating spec; fixed up interface operation component more.  |  |
| 20030808 | JCS | Fixed errors found by IBM\Arthur.  |  |
| 20030804 | JCS | Removed Message component per 30 July-1 Aug meeting.   |  |
| 20030803 | JCS | Replaced substitution groups with xs:any namespace='##other' per 3 July, 17 July, and 24 July telecons.  |  |
| 20030801 | JCS | Made binding/@interface optional per 31 July meeting.  |  |
| 20030724 | JCS | Remove @targetResource per 17 July 2003 telecon.   |  |
| 20030612 | JJM | Incorporate revised targetResource definition, as per 12 June 2003 telcon.   |  |
| 20030606 | JJM | Refer to the two graphics by ID. Indicate pseudo-schemas are not normative.  |  |
| 20030604 | JJM | Fixed figures so they don't appear as tables. Fixed markup so it validates.  |  |
| 20030603 | JCS | Plugged in jmarsh auto-generated schema outlines   |  |
| 20030529 | MJG | Fixed various issues with the XmlRep portions of the spec  |  |
| 20030527 | MJG | Added text to <b>2.2.1 The Interface Component</b> [p.19] and <b>2.2.3 Mapping</b> Interface's XML Representation to Component Properties [p.21] indicating that recursive interface extension is not allowed. |  |
| 20030523 | JJM | Added pseudo-syntax to all but Type and Modularizing sections.   |  |
| 20030523 | JJM | Added the "interface" and "targetResource" attribute on <service>.</service>   |  |
| 20030523 | JJM | Fixed miscellaneous typos (semi-colon instead of colon, space after parenthesis, etc.).  |  |
| 20030523 | JJM | Rewrote the service-resource text and merge it with the introduction.  |  |
| 20030522 | JCS | s/set of parts/list of parts/.   |  |

| 20030514 | JJM | Updated the service-resource figure, and split the diagram into two.  |  |
|----------|-----|---|--|
| 20030512 | JJM | Added service-resource drawing and description.   |  |
| 20030512 | JJM | Added syntax summary for the Interface [p.19] component.  |  |
| 20030428 | MJG | Various edits to <b>3. Types</b> [p.74], other-schemalang to accommodate other type systems and spell out how extensibility elements/attributes play out in such scenarios.                                 |  |
| 20030428 | MJG | Added text to <b>1.4 Notational Conventions</b> [p.9] regarding normative nature of schema and validity of WSDL documents   |  |
| 20030411 | JJM | Allowed features and properties at the interface, interface operation, binding and binding operation levels, as agreed at the Boston f2f http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/www-ws-desc/2003Mar/0019.html. |  |
| 20030411 | JJM | Incorporate features and properties' text from separate document and merged change logs   |  |
| 20030313 | MJG | Changed title to include 'part 1'   |  |
| 20030313 | MJG | Changed port to endpoint  |  |
| 20030313 | MJG | Changed type to interface in binding  |  |
| 20030313 | MJG | Changed mep to pattern and message exchange pattern to message pattern  |  |
| 20030313 | MJG | Added text to 'mig_porttypes'   |  |
| 20030313 | MJG | Changed portType to interface   |  |
| 20030407 | JJM | Refined and corrected the definitions for features and properties.  |  |
| 20030304 | JJM | Filled in blank description of Feature and Property [p.45] component.   |  |
| 20030303 | MJG | Skeleton Feature and Property [p.45] components   |  |
| 20030305 | MJG | Merged ComponentModelForMEPs branch (1.46.2.5) into main branch (1.54). Below is change log from the branch:  |  |
| 20030220 | MJG | ComponentModelForMEPs: Minor wording change at suggestion of JJM  |  |
| 20030212 | MJG | ComponentModelForMEPs: Updated component model to include Fault Reference component. Associated changes to Port Type Operation component  |  |
| 20030211 | MJG | ComponentModelForMEPs: Changes to component model to support MEPs   |  |
| 20030228 | MJG | Updated <b>4.2 Importing Descriptions</b> [p.83] to be consistent in layout with other XML rep sections. Detailed that documentation and extensibility attributes are allowed, per schema                   |  |

| 20030228 | MJG | Updated <b>4.1 Including Descriptions</b> [p.81] to be consistent in layout with other XML rep sections. Detailed that documentation and extensibility attributes are allowed, per schema   |  |
|----------|-----|---|--|
| 20030228 | MJG | Updated <b>2.9.2 XML Representation of Binding Component</b> [p.51] to list type attribute  |  |
| 20030217 | MJG | Minor edits to wording in <b>2.4.1 The Interface Operation Component</b> [p.26]   |  |
| 20030213 | MJG | Added xlink nsdecl to spec element  |  |
| 20030213 | MJG | Incorporated text from dbooth's proposal on semantics, per decision 20021031  |  |
| 20030213 | MJG | Merged operationnames branch (1.37.2.3) into main branch (1.46). Below is the change log from the branch.   |  |
| 20030130 | MJG | operationnames: Updated binding section to match changes to port type section WRT operation names   |  |
| 20030130 | MJG | operationnames: Added best practice note on operation names and target namespaces to <b>2.4.1 The Interface Operation Component</b> [p.26]  |  |
| 20030122 | MJG | operationnames: Started work on making operations have unique names   |  |
| 20030213 | MJG | Change name of {message exchange pattern} back to {variety} to consolidate changes due to MEP proposal  |  |
| 20030206 | MJG | Updated Appendix A to refer to Appendix C   |  |
| 20030204 | MJG | Tidied up appendix C  |  |
| 20030203 | MJG | Incorporated resolution to R120   |  |
| 20030124 | MJG | Fixed error in <b>2.5.2 XML Representation of Interface Message Reference Component</b> [p.33] which had name <i>attribute information item</i> on input, output and fault <i>element information item</i> being mandatory. Made it optional. |  |
| 20030123 | JJM | Change name of {variety} property to {message exchange pattern}   |  |
| 20030130 | MJG | Updated binding section to match changes to port type section WRT operation names   |  |
| 20030130 | MJG | Added best practice note on operation names and target namespaces to <b>2.4.1 The Interface Operation Component</b> [p.26]  |  |
| 20030122 | MJG | Started work on making operations have unique names   |  |
| 20030122 | MJG | Added some <emph>, <el>, <att>, &amp;AII, &amp;EII, <el> markup</el></att></el></emph>  |  |
| 20030120 | MJG | Incorporated Relax NG section from Amy's types proposal   |  |
| 20030120 | MJG | Incorporated DTD section from Amy's types proposal  |  |

| 2003020  | MJG | Incorporated Amy's types proposal except annexes   |  |
|----------|-----|--|--|
| 20030118 | MJG | Made some changes related to extensibility   |  |
| 20030118 | MJG | Amended content model for operation to disallow fault element children in the input-only and output-only cases   |  |
| 20030118 | MJG | Removed {extension} properties from Binding [p.51] components and Port components. Added text relating to how extension elements are expected to annotate the component model. |  |
| 20030117 | MJG | Made further edits related to extensibility model now using substitution groups  |  |
| 20030117 | MJG | Added initial draft of section on QName resolution   |  |
| 20030117 | MJG | Reworked section on extensibility  |  |
| 20030116 | MJG | Added text regarding multiple operations with the same {name} in a single port type  |  |
| 20030116 | MJG | Added section on symbol spaces   |  |
| 20030116 | MJG | Removed various ednotes  |  |
| 20030116 | MJG | Added section on component equivalence   |  |
| 20030116 | MJG | More work on include and import  |  |
| 20021201 | MJG | Did some work on wsdl:include  |  |
| 20021127 | MJG | Added placeholder for wsdl:include   |  |
| 20021127 | MJG | Cleaned up language concerning targetNamespace attribute information item  2.1.2.1 targetNamespace attribute information item [p.17]   |  |
| 20021127 | MJG | changed the language regarding extensibility elements in <b>2.1.2 XML Representation of Description Component</b> [p.15] .   |  |
| 20021127 | MJG | Moved all issues into issues document (/issues/wsd-issues.xml )  |  |
| 20021127 | MJG | Removed name attribute from definitions element  |  |
| 20021127 | MJG | Removed 'pseudo-schema'  |  |
| 20021121 | JJM | Updated media type draft appendix ednote to match minutes.   |  |
| 20021111 | SW  | Added appendix to record migration issues.   |  |
| 20021107 | JJM | Incorporated and started adapting SOAP's media type draft appendix.  |  |
| 20021010 | MJG | Added port type extensions, removed service type.  |  |
| 20020910 | MJG | Removed parameterOrder from spec, as decided at September 2002 FTF   |  |
|          |     |  |  |

| 20020908 | MJG | Updated parameterOrder description, fixed some spelling errors and other types.  Added ednote to discussion of message parts |
|----------|-----|--|
| 20020715 | MJG | AM Rewrite   |
| 20020627 | JJM | Changed a few remaining <emph> to either <att> or <el>, depending on context.</el></att></emph>                              |
| 20020627 | SW  | Converted portType stuff to be Infoset based and improved doc structure more.  |
| 20020627 | SW  | Converted message stuff to be Infoset based and improved doc structure more.   |
| 20020625 | SW  | Mods to take into account JJM comments.  |
| 20020624 | JJM | Fixed spec so markup validates.  |
| 20020624 | JJM | Upgraded the stylesheet and DTD  |
| 20020624 | JJM | Added sections for references and change log.  |
| 20020624 | JJM | Removed Jeffrey from authors :-( Added Gudge :-)   |
| 20020620 | SW  | Started adding abstract model  |
| 20020406 | SW  | Created document from WSDL 1.1   |

This appendix summarizes assertions about WSDL 2.0 documents and components that are not enforced by the WSDL 2.0 schema. Each assertion is assigned a unique identifier which WSDL 2.0 processors may use to report errors.

Table F-1. Summary of Assertions about WSDL 2.0 Documents

| Id                         | Assertion   |
|----------------------------|---|
| Description-0025 [p.17]    | Its value MUST be an absolute IRI (see [IETF RFC 3987 [p.93]]) and should be dereferenceable.   |
| Description-1201000 [p.15] | WSDL 2.0 definitions are represented in XML by one or more WSDL 2.0 Information Sets (Infosets), that is one or more description <i>element information items</i> .   |
| Description-1201004 [p.15] | If a WSDL 2.0 document is split into multiple WSDL 2.0 documents (which may be combined as needed via <b>4.1</b> Including Descriptions [p.81]), then the targetNamespace attribute information item SHOULD resolve to a master WSDL 2.0 document that includes all the WSDL 2.0 documents needed for that service description. |
| Description-1201005 [p.16] | Zero or more <i>element information items</i> amongst its [children], in order as follows:  |

| Import-0001 [p.15]     | However, any WSDL 2.0 document that contains component definitions that refer by QName to WSDL 2.0 components that belong to a different namespace MUST contain a wsdl:import element information item for that namespace (see 4.2 Importing Descriptions [p.83]).   |
|------------------------|--|
| Import-0003 [p.15]     | Imported components have different target namespace values from the WSDL 2.0 document that is importing them.  |
| Import-0070 [p.83]     | As with XML schema, any WSDL 2.0 document that references a foreign component MUST have a wsdl:import element information item for the associated foreign namespace (but which does not necessarily provide a location attribute information item that identifies the WSDL 2.0 document in which the referenced component is defined). |
| Import-0071 [p.85]     | This value MUST NOT match the actual value of targetNamespace attribute information item in the enclosing WSDL 2.0 document.   |
| Import-0072 [p.85]     | If the location attribute in the import element information item is dereferencible then it MUST reference a WSDL 2.0 document and the actual value of the namespace attribute information item MUST be identical to the actual value of the targetNamespace attribute information item of the referenced WSDL 2.0 document.            |
| Import-1400001 [p.84]  | If a WSDL 2.0 document contains more than one wsdl:import element information item for a given value of the namespace attribute information item then they MUST provide different values for the location attribute information item.  |
| Include-0069 [p.83]    | The actual value of the targetNamespace attribute information item of the included WSDL 2.0 document MUST match the actual value of the targetNamespace attribute information item of the description element information item which is the [parent] of the include element information item.  |
| Include-1400000 [p.83] | The IRI indicated by location MUST resolve to a WSDL 2.0 document.   |
| Interface-0031 [p.21]  | Its value, if present, MUST contain absolute IRIs (see [IETF RFC 3987 [p.93]]).  |

| InterfaceFault-1203000 [p.26]            | It is an error for the element <i>attribute information item</i> to have a value and for it to not resolve to an Element Declaration [p.14] component from the {element declarations [p.14] } property of the Description [p.14] component.   |
|--|---|
| InterfaceFaultReference-1206000 [p.39]   | The messageLabel attribute information item MUST be present in the XML representation of an Interface Fault Reference [p.37] component with a given {direction [p.37] } if the {message exchange pattern [p.27] } of the parent Interface Operation [p.27] component has more than one fault with that direction. |
| InterfaceMessageReference-1205002 [p.35] | The type of the element <i>attribute information item</i> is a union of <i>xs:QName</i> and <i>xs:token</i> where the allowed token values are #any, #none, or #other.  |
| InterfaceMessageReference-1205003 [p.36] | It is an error for the element <i>attribute information item</i> to have a value and for it to NOT resolve to an Element Declaration [p.14] from the {element declarations [p.14] } property of the Description [p.14].   |
| InterfaceOperation-1204002 [p.31]        | Its value MUST be an absolute IRI (see [IETF RFC 3987 [p.93]]).   |
| InterfaceOperation-1204003 [p.31]        | Its value MUST be an absolute IRI (see [IETF RFC 3987 [p.93]]).   |
| Location-0076 [p.89]                     | It MUST NOT appear on a wsdl:description element or any of its children/descendants.  |
| Location-1700000 [p.89]                  | For each pair of IRIs, if the location IRI of the pair is dereferencible then it MUST reference a WSDL 2.0 (or 1.1) document whose target namespace is the namespace IRI of the pair.   |
| MessageLabel-0004 [p.35]                 | The messageLabel attribute information item of an interface message reference element information item MUST be present if the message exchange pattern has more than one placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction.   |
| MessageLabel-0005 [p.40]                 | The messageLabel attribute information item of an interface fault reference element information item MUST be present if the message exchange pattern has more than one placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction.   |
| MessageLabel-0006 [p.62]                 | The messageLabel attribute information item of a binding message reference element information item MUST be present if the message exchange pattern has more than one placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction.  |

| MessageLabel-0007 [p.65] | The messageLabel attribute information item of a binding fault reference element information item MUST be present if the message exchange pattern has more than one placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction.               |
|--------------------------|--|
| MessageLabel-0008 [p.35] | If the messageLabel attribute information item of an interface message reference element information item is present then its actual value MUST match the {message label} of some placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction. |
| MessageLabel-0009 [p.40] | If the messageLabel attribute information item of an interface fault reference element information item is present then its actual value MUST match the {message label} of some placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction.   |
| MessageLabel-0010 [p.62] | If the messageLabel attribute information item of a binding message reference element information item is present then its actual value MUST match the {message label} of some placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction.    |
| MessageLabel-0011 [p.65] | If the messageLabel attribute information item of a binding fault reference element information item is present then its actual value MUST match the {message label} of some placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction.      |
| MessageLabel-0012 [p.35] | If the messageLabel attribute information item of an interface message reference element information item is absent then there MUST be a unique placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction.                                   |
| MessageLabel-0013 [p.40] | If the messageLabel attribute information item of an interface fault reference element information item is absent then there MUST be a unique placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction.                                     |
| MessageLabel-0014 [p.62] | If the messageLabel attribute information item of a binding message reference element information item is absent then there MUST be a unique placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction.                                      |
| MessageLabel-0015 [p.65] | If the messageLabel attribute information item of a binding fault reference element information item is absent then there MUST be a unique placeholder message with {direction} equal to the message direction.  |

| Schema-0016 [p.75]   | A WSDL 2.0 document MUST NOT refer to XML Schema components in a given namespace unless an xs:import or xs:schema <i>element information item</i> for that namespace is present or the namespace is the XML Schema namespace which contains built-in types as defined in XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes Second Edition [XML Schema: Datatypes [p.93]].                  |
|----------------------|---|
| Schema-0017 [p.77]   | The referenced schema MUST contain a targetNamespace attribute information item on its xs:schema element information item.  |
| Schema-0018 [p.78]   | A WSDL 2.0 document MUST NOT define the same element or type in more than one inlined schema.   |
| Schema-0019 [p.78]   | The xs:schema element information item MUST contain a targetNamespace attribute information item.   |
| Schema-0020 [p.79]   | An element <i>attribute information item</i> MUST NOT refer to a global xs:simpleType or xs:complexType definition.   |
| Schema-0021 [p.80]   | A specification of extension syntax for an alternative schema language MUST use a namespace that is different than the namespace of XML Schema.   |
| Schema-0022 [p.81]   | If wsdlx:interface and wsdlx:binding are used together then they MUST satisfy the same consistency rules that apply to the {interface [p.66] } property of a Service [p.66] component and the {binding [p.69] } property of a nested Endpoint [p.69] component, that is either the binding refers the interface of the service or the binding refers to no interface. |
| Schema-0052 [p.77]   | The value of the targetNamespace attribute information item of the xs:schema element information item of an imported schema MUST equal the value of the namespace of the import element information item in the importing WSDL 2.0 document.  |
| Schema-0053 [p.80]   | The namespace used for an alternate schema language MUST be an absolute IRI.  |
| Types-0023 [p.79]    | A specification of extension syntax for an alternative schema language MUST include the declaration of an <i>element information item</i> , intended to appear as a child of the wsdl:types <i>element information item</i> , which references, names, and locates the schema instance (an "import" <i>element information item</i> ).                                |
| Types-1300000 [p.77] | It is an error if a QName is not resolved (see <b>2.19 QName resolution</b> [p.73] ).   |

| Types-1300001 [p.77] | When resolving QNames references for schema definitions, the namespace MUST be imported by the referring WSDL 2.0 document.  |
|----------------------|--|
| Types-1300002 [p.78] | Specifically components that the schema imports via xs:import are NOT referenceable.   |
| Types-1300003 [p.78] | Similarly, components defined in an inlined XML schema are NOT automatically referenceable within WSDL 2.0 document that imported (using wsdl:import) the WSDL 2.0 document that inlines the schema (see <b>4.2 Importing Descriptions</b> [p.83] for more details). |
| Types-1300004 [p.79] | A constraint <i>attribute information item</i> MUST NOT refer to a global xs:element definition.   |
| Types-1300005 [p.80] | The type of the wsdlx:interface attribute information item is an xs:QName that specifies the {name [p.19] } property of an Interface [p.19] component.   |
| Types-1300006 [p.81] | The type of the wsdlx:binding attribute information item is an xs:QName that specifies the {name [p.51] } property of a Binding [p.51] component.  |

Table F-2. Summary of Assertions about WSDL 2.0 Components

| Id                       | Assertion  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Binding-0054 [p.50]      | If a Binding [p.51] component specifies any operation-specific binding details (by including Binding Operation [p.57] components) or any fault binding details (by including Binding Fault [p.54] components) then it MUST specify an interface the Binding [p.51] component applies to, so as to indicate which interface the operations come from. |
| Binding-0055 [p.51]      | A Binding [p.51] component that defines bindings for an Interface [p.19] component MUST define bindings for all the operations of that Interface [p.19] component.   |
| Binding-0056 [p.51]      | This xs:anyURI MUST be an absolute IRI as defined by [IETF RFC 3987 [p.93]].   |
| Binding-0057 [p.51]      | For each Binding [p.51] component in the {bindings [p.14] } property of a Description [p.14] component, the {name [p.51] } property MUST be unique.  |
| BindingFault-0058 [p.55] | For each Binding Fault [p.54] component in the {binding faults [p.51] } property of a Binding [p.51] component, the {interface fault [p.55] } property MUST be unique.   |

| BindingFaultReference-0061 [p.63]   | For each Binding Fault Reference [p.63] component in the {binding fault references [p.57] } property of a Binding Operation [p.57] component, the {interface fault reference [p.63] } property MUST be unique.   |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| BindingFaultReference-0062 [p.66]   | There MUST be an Interface Fault Reference [p.37] component in the {interface fault references [p.27] } of the Interface Operation [p.27] being bound with {message label [p.37] } equal to the effective message label and with {interface fault [p.37] } equal to an Interface Fault [p.23] component with {name [p.23] } equal to the actual value of the ref attribute information item. |
| BindingMessageReference-0060 [p.60] | For each Binding Message Reference [p.60] component in the {binding message references [p.57] } property of a Binding Operation [p.57] component, the {interface message reference [p.60] } property MUST be unique.   |
| BindingOperation-0059 [p.57]        | For each Binding Operation [p.57] component in the {binding operations [p.51] } property of a Binding [p.51] component, the {interface operation [p.57] } property MUST be unique.   |
| Compare-URI-IRI-0068 [p.74]         | When such absolute URIs and IRIs are being compared to determine equivalence (see <b>2.17 Equivalence of Components</b> [p.72] ) they MUST be compared character-by-character as indicated in [ <i>IETF RFC 3987</i> [p.93]].  |
| Description-0024 [p.16]             | Each WSDL 2.0 or type system component of the same kind MUST be uniquely identified by its qualified name.   |
| Description-1201001 [p.15]          | The value of the targetNamespace attribute information item SHOULD be dereferenceable.   |
| Description-1201002 [p.15]          | It SHOULD resolve to a human or machine processable document that directly or indirectly defines the intended semantics of those components.   |
| Description-1201003 [p.15]          | It MAY resolve to a WSDL 2.0 document that provides service description information for that namespace.  |
| Endpoint-0064 [p.69]                | This xs:anyURI MUST be an absolute IRI as defined by [IETF RFC 3987 [p.93]].   |
| Endpoint-0065 [p.69]                | For each Endpoint [p.69] component in the {endpoints [p.66] } property of a Service [p.66] component, the {name [p.69] } property MUST be unique.  |

| Endpoint-0066 [p.69]      | For each Endpoint [p.69] component in the {endpoints [p.66] } property of a Service [p.66] component, the {binding [p.69] } property MUST either be a Binding [p.51] component with an unspecified {interface [p.51] } property or a Binding [p.51] component with an {interface [p.51] } property equal to the {interface [p.66] } property of the Service [p.66] component.   |
|---------------------------|---|
| Equivalence-0067 [p.73]   | Extension properties which are not string values, sets of strings or references MUST describe their values' equivalence rules.  |
| Extensibility-0073 [p.87] | An extension that is NOT marked as mandatory MUST NOT invalidate the meaning of any part of the WSDL 2.0 document.  |
| Extensibility-0074 [p.87] | If a WSDL 2.0 document declares an extension, Feature or Property as optional (i.e., NON-mandatory), then the Web service MUST NOT assume that the client supports that extension, Feature or Property, <i>unless</i> the Web service knows (through some other means) that the client has in fact elected to engage and support that extension, Feature or Property.   |
| Extensibility-0075 [p.87] | Therefore, the Web service MUST support every extension, Feature or Property that is declared as optional in the WSDL 2.0 document, in addition to supporting every extension, Feature or Property that is declared as mandatory.   |
| Extension-1600000 [p.86]  | The meaning of an extension SHOULD be defined (directly or indirectly) in a document that is available at its namespace IRI.  |
| FeatureRef-0046 [p.41]    | This xs:anyURI MUST be an absolute IRI as defined by [IETF RFC 3987 [p.93]].  |
| FeatureRef-0047 [p.41]    | The {ref [p.41] } property of a Feature [p.41] component MUST be unique within the {features [p.41] } property of an Interface [p.19] , Interface Fault [p.23] , Interface Operation [p.27] , Interface Message Reference [p.33] , Interface Fault Reference [p.37] , Binding [p.51] , Binding Fault [p.54] , Binding Operation [p.57] , Binding Message Reference [p.60] , Binding Fault Reference [p.63] , Service [p.66] , or Endpoint [p.69] component. |
| FragId-1900000 [p.97]     | For QNames, any prefix MUST be defined by a preceding xmlns pointer part.   |
| FragId-1900001 [p.97]     | The fragment identifier in a WSDL 2.0 component IRI-reference MUST resolve to some component as defined by the construction rules in Table A-1 [p.97] .   |

| Interface-0027 [p.19]                 | To avoid circular definitions, an interface MUST NOT appear as an element of the set of interfaces it extends, either directly or indirectly.   |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Interface-0030 [p.19]                 | For each Interface [p.19] component in the {interfaces [p.14] } property of a Description [p.14] component, the {name [p.19] } property MUST be unique.   |
| InterfaceFault-0028 [p.19]            | The namespace name of the {name [p.23] } property of each Interface Fault [p.23] in this set MUST be the same as the namespace name of the {name [p.19] } property of this Interface [p.19] component.  |
| InterfaceFault-0032 [p.23]            | For each Interface Fault [p.23] component in the {interface faults [p.19] } property of an Interface [p.19] component, the {name [p.23] } property must be unique.  |
| InterfaceFault-0033 [p.23]            | In cases where, due to an interface extending one or more other interfaces, two or more Interface Fault [p.23] components have the same value for their {name [p.23] } property, then the component models of those Interface Fault [p.23] components MUST be equivalent (see <b>2.17 Equivalence of Components</b> [p.72] ). |
| InterfaceFaultReference-0043 [p.37]   | The value of this property MUST match the name of a placeholder message defined by the message exchange pattern.  |
| InterfaceFaultReference-0044 [p.37]   | The direction MUST be consistent with the direction implied by the fault propagation ruleset used in the message exchange pattern of the operation.   |
| InterfaceFaultReference-0045 [p.37]   | For each Interface Fault Reference [p.37] component in the {interface fault references [p.27] } property of an Interface Operation [p.27] component, the combination of its {interface fault [p.37] } and {message label [p.37] } properties MUST be unique.  |
| InterfaceMessageReference-0040 [p.33] | The direction MUST be the same as the direction of the message identified by the {message label [p.33] } property in the {message exchange pattern [p.27] } of the Interface Operation [p.27] component this is contained within.   |
| InterfaceMessageReference-0041 [p.33] | When the {message content model [p.33] } property has the value #any or #none the {element declaration [p.33] } property MUST be empty.   |

| InterfaceMessageReference-0042 [p.33]    | For each Interface Message Reference [p.33] component in the {interface message references [p.27] } property of an Interface Operation [p.27] component, its {message label [p.33] } property MUST be unique.  |
|--|--|
| InterfaceMessageReference-1205000 [p.33] | An <i>xs:token</i> with one of the values <i>in</i> or <i>out</i> , indicating whether the message is coming to the service or going from the service, respectively.   |
| InterfaceMessageReference-1205001 [p.33] | An xs:token with one of the values #any, #none, #other, or #element.   |
| InterfaceOperation-0029 [p.19]           | The namespace name of the {name [p.27] } property of each Interface Operation [p.27] in this set MUST be the same as the namespace name of the {name [p.19] } property of this Interface [p.19] component.   |
| InterfaceOperation-0035 [p.27]           | For each Interface Operation [p.27] component in the {interface operations [p.19] } property of an Interface [p.19] component, the {name [p.27] } property MUST be unique.   |
| InterfaceOperation-0036 [p.27]           | In cases where, due to an interface extending one or more other interfaces, two or more Interface Operation [p.27] components have the same value for their {name [p.27] } property, then the component models of those Interface Operation components MUST be equivalent (see <b>2.17 Equivalence of Components</b> [p.72]).                                      |
| InterfaceOperation-0038 [p.29]           | An Interface Operation [p.27] component MUST satisfy the specification defined by each operation style identified by its {style [p.27] } property.   |
| InterfaceOperation-1204000 [p.27]        | This xs:anyURI MUST be an absolute IRI (see [IETF RFC 3987 [p.93]]).   |
| InterfaceOperation-1204001 [p.27]        | These <i>xs:anyURI</i> s MUST be absolute IRIs (see [ <i>IETF RFC 3986</i> [ <i>p.931</i> ]).  |
| Location-0077 [p.89]                     | Its actual value MUST be a list of pairs of IRIs; where the first IRI of a pair, which MUST be an absolute IRI as defined in [IETF RFC 3987 [p.93]], indicates a WSDL 2.0 (or 1.1) namespace name, and, the second a hint as to the location of a WSDL 2.0 document defining WSDL 2.0 components (or WSDL 1.1 elements [WSDL 1.1 [p.94]]) for that namespace name. |

| MEP-0037 [p.28]          | A message exchange pattern is uniquely identified by an absolute IRI which is used as the value of the {message exchange pattern [p.27] } property of the Interface Operation [p.27] component, and it specifies the fault propagation ruleset that its faults obey.   |
|--------------------------|--|
| MessageLabel-0039 [p.33] | The value of this property MUST match the name of a placeholder message defined by the message exchange pattern.   |
| Property-0049 [p.45]     | A reference to a Type Definition [p.14] component in the {type definitions [p.14] } property of the Description [p.14] component constraining the value of the Property [p.45], or the token #value if the {value [p.46] } property is not empty.  |
| Property-0050 [p.46]     | The {ref [p.45] } property of a Property [p.45] component MUST be unique within the {properties [p.46] } property of an Interface [p.19] , Interface Fault [p.23] , Interface Operation [p.27] , Interface Message Reference [p.33] , Interface Fault Reference [p.37] , Binding [p.51] , Binding Fault [p.54] , Binding Operation [p.57] , Binding Message Reference [p.60] , Binding Fault Reference [p.63] , Service [p.66] , or Endpoint [p.69] component. |
| Property-0051 [p.48]     | All specified values MUST be equal and belong to each specified value set.   |
| PropertyRef-0048 [p.45]  | This xs:anyURI MUST be an absolute IRI as defined by [IETF RFC 3987 [p.93]].   |
| QName-0002 [p.15]        | Furthermore, all QName references, whether to the same or to different namespaces MUST resolve to components (see <b>2.19 QName resolution</b> [p.73] ).   |
| Service-0063 [p.66]      | For each Service [p.66] component in the {services [p.14] } property of a Description component, the {name [p.66] } property MUST be unique.   |
| Types-0026 [p.18]        | Each XML Schema type definition MUST have a unique QName.  |
| Types-1201006 [p.18]     | Each XML Schema element declaration MUST have a unique QName.  |